

[ ] described the report in question as consisting of approximately 1200 pages, probably less, but not less than 1100 pages. The pages were numbered by typewriter and contained in two maroon or reddish-marble plastic covers of a commercial type, which she had seen before in business offices and stationery stores. These covers were on the front and back of each group of pages. The pages in each file were held together by fasteners of the Acco type and said fasteners came through the top cover and were exposed outside the cover. One or both of the files had a common type gummed label on the top cover but she could not recall what was written thereon.

The report, which was copied, was a typewritten report but not the original, however, it was a good copy and was possibly the third or fourth carbon copy. [ ] expressed her belief that it came from an electric typewriter because the impressions of the typing were uniformly even. She is familiar with an IBM Executive Typewriter and its spacing and did not believe that the report was typed on such a machine.

The paper consisted of white legal size, 8-1/2 x 13" and was "white tissue, quite thin". [ ] examined a piece of commonly used onionskin type paper and advised the paper in question seemed softer but this could be the result of handling. The report was double or triple spaced but she believed it was double spaced.

She became aware that she was copying the JOSEPH VALACHI story as a result of scanning the material while copying it. The report was in narrative form and written in the first person singular. The grade of English was very poor and the language was such that it was difficult to understand. She reread certain pages in order to understand them. The language was such that she felt it was an original draft. She could not recall for sure if the spelling and punctuation were in conformity with good usage.

When the chore was completed at approximately 10 PM, she and [ ] went to dinner at Trader Vic's Restaurant. She could not recall taking either copy of the report with them to dinner and expressed her belief that [ ] probably put the material in her office on the fifth floor before they left the building for dinner. The copy which they had prepared was contained in a shopping bag. She said that [ ] did not discuss this matter with her other than to say that the report had to be returned by the next morning. [ ] either told [ ] that the report came from the Justice Department or [ ] read this in the report.

[ ] advised that she did not know for a fact the identity of the person or persons who made the report available to [ ] however, she surmised that it was made available by a female employee of the U.S. Department of Justice, whom she would not name. She said that JACK ANDERSON wrote an article, not a column, in a weekend edition of PARADE MAGAZINE, which appeared in the WASHINGTON POST in approximately December, 1965, concerning a group of people and their humanitarian efforts in connection with volunteer work at the Children's Hospital. The woman identified in this article by name and employed at the Department of Justice is the individual [ ] believes furnished the report.

[ ] advised further that she recalls reading a column by JACK ANDERSON, written at some time between October 1965 and the present, in which he indicated that he had received a copy of the JOSEPH VALACHI story from the Department of Justice.

The Attorney General

April 13, 1966

PERSONAL

Director, FBI

- 1 - Mr. DeLoach
- 1 - Mr. Rosen
- 1 - [redacted]
- 1 - Mr. Walters
- 1 - [redacted]
- 1 - Mr. Gale
- 1 - Mr. Wick

UNKNOWN SUBJECT (EMPLOYEE OF DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WASHINGTON, D. C.)

[redacted] - SUSPECT

JACK W. ANDERSON  
ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY; THEFT OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY

Reference is made to my memoranda of March 29 and 31, 1966, in the captioned matter.

Enclosed is one copy each of the reports of Special Agent [redacted] dated April 11, 1966, at Washington, D. C., and Special Agent [redacted] dated April 12, 1966, at Washington, D. C., which set out the results of investigation conducted in connection with this case. It has now been established that the document which was duplicated was a copy of the manuscript of the Joe Valachi story and not an FBI report as originally indicated.

This completes all investigation with the exception of interviews with employees of the Department of Justice who had access to the Valachi manuscript. If this matter is to be pursued further it will be necessary to interview Department employees such as attorneys, stenographers, clerks and anyone else who had access to the original and copies of the Valachi manuscript made in the Department.

In view of the foregoing, we do not plan to make any inquiries into the Department's administrative handling of the Valachi manuscript unless you so instruct.

Enclosures (2)

REC 45

62-11287-23

- Tolson \_\_\_\_\_ 1 - The Deputy Attorney General PERSONAL - Enclosures (2)
- DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_
- Mohr \_\_\_\_\_
- Wick \_\_\_\_\_
- Casper \_\_\_\_\_ 1 - Mr. Fred M. Vinson, Jr.
- Gallohan \_\_\_\_\_ Assistant Attorney General PERSONAL - Enclosures (2)
- Conrad \_\_\_\_\_
- Felt \_\_\_\_\_
- Gale \_\_\_\_\_
- Rosen \_\_\_\_\_ EFK:me
- Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_ (12)
- Tavel \_\_\_\_\_
- Trotter \_\_\_\_\_
- Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_
- Holmes \_\_\_\_\_
- Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

57 APR 16 1966

19 APR 14 1966

Enclosure to DeLoach memo dated 4/12/66. EFK:me

MAIL ROOM  TELETYPE UNIT

APR 13 1966  
COMM-FBI

APR 13 1966

Handwritten initials and signatures, including "JMM" and "P".

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

Tolson	_____
DeLoach	_____
Mohr	_____
Wick	_____
Casper	_____
Callahan	_____
Conrad	_____
Felt	_____
Gale	_____
Rosen	_____
Sullivan	_____
Tavel	_____
Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Holmes	_____
Gandy	_____

TO : Mr. DeLoach *DL*

DATE: April 12, 1966

FROM : A. Rosen *AR*

- 1 - Mr. DeLoach
- 1 - Mr. Rosen
- 1 - [redacted]
- 1 - Mr. Walters
- 1 - [redacted]
- 1 - Mr. Gale
- 1 - Mr. Wick

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECT (EMPLOYEE OF DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WASHINGTON, D.C.)

[redacted] - SUSPECT  
[redacted]

JACK N. ANDERSON  
ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY; THEFT OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY

b6  
b7C

Our inquiries concerning the reported copying of a Joe Valachi report by columnist Jack Anderson have established that the material duplicated was a manuscript of the Valachi story and not an FBI report as originally indicated. This is not a Government document (it was written by Valachi while he was in jail). Further investigation would be of an administrative nature and would require our delving exhaustively into the administrative handling of this manuscript in the Department. In line with the Director's approval, there is attached a memorandum to the Attorney General transmitting a copy of Washington Field Office (WFO) reports setting out results of investigation conducted and stating that no further inquiries will be made unless the Attorney General so instructs.

This is the case in which Senator Thomas J. Dodd reported on 3/24/66, that [redacted] (former [redacted] of [redacted] had informed a private investigator employed by Dodd that she, [redacted] had made a copy of a voluminous report on Joe Valachi for Jack Anderson which had been brought to [redacted] office by an unnamed employee of the Department of Justice. Senator Dodd said he did not know if this was an FBI report but [redacted] implied that it was.

b6  
b7C

REC-1 62-110857-24

When interviewed by our Agents, [redacted] stated that in early 1965, at the request of [redacted] Anderson's [redacted] she, [redacted] made a Xerox copy of the manuscript of Joe Valachi's story (approximately 1200 pages). [redacted] is certain this was a copy of the Valachi manuscript and not an FBI report as she read portions of it. Homer suspected this manuscript had

Enclosure *sent*  
62-110857 #-13-66 CONTINUED - OVER

53 APR 22 1966

EFK:me  
(8)

*Handwritten initials/signature*

Memorandum to Mr. DeLoach  
RE: UNKNOWN SUBJECT (EMPLOYEE OF DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,  
WASHINGTON, D. C.)

been made available to Anderson by an employee of the Department of Justice named [redacted] but [redacted] had no evidence to bolster this suspicion.

We interviewed [redacted] who formerly was employed in the Tax Division of the Department as [redacted] to then Assistant Attorney General Louis F. Oberdorfer. [redacted] said she is a social acquaintance of [redacted] but denied that she typed, saw or made available to Jack Anderson or any member of his staff a copy of the Valachi manuscript. Former Assistant Attorney General Oberdorfer (who now employs [redacted] in his private law office) volunteered that the Valachi manuscript was not handled in the Tax Division.

On 3/31/66, Senator Dodd's private investigator reported that [redacted] had said on 3/28/66, that she formerly had dated a Justice Department employee (whom she refused to identify) and this individual had assisted in removing the Valachi manuscript from Justice Department files. We reinterviewed [redacted] on 4/1/66, to pin her down on this at which time she denied having made any such statement and denied she ever dated a Justice Department employee.

Anderson was interviewed on 4/7/66. He declined to identify his source of the Valachi manuscript. He indicated his source is not a "kid" but "highly placed" and if we were to conduct investigation which resulted in the identification of his source of this manuscript it would cause nothing but embarrassment to the Department of Justice. Anderson expressed disgust that no interest had been shown in the Valachi manuscript until the Dodd matter came up and pointed out that he, Anderson, first printed an article about this manuscript over one year ago. (It is noted an article appeared in the "Washington Post" on 3/21/65, to the effect that Anderson had reviewed the Valachi book described as over 1150 pages long after being typed by Justice Department stenographers.)

Anderson's [redacted] when interviewed 4/11/66, declined to discuss the duplication of the Valachi material.

b6  
b7C

Other investigation conducted, such as interviews with [redacted] and [redacted] (a friend of [redacted] who was present when the Valachi manuscript was copied) has not identified the Justice Department employee who made same available to Anderson.

↓ ↓  
CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. DeLoach  
RE: UNKNOWN SUBJECT(EMPLOYEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,  
WASHINGTON, D.C.)

RECOMMENDATION:

All investigation outside the Department of Justice has been completed and it has been established that the material duplicated was not an FBI report, but a manuscript of the Valachi story. This is not a Government document. Further investigation would be of an administrative nature and would require our delving exhaustively into the administrative handling of the manuscript in the Department. This would necessarily include interviews with attorneys, stenographers, and clerks and anyone else who had access to the original and copies of the Valachi manuscript made in the Department. In line with the Director's approval, there is attached a memorandum to the Attorney General, transmitting a copy of WFO reports setting out results of investigation conducted and stating that no further inquiries will be made unless the Attorney General so instructs.

This memorandum to the Attorney General (with copies for the Deputy Attorney General and Assistant Attorney General Vinson) are being marked Personal in view of the indicated involvement of a Justice Department employee in the removal of the Valachi manuscript from the Department's files.

*[Handwritten initials and marks: a checkmark, a signature, and other scribbles]*

The Attorney General

April 14, 1966

Director, FBI

1 - Mr. DeLoach

1 - Mr. Rosen

1 - [redacted]

1 - Mr. Walters

1 - [redacted]

1 - Mr. Wick

b6

b7c

SENATOR THOMAS J. DODD  
THEFT OF FILES

This is to advise you that all investigation into the theft of the files from the office of Senator Thomas J. Dodd has been completed and the results have been made available to the Department.

In addition to the above and pursuant to your request of February 18, 1966, columnist Jack N. Anderson was contacted and photographs made of documents which he made available. These reportedly were copies of documents from Senator Dodd's files. These photographs together with information provided by Mr. Anderson were forwarded to Assistant Attorney General Fred M. Vinson, Jr., as enclosures to my memorandum of March 18, 1966.

In line with the request of Assistant Attorney General Vinson dated March 8, 1966, investigation was conducted with respect to the theft of records from Senator Dodd's office. Reports setting out the results of such investigation were forwarded to Mr. Vinson as enclosures to my memorandum of April 13, 1966.

This investigation established that a large volume of Senator Dodd's records and correspondence was copied on June 13, 1965, in the Washington, D. C., office of [redacted] by [redacted] (Anderson's [redacted] assisted in part by [redacted] (Davidson's former [redacted]). Five former employees of Senator Dodd were indicated to be involved in making these records available to Anderson. These individuals declined to discuss their participation. Anderson declined to identify his sources or to make any comment concerning the duplication of records.

b6

b7c

During this investigation, information was received to the effect that Anderson had obtained, from an unidentified employee of the Department of Justice, a report on Joseph Valachi which also was duplicated in [redacted] office.

WAL:ba (11)

NOTE: This memorandum has been prepared in line with the Director's instructions.

MAILED 4  
APR 14 1966  
COMM-FBI

- Tolson
- DeLoach
- Mohr
- Wick
- Casper
- Callahan
- Conrad
- Felt
- Gale
- Rosen
- Sullivan
- Tavel
- Trotter
- Tele. Room
- Holmes
- Gandy

50 ENCLOSURE  
APR 22 1966

ENCLOSURE

62-11083-7  
NOT RECORDED  
191 APR 20 1966

MAIL ROOM  TELETYPE UNIT

ORIGINAL FILED IN 58-6157-130



The Attorney General

Since it was indicated that this might be an FBI report, an investigation was undertaken. Copies of reports setting out the results of this investigation were forwarded to you as enclosures to my memorandum of April 19, 1966.

The investigation conducted established that the document in question was not an FBI report but, rather, a copy of a manuscript written by Valachi. The identity of the employee of the Department of Justice, who allegedly made same available to Anderson, was not definitely determined. Anderson declined to name his source but did say this individual was highly placed and if he, Anderson, were to identify this source, it would be embarrassing to the Department. My memorandum which transmitted the investigative results to you pointed out that unless you so instruct, no inquiries will be made into the Department's administrative handling of the Valachi manuscript.

In the absence of a request for an investigation within the investigative jurisdiction of the FBI, no further action is contemplated with reference to the theft of files from Senator Dodd's office or the circumstances surrounding the copying of the Valachi manuscript.

Further, with respect to the material made available by Anderson, photographic copies of which were furnished the Criminal Division by letter dated March 18, 1966, the FBI has not been asked to investigate the charges that have been made by Pearson and Anderson concerning alleged Conflict of Interest or other irregularities on the part of Senator Dodd.

1 - The Deputy Attorney General



DO-7

FROM

OFFICE OF DIRECTOR, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

TO

OFFICIAL INDICATED BELOW BY CHECK MARK

- MR. TOLSON \_\_\_\_\_
- MR. DELOACH \_\_\_\_\_
- MR. MOHR \_\_\_\_\_
- MR. WICK \_\_\_\_\_
- MR. CASPER \_\_\_\_\_
- MR. CALLAHAN \_\_\_\_\_
- MR. CONRAD \_\_\_\_\_
- MR. FELT \_\_\_\_\_
- MR. GALE \_\_\_\_\_
- MR. ROSEN \_\_\_\_\_
- MR. SULLIVAN \_\_\_\_\_
- MR. TAVEL \_\_\_\_\_
- MR. TROTTER \_\_\_\_\_
- MISS HOLMES \_\_\_\_\_
- MISS GANDY \_\_\_\_\_

- SEE ME \_\_\_\_\_
- NOTE AND RETURN \_\_\_\_\_
- PREPARE REPLY \_\_\_\_\_
- SEND MEMO TO ATTORNEY GENERAL \_\_\_\_\_
- FOR YOUR RECOMMENDATION \_\_\_\_\_
- WHAT ARE THE FACTS? \_\_\_\_\_
- HOLD \_\_\_\_\_

REMARKS: *at the discretion of...*

*Anderson & P...  
has not...  
EX-105*

ENCLOSURE

62-110857-

NOT RECORDED  
191 APR 20 1966

11 APR 20 1966

*see memo to  
ag dated  
4/14/66*

*file  
copy*

*This is  
a grossly  
inappropriate  
attribution  
of interest in  
the...  
interest*

*4/18/66*

# FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7c

REPORTING OFFICE <b>WASHINGTON FIELD</b>	OFFICE OF ORIGIN <b>WASHINGTON FIELD</b>	DATE <b>4/11/66</b>	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD <b>3/28/66 - 4/9/66</b>
TITLE OF CASE <b>UNSUB; (Employee of Department of Justice, Washington, D. C.)</b> [redacted] <b>- SUSPECT</b> <b>JACK N. ANDERSON</b>		REPORT MADE BY [redacted]	TYPED BY <b>kba</b>
		CHARACTER OF CASE <b>ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY, THEFT OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY</b>	

REFERENCES: Buairtel to WFO, 3/28/66, 3/29/66, and 4/1/66.  
Bu telephone call to WFO, 4/8/66.

*- C -*

### ADMINISTRATIVE DATA

Extra copies are being maintained by WFO in event additional investigation is needed.

Bureau telephonically instructed WFO to submit closing report.

39 APR 11 1966

REC-104

*11 copies  
4/11/66  
Xerox copies of  
pages 18, 19 & 21 need  
for disp. to Dept office  
carbon copies of these pages destroyed*

293,828  
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 1-3-91 BY SP8 BJB/BJG

APPROVED *[Signature]* SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

COPIES MADE:  
5 - Bureau  
3 - Washington Field (62-9411)

*100* XEROX  
APR 26 1966

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW  
*62-110857-25* REC-104

17 APR 14 1966

Dissemination Record of Attached Report			
Agency	<i>DAB</i>	<i>AA6</i>	<i>AG</i>
Request Recd.	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>
Date Fwd.	<i>[redacted]</i>		
How Fwd.	<i>by cover memo 4/13/66</i>		
By	<i>[redacted]</i>		

Notations  
*[Handwritten notes and stamps]*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7C

Copy to:

Report of: [redacted] Office: Washington, D. C.

Date: 4/11/66

Field Office File #: 62-9411

Bureau File #:

Title: UNKNOWN SUBJECT; (Employee of Department of Justice, Washington, D. C.) [redacted]

[redacted] - SUSPECT

[redacted] JACK N. ANDERSON

Character: ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY  
THEFT OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY

Synopsis:

[redacted] former [redacted] to [redacted] advised in late 1964 or early 1965, [redacted] JACK N. ANDERSON's [redacted] came to [redacted] office to use Xerox machine. [redacted] determined [redacted] was copying JOSEPH VALACHI manuscript. [redacted] assisted [redacted] and had [redacted] of Xerox Company assist in speeding up operation as material was voluminous and had to be returned next day. [redacted] supposed [redacted] former Department of Justice employee, might have furnished VALACHI story to ANDERSON. [redacted] denies any knowledge of story. [redacted] says his Xerox machine was used in March, 1965, to copy VALACHI story. ANDERSON declined to identify source who furnished him manuscript. ANDERSON said source is not a "kid" but highly placed and if identified, which he does not intend to do, it would cause Department of Justice nothing but embarrassment.

b6  
b7C

- C -

293,828  
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 1-3-91 BY SP8 [signature]

WFO 58-995

DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D. C.

This investigation was predicated on information furnished to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) on March 24, 1966, by Senator THOMAS J. DODD.

DODD advised he had hired former Special Agent (SA) [redacted] (a private investigator from New York) to inquire into various phases of the disappearance of records from his office. According to DODD, [redacted] interviewed [redacted] (a former employee of [redacted]) and was told by [redacted] that at one time she had made a photostat or Xerox copy of a 1,287 page report on JOE VALACHI for JACK N. ANDERSON (co-author of Washington Merry-go-round). This report allegedly had been brought to [redacted] office by an unnamed employee of the Department of Justice who was highly nervous and urged [redacted] to expedite her work as the report had to be returned to the Department's files before the day was over.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 3/29/66

1

*66  
74  
22*

*James*

At approximately 4:00 p.m. on March 25, 1966, [redacted] telephonically advised SA [redacted] from his home, [redacted] that he was employed as Investigator for Senator THOMAS J. DODD and had interviewed one [redacted] concerning the possible theft of records from Senator DODD's office. He stated that on Wednesday evening past, March 23, 1966, he received a telephone call from [redacted] at the Fairfax Hotel where he had been staying. He said he had already checked out of the hotel and was located at a restaurant where he was eating. During the course of the conversation, [redacted] indicated a fear for her life and wanted to get out of town. According to [redacted] asked him if she could accompany him to New York; whereupon, [redacted] and [redacted] [redacted] drove to New York by automobile, leaving during the evening of March 23, 1966, and arriving at Manhasset, Long Island, at 3:00 a.m. on March 24, 1966. [redacted]

*66  
42  
24*

[redacted] stated [redacted] checked in at Room 102 of the Manhasset Motor Lodge located on Northern Boulevard in that city, and [redacted] a Staff Assistant, stayed in the same hotel occupying either Room 101 or 103. [redacted] said he drove on to his home in [redacted]

[redacted] stated on the morning of March 24, 1966, he telephonically contacted Senator DODD's office and told DAVID MARTIN, a Staff Assistant to the Senator, that [redacted] was in New York. At 3:00 p.m. on that date, [redacted] brought [redacted] to [redacted] home, where she was currently staying at the time of the call. [redacted] advised during the conversation that [redacted] expressed fear for the

b6  
b7C

On 3/25/66 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 62-9411

by SA [redacted] /c11 Date dictated 3/29/66

safety of her mother and a sister and had indicated that she might "slip away" where she could not be reached, in view of the fears she was experiencing. She also said that if Jack Anderson or Drew Pearson hurt her mother or sister that she had enough information that could put them in jail for the rest of their lives. He said she did not mention what information she had as she was "close mouthed and a heavy thinker." He said he thought [redacted] was referring to physical harm when mentioning her mother and sister.

He stated he did not know what plans [redacted] had regarding her future stay in his home and stated that she had several friends in New York City. He mentioned on the evening of March 24, 1966, a [redacted] who is employed in the movie industry, [redacted] and his wife all went to dinner at Manero's Restaurant, Roslyn, Long Island.

[redacted] advised during the telephone conversation that in the discussion with [redacted] concerning Senator DODD's records, she mentioned that in early June, 1965, she had reproduced in the office of her employer, [redacted] a report from the Department of Justice on VALACHI. She said this report consisted of 1,287 pages and was returned to the Department of Justice on the same day that it was taken. [redacted] said he asked her if it was a Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) file and also inquired as to who took the file to [redacted] office. She said it was a "Department of Justice" file and although she did not know exactly who brought the file, she has suspicions.

She stated at the time the file was being reproduced in [redacted] office, [redacted] was present.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7cDate 3/28/66

1

[redacted] advised that sometime, which she felt was the latter part of 1964 or possibly early 1965, [redacted] to JACK N. ANDERSON, desired to use the Xerox machine in the office of [redacted] who was [redacted] employer at that time.

[redacted] stated she observed that [redacted] had a large volume of legal size white manifold paper. [redacted] stated she then started to help [redacted] in the Xeroxing of this paper. She stated that she observed it was typewritten and after reading some of the material on the paper, she noted that it was in very poor English and written in a narrative style. After reading further, she determined that it was written by JOSEPH VALACHI.

She noted that inasmuch as there was such a large volume of papers, she attempted to estimate the time it would take to run this material off and recalls that as a result of her estimation, there were approximately 1,200 or less pages total for Xeroxing.

[redacted] stated she recalled [redacted] telling her that the papers had to be returned the next morning but that she did not state who would pick them up or who had furnished them to her.

[redacted] stated she recalled after they completed the Xeroxing that the original set was placed back into two red plastic-type binders, both of which had backs to them. She stated further she thought this material was kept together by Acco-type fasteners. After being exhibited a black plastic-type folder currently used by the Bureau for

b6  
b7c

On 3/26/66 at New York, New York File # \_\_\_\_\_  
by SAs [redacted] and [redacted] PMK:c11 Date dictated 3/28/66



containing items such as accounting reports, et cetera, [ ]  
[ ] stated that it was very similar, only red in color  
and longer, in order to cover the legal size paper used in  
the manuscript.

In further connecton with this matter, [ ]  
stated that there was no one present at the time this  
material was Xeroxed other than herself and [ ]  
However, she did recall that a male individual did arrive  
there while they were in the process of Xeroxing and did  
assist them in running off some of the pages. She refused  
at this time to divulge this individual's name. In answer  
to question put to her if she knew the identity of the  
individual from the Justice Department who furnished this  
manuscript to ANDERSON, she stated that she would not at  
that time divulge that person's name but in the ensuing  
conversation indicated that this individual was a female  
and to the best of her knowledge was still employed by the  
Department of Justice.

In an effort to establish the approximate date  
when the Xeroxing of the manuscript was conducted, [ ]  
stated she would attempt to contact the individual whom she  
referred to above as assisting in the Xeroxing and see if  
that individual could give the day, month and year.

b6  
b7c

[ ] stated that she was definitely sure  
the typewritten pages copied was the story by JOSEPH  
VALACHI in a narrative form and felt that it was probably  
the first typing from VALACHI's longhand notes due to the  
very poor and rough English used.

She further stated that she saw nothing indicating  
the matter being Xeroxed to be a Federal Bureau of Investi-  
gation report or anything referring to the Federal Bureau of  
Investigation in a reporting style. She indicated that the  
parts of the manuscript which she read were definitely in the  
first person and there was no doubt in her mind that it was  
by JOSEPH VALACHI.

[redacted] did state that the individual whom she thinks furnished the manuscript to ANDERSON was the subject of an article in the "Parade" magazine, a Sunday supplement, written by JACK ANDERSON concerning three Government employees whom she referred to as "do-gooders."

In this connection, she stated that this individual on one day of the week did volunteer work at one of the local hospitals in Washington, D. C. [redacted] stated she felt this article was published sometime in December, 1965.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7CDate 3/28/66

[redacted] furnished the following information regarding a report on the JOSEPH VALACHI story, which she helped to make a Xerox copy of.

This report was copied on a Xerox 813 machine in the office of [redacted] where she was employed at the time by [redacted]. She could not pinpoint the date of the occurrence but recalled it happened in the period between June 1964 and June 1965. It probably occurred in approximately October 1964, or February - March, 1965, which time she established by the fact that a friend, who assisted her in the copying, wore a topcoat. The Senate public hearings on JOSEPH VALACHI were long past at the time of the occurrence.

[redacted] JACK ANDERSON's [redacted] brought the report to [redacted] office and began the task of copying it. When first observed by [redacted] had the report separated and spread out. [redacted] began to help [redacted] late in the work day, between 4 and 5 PM, and the job lasted until approximately 10 P.M. It was [redacted] habit to give [redacted] such assistance on a big chore of this type. This definitely occurred on a regular work day, rather than a weekend, but she could not pinpoint the day of the week. [redacted] was assisted for a period of time by a gentleman friend and she recalled that he had copied 300 pages in a period of one hour. She had called him on the phone and he came to the office and assisted her. He was not present at the completion of the chore. [redacted] refused to identify this friend but said she would contact him in an effort to establish the date.

On 3/26/66 at 201 E. 69th Street File # \_\_\_\_\_  
New York, N. Y. 10021

by SAS [redacted] & \_\_\_\_\_ Date dictated 3/28/66 b6  
[redacted] b7C

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

[ ] described the report in question as consisting of approximately 1200 pages, probably less, but not less than 1100 pages. The pages were numbered by typewriter and contained in two maroon or reddish-marble plastic covers of a commercial type, which she had seen before in business offices and stationery stores. These covers were on the front and back of each group of pages. The pages in each file were held together by fasteners of the Acco type and said fasteners came through the top cover and were exposed outside the cover. One or both of the files had a common type gummed label on the top cover but she could not recall what was written thereon.

The report, which was copied, was a typewritten report but not the original, however, it was a good copy and was possibly the third or fourth carbon copy. [ ] expressed her belief that it came from an electric typewriter because the impressions of the typing were uniformly even. She is familiar with an IBM Executive Typewriter and its spacing and did not believe that the report was typed on such a machine.

The paper consisted of white legal size, 8-1/2 x 13", and was "white tissue, quite thin". [ ] examined a piece of commonly used onionskin type paper and advised the paper in question seemed softer but this could be the result of handling. The report was double or triple spaced but she believed it was double spaced.

She became aware that she was copying the JOSEPH VALACHI story as a result of scanning the material while copying it. The report was in narrative form and written in the first person singular. The grade of English was very poor and the language was such that it was difficult to understand. She reread certain pages in order to understand them. The language was such that she felt it was an original draft. She could not recall for sure if the spelling and punctuation were in conformity with good usage.

When the chore was completed at approximately 10 PM, she and [ ] went to dinner at Trader Vic's Restaurant. She could not recall taking either copy of the report with them to dinner and expressed her belief that [ ] probably put the material in her office on the fifth floor before they left the building for dinner. The copy which they had prepared was contained in a shopping bag. She said that [ ] did not discuss this matter with her other than to say that the report had to be returned by the next morning. [ ] either told [ ] that the report came from the Justice Department or [ ] read this in the report.

[ ] advised that she did not know for a fact the identity of the person or persons who made the report available to [ ] however, she surmised that it was made available by a female employee of the U.S. Department of Justice, whom she would not name. She said that JACK ANDERSON wrote an article, not a column, in a weekend edition of PARADE MAGAZINE, which appeared in the WASHINGTON POST in approximately December, 1965, concerning a group of people and their humanitarian efforts in connection with volunteer work at the Children's Hospital. The woman identified in this article by name and employed at the Department of Justice is the individual [ ] believes furnished the report.

[ ] advised further that she recalls reading a column by JACK ANDERSON, written at some time between October 1965 and the present, in which he indicated that he had received a copy of the JOSEPH VALACHI story from the Department of Justice.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

1Date 3/28/66

At approximately 1:00 p.m., March 27, 1966, [redacted] telephonically contacted the Washington Field Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation desiring to speak to SA [redacted]. The call was completed through the use of the Bureau's switchboard and at this time [redacted] advised SA [redacted] that the name of the individual, which she had previously declined to furnish in connection with her comments concerning the Xeroxing of the manuscript by JOSEPH VALACHI, was one [redacted].

[redacted] stated that to the best of her knowledge, [redacted] was still employed at the Department of Justice. She again, in response to questions, stated that [redacted] was not present at the time of the Xeroxing of the manuscript. She further related that it was again only a supposition on her part that [redacted] was the individual who furnished the manuscript to ANDERSON and she bases this supposition on an article she read in the "Parade" magazine, which she stated she thought was published sometime in December, 1965.

The "Parade" magazine is a Sunday supplement furnished to various newspapers throughout the United States.

On 3/27/66 at Washington, D. C. File # \_\_\_\_\_  
by SA [redacted]: c11/kba Date dictated 3/28/66

b6  
b7c

WFO 58-995

LBC:kba

1

A review of "Parade" articles which were located in the newspaper and periodicals section of the Library of Congress was made by SA [redacted] on March 28, and 29, 1966. An article by JACK N. ANDERSON was located in the December 19, 1965, issue of "Parade" which stated as follows:

b6  
b7c

"Glamorous [redacted] to former Assistant Attorney General LOUIS OBERDORFER, keeps Wednesday nights free to work at Children's Hospital. She is one of many volunteers who burp, bathe and feed the infant children. She also spends part of her paycheck and begs money from others to buy the little ones blankets, gowns and booties. Typically, both young ladies, embarrassed over being singled out, don't consider their good deeds extraordinary."



WFO 58-995

LBC:kba

1

b6

b7c

[redacted] Placement Section, Department of Justice, advised SA [redacted] on March 29, 1966, that [redacted] was appointed to the position of [redacted] Office of the Assistant Attorney General, Tax Division, Department of Justice, on February 15, 1961, as a grade GS-9. [redacted] resigned on July 12, 1965, to take a position with a private law firm. [redacted] was born [redacted] and her Social Security Number is [redacted]

[redacted] said [redacted] personnel file was forwarded to St. Louis, Missouri, August 26, 1965.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7CDate 3/31/66

1

[redacted] was interviewed at her office, [redacted]

[redacted] is employed as a [redacted]

[redacted] executed the following signed sworn statement.

"March 29, 1966

"I, [redacted] being first duly sworn, hereby make the following free and voluntary statement to [redacted] and [redacted] who have identified themselves to me as Special Agents of the FBI. I have been advised by Special Agent [redacted] [redacted] that I do not have to make any statements, that any statement I make can be used against me in court and that I have a right to talk with a lawyer of my own choice or anyone else without saying anything at all. No threats or promises have been made to induce me to make any statements.

"I was employed as [redacted]

[redacted] from February 15, 1961 until July 12, 1965. I resigned from [redacted] to accept a position with [redacted]

"I wish to state that I have never typed, seen nor made available to JACK N. ANDERSON or any member of his staff a copy of the Joseph Valachi manuscript. I have no knowledge of anyone else having furnished Mr. ANDERSON or any of his representatives with a copy of the Valachi story.

On 3/29/66 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 62-9411

by SAs [redacted] and [redacted] /mee Date dictated 3/31/66

b6  
b7C

14

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

"I am a social acquaintance of [redacted] who is [redacted] to JACK N. ANDERSON. However, we have a tacit agreement that we do not discuss business matters at any time. To the best of my recollection I have visited Mr. ANDERSON's office on only one or two occasions. The last occasion was possibly a year ago when I joined [redacted] together with another friend for dinner. To the best of my recollection the prior occasion was some years ago.

"I have read this statement consisting of one page and it is true and correct.

/s/ [redacted]

"Sworn to and subscribed before me on March 29, 1966, at Washington, D. C.

[redacted] Special Agent,  
Federal Bureau of Investigation

"Witness: [redacted] Special Agent,  
Federal Bureau of Investigation"

After the statement was completed and prior to the time it was executed, [redacted] asked if she might talk briefly with her employer. [redacted] talked with [redacted] in his room adjacent to the conference room for approximately one minute and returned and executed the statement.

b6  
b7C

In addition, [redacted] advised that JACK N. ANDERSON wrote an article for "Parade" magazine, issue of December 19, 1965, in which he included [redacted] She said the article referred to the Christmas spirit [redacted]

[redacted] said the article was inspired by [redacted] who is ANDERSON's [redacted]

WFO 62-9411

3

[redacted] stated she had no knowledge how ANDERSON  
obtained a copy of the JOSEPH VALACHI story.

b6  
b7c

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date 3/31/661b6  
b7C

At the conclusion of the interview and after the execution of a signed statement by [redacted]

[redacted] appeared at the entrance to the conference room in which the interview with his [redacted] was conducted. [redacted] exchanged greetings with Special Agent [redacted] whom he has been acquainted with for some time, and remarked that he couldn't understand this matter because the JOSEPH VALACHI story was never in his division and no one in his division would logically have had access to the manuscript.

On 3/29/66 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 62-9411

b6  
b7C

by SAs [redacted] and [redacted] /mee Date dictated 3/31/66



## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7c

4/1/66

Date \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ advised that he had taken \_\_\_\_\_ out for some drinks during the evening of March 26, 1966, and that she had informed him that on Sunday evening, March 27, 1966, she had called an individual with the Department of Justice who had delivered the VALACHI file to JACK ANDERSON's office. He stated that \_\_\_\_\_ would not disclose the name of this individual, but \_\_\_\_\_ indicated that it was a man whom she had dated in the past.

\_\_\_\_\_ stated that \_\_\_\_\_ kept refusing to disclose the identity of this individual and that he could offer no further information concerning this person other than it was his belief that she had contacted this individual at his residence inasmuch as \_\_\_\_\_ had stated that she attempted to contact this individual late Saturday evening, March 26, 1966; however he was not at home.

at New York, NY

File # NY 62-13542

by SA \_\_\_\_\_

RAR

Date dictated

4/1/66

b6  
b7c

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.



WFO 62-9411  
PMK:CMS

b6  
b7C

1

On March 31, 1966, Mr. DAVID MARTIN, Executive Assistant to Senator THOMAS J. DODD, advised SA [ ] that [ ] private investigator for Senator DODD, telephoned MARTIN from New York and advised that [ ] had told [ ] an associate of [ ] that [ ] said she had telephoned from [ ] residence to an unknown person in the Department of Justice. Further, [ ] is reported to have stated she had dated this individual in the past and indicated this person was the individual who assisted in the removal of JOSEPH VALACHI's manuscript.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7cDate 4/4/66

On April 1, 1966, [redacted] consented to an interview by [redacted] and [redacted] who identified themselves as Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. No threats or promises were made to her and SA [redacted] advised her that she did not have to talk and that anything she said could be used against her in a court of law. SA [redacted] also advised [redacted] that she had a right to consult an attorney before making any statements.

[redacted] stated that regarding a Justice Department report on JOSEPH VALACHI which she had previously indicated she was instrumental in copying by Xerox machine, this report was copied in April, 1965.

[redacted] advised that she has never dated a Department of Justice employee and further stated that she never told [redacted] or any of his employees that a boy whom she dated was employed by the Justice Department and helped remove the aforementioned VALACHI report from the Justice Department.

[redacted] also advised that when she returns to Washington, D.C., she might contact Senator THOMAS DODD and JACK ANDERSON and everyone else involved regarding this entire matter. She further stated that she might consider pressing kidnapping charges against [redacted].

On 4/1/66 at New York, New YorkFile # WFO 62-7411  
NY 62-13542by SAS [redacted]  
nes/ljsDate dictated 4/4/66b6  
b7c

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date April 8, 1966b6  
b7c

1

On April 5, 1966, [ ] was exhibited the following statement concerning information she furnished with relation to her activities in using [ ] Xerox machine for copying the JOSEPH VALACHI Story. After reading the statement, [ ] indicated that it was correct but that she did not desire to sign it at this time. She stated she felt uneasy about the whole matter involving the VALACHI situation as well as her activities in the copying of Senator DODD's material. Therefore, she felt she would rather wait a few days to consider the matter before signing any statements.

The statement concerning this matter is set out below as follows:

"Washington, D.C.  
April 5, 1966"

"I, [ ] make the following free and voluntary statement to [ ] who has identified himself to me as a Special Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. I have been advised by Special Agent [ ] that I do not have to make a statement and that any statement made by me can be used in court. No threats or promises have been made to me for giving this statement.

b6  
b7c

"I recall that sometime in late 1964 or early 1965 while I was still employed by [ ]

On 4/5/66 at Washington, D.C. File # WFO 62-9411

by SAs [ ] and [ ]  
[ ] PMK:CMS Date dictated 4/8/66

b6  
b7c

22

WFO 62-9411

2

[redacted] that [redacted] JACK N. ANDERSON's [redacted] came into our office to use the Xerox machine. At this time I noticed she had a large volume or quantity of papers, which I can best describe as being white, flimsy, typewritten and legal size. I recall from the typing that it probably was a copy and not an original.

[redacted] started running the papers through [redacted] Xerox machine and I offered to help her, and did so when I had time from my other duties. While running off some of the papers, I noticed it was written in poor English. I read further and realized it was a story or manuscript written by JOSEPH VALACHI. The story was in narrative form and not questions and answers.

"Due to the large volume or quantity of the material to be run off I knew it would take a long time to complete it. In this respect, I recall that [redacted] told me that the material had to be returned the next morning. I estimated that there were approximately 1200 or less pages in all. I decided to telephone a friend of mine who worked for the Xerox Company to see if he could come help us to speed up the operation. I do not desire to reveal this individual's name.

Shortly thereafter the person called arrived and assisted us in running off some of the papers. He was not aware at first of the contents of the material, but later ascertained it was the Valachi story.

"After we completed the Xeroxing, the original material was placed inside of two red or maroon colored plastic binders. I recall they were held together by Accotype fasteners that come up through the top of the binders and folded down.

~~"I wish to state at this time I did not see anyone with [redacted] when she brought the manuscript into [redacted] office nor did she tell me anyone was waiting for it. I wish to add that I do not know of any Department of Justice employee involved with furnishing the manuscript to [redacted]"~~

"I also wish to state that I did not make any telephone call to a Department of Justice employee in March, 1966. I did attempt to telephonically contact the individual who helped us run off the Valachi manuscript while I was in New York in March, 1966.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date April 9, 1966b6  
b7C

[redacted] telephonically contacted SA [redacted] and advised she had been thinking the matter over and desired to state that [redacted] a Xerox employee, was the individual who helped her run off some of the VALACHI papers.

On 4/6/66 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 58-995  
by SA [redacted] : kba Date dictated 4/9/66

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7cDate 4/7/66

1

[redacted] employee of the [redacted] who resides [redacted] advised that he recalls sometime in possibly the latter part of February or early March, he received a telephone call from [redacted]. He stated [redacted] asked him if he would mind assisting her in speeding up a Xeroxing process. He stated as a result of this call he went to [redacted] to the office of [redacted] where he met [redacted] and [redacted]. He stated he knew [redacted] was JACK ANDERSON's [redacted].

[redacted] advised that after arriving he showed them how to speed up the Xeroxing process and recalls he thereafter ran off approximately 100 to 200 copies. During this time he stated that while running this material off and reading some of the material typewritten on the papers, he ascertained from [redacted] that it was the VALACHI story. He stated he could not recall any of the details of the material.

[redacted] stated that he did not see anyone else in the office at this time and recalls that he stayed in the office approximately one hour and left prior to the completion of the Xeroxing process.

On 4/5/66 at Washington, D.C. File # WFO 62-9411

by SA [redacted] and [redacted] /bjw Date dictated 4/7/66

b6  
b7c

26



## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7CDate 4/7/66

1

[redacted]  
[redacted] was contacted at his office at which time he was immediately advised of the identity of the interviewing agents. [redacted] advised he declined to furnish any information at this time under oath, but stated he would be happy to cooperate with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and would furnish a signed statement under oath regarding this matter as long as he could make this statement in the presence of his friend and attorney, [redacted]. He advised [redacted] was not in town at this time.

[redacted] was advised by SA [redacted] that he did not have to make any statement, that anything he did state could be used in a court of law, and that he had the right to consult an attorney before making any statement.

In connection with [redacted] records, it was noted he billed "Parade" magazine for Xerox expenses in the sum of \$54 and the statement was dated March 15, 1965. It was also noted the billing statement carried the initials J.N.A., #28, March 29, 1965. [redacted] was queried as to what this billing to "Parade" magazine represented. He stated that apparently he received a check from ANDERSON as a result of his billing ANDERSON for a large number of Xerox copies run through his machine. He was then queried as to whether or not he knew what material was run through on this occasion. [redacted] stated he did not recall.

b6  
b7C

At this point he was queried if it could have been the VALACHI story that had been Xeroxed. [redacted] stated yes. He recalled that around March, 1965, [redacted] had told him they had previously used the machine for Xeroxing some papers on the VALACHI story.

On 4/6/66 at Washington, D.C. File # WFO 62-9411  
by SAs [redacted]  
and [redacted] bjw Date dictated 4/7/66

b6  
b7C

27

WFO 62-9411

2

[redacted] upon being asked where the material on the VALACHI story was obtained that had been run off on the Xerox machine, stated he knew ANDERSON was writing a book on the VALACHI story and that he presumed ANDERSON had interviewed VALACHI.

In this connection, [redacted] furnished the agents with a Xerox copy of the above-noted bill as well as a Xerox copy of an invoice from the Xerox Corporation to [redacted] [redacted] dated March 8, 1965, invoice number 087721, covering period from December 31, 1964 through February 26, 1965.

WFO 62 9411

PMK:bjw

On April 6, 1966, [redacted]  
[redacted] advised SAs [redacted] and [redacted] that he  
does not recall receiving any information from [redacted]  
[redacted] concerning the Xeroxing of the JOE VALACHI manuscript.

b6  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7C1Date April 6, 1966

[redacted] was interviewed in the office of Regional Data and Financial Management, Region Three, General Services Administration (GSA), 7th and D Streets, S. W.

After [redacted] was advised as to the purpose of the interview, he was told by SA [redacted] he did not have to discuss the subject matter with the Agents and any statement he made could be used against him in a court of law. He was advised he could also seek the services of counsel if he desired. Prior to the commencement of the interview, he was placed under oath by SA [redacted]

[redacted] was asked if he had any knowledge either directly or indirectly that a transcript of the "Joseph Valachi Story" or any documentation on this subject matter had been removed from the files of the Department of Justice and reproduced in the office of [redacted] located in the [redacted] or at any location by JACK N. ANDERSON, the columnist, or in behalf of ANDERSON or DREW PEARSON, or for the benefit of anyone else. [redacted] stated that he has no information whatsoever concerning this subject matter. He stated that he had never heard this subject matter discussed by [redacted] or by anyone else. He said he had no knowledge concerning any records being removed from the Department of Justice, that would relate to JOSEPH VALACHI.

On 4/4/66 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 62-9411  
 by SA [redacted] and SA [redacted] LSH/mrb/kba Date dictated 4/6/66

b6  
b7C

30

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date April 8, 1966

JACK N. ANDERSON was interviewed at his office, 1612 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. Inquiry was made of ANDERSON concerning the alleged obtaining of the JOSEPH VALACHI manuscript from the Department of Justice and the copying of the same at the office of [REDACTED]

b6  
b7C

ANDERSON declined to identify the source who furnished him the JOSEPH VALACHI manuscript. ANDERSON said the source is not a "kid" but highly placed and if he were to identify the source, which he does not intend to do, it would cause the Department of Justice nothing but embarrassment. He suggested that the Department of Justice not inquire too closely into the matter in order that an embarrassing situation could be avoided.

ANDERSON expressed disgust that no interest was expressed in the VALACHI story, which was printed over a year ago, until the alleged theft of Senator THOMAS J. DODD's documents came up.

ANDERSON said that his [REDACTED] was out of town at the time of instant interview and she would be back in the office next week. He said he intended to talk to her before she was interviewed by Agents and he would caution her not to make any comment concerning duplication of records if she had any such information. He said he thought she would follow his advice.

On 4/7/66 at Washington, D.C. File # WFO 62-9411

by SAs [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] LBC:CMS Date dictated 4/8/66

b6  
b7C

31

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

WFO 58-995

LBC:kba

1

b6

b7c

On April 1, 1966, the Buffalo Office reported that the billing records of the Xerox Corporation at Rochester, New York, reflect that an 813 Copier was installed in the office of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] on July 31, 1964, effective August 1, 1964. The meter reading at the time of the installation was 203. The meter readings submitted to the Xerox Corporation and billed accordingly were as follows:

August 19, 1964	203-500
October 15, 1964	500-1708
December 14, 1964	1708-2959
January 11, 1965	2959-3476
March 8, 1965	3476-5833
April 12, 1965	5853-6333
June 16, 1965	6333-7134
July 13, 1965	7134-8776
August 11, 1965	8776-8964
October 7, 1965	8964-9737
November 12, 1965	9737-10237
December 15, 1965	10237-10484
February 12, 1966	10484-11057
March 19, 1966	11057-11253

A review of the charges reflects the March 8, 1965, billing is high.

# FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE WASHINGTON FIELD	OFFICE OF ORIGIN WASHINGTON FIELD	DATE 4/12/66	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 4/11/66
TITLE OF CASE CHANGED		REPORT MADE BY	TYPED BY yel
UNSUB; (Employee of Department of Justice, Washington, D. C.)		CHARACTER OF CASE	
[Redacted]	- SUSPECT;	ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY, THEFT OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY	
JACK N. SANDERSON			

4  
5  
1  
2/18

Title in this case "Changed" to show name of [Redacted] as [Redacted]

REFERENCE: Report of SA [Redacted] 4/11/66.

- C -

EXP. PROC.

*4/12/66  
3 Xerox copies made  
for [Redacted]*

CC TO: SS (TSD)  
REQ. REC'D 7-16-75  
AUG 13 1975  
ANS.  
BY: ABO/PCG

b6  
b7c

Case has been: Pending over one year  Yes  No

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

COPIES MADE:

- 5 - Bureau
- 3 - Washington Field (62-9411)

62-110751-26 MCT-1

APR 12 1966

REC 5

*Retained for T.  
ED*

### Dissemination Record of Attached Report

Agency	AG	DAG	AAJ/Kerron
Request Recd.	1	1	1
Date Fwd.			
How Fwd.	Cover memo 4/15/66		
By	[Redacted]		

### Notations

- A\* -  
COVER PAGE

STAT. SEC. 105

*Xerox copies sent*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONb6  
b7c

Copy to:

Report of: [REDACTED] Office: Washington, D. C.  
Date: 4/12/66  
Field Office File #: 62-9411 Bureau File #:  
Title: UNKNOWN SUBJECT; (Employee of Department of Justice,  
Washington, D. C.)  
[REDACTED] - SUSPECT;  
[REDACTED]  
Character: JACK N. ANDERSON  
ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY, THEFT OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY  
Synopsis: [REDACTED] to JACK N. ANDERSON, stated she  
could not tell anything about the reproduction of any material  
in connection with a so-called VALACHI story, and declined to  
further discuss the matter.

.. C -

DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D. C.



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6  
b7C

4/12/66

Date \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ to Mr. JACK N. ANDERSON, Room 506, 1612 K Street, N. W., was advised by Special Agent (SA) \_\_\_\_\_ that she did not have to make any statements, that anything she said could be used against her in court, and that she was entitled to consult an attorney of her own choice, or anyone else, before making any statement.

\_\_\_\_\_ advised that she has been employed by Mr. ANDERSON for about twelve years. She currently resides at \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ stated that she could not tell anything about the reproduction of any material in connection with a so-called (JOSEPH) VALACHI story. She stated she preferred not to discuss this matter without first consulting with her employer, JACK ANDERSON.

b6  
b7C

During the course of the interview, \_\_\_\_\_ received a telephone call from Mr. ANDERSON, and arranged for SA \_\_\_\_\_ to talk to Mr. ANDERSON while he was on the telephone.

After receiving the phone call from Mr. ANDERSON, \_\_\_\_\_ repeated that she would not discuss the matter further without talking to Mr. ANDERSON. She indicated that if she should wish to furnish any information after talking to Mr. ANDERSON, she would contact SA \_\_\_\_\_

The following description of \_\_\_\_\_ was obtained at the time of interview:

Name  
Sex

\_\_\_\_\_

On 4/11/66 at Washington, D. C. File # WFO 62-9411  
by SAs \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ / RFM:yel Date dictated 4/11/66

b6 -  
b7C

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

4/12/66

Date

b6  
b7c

During the course of the interview with

[redacted] to Mr. JACK N. ANDERSON,  
Room 506, 1612 K Street, N. W., Mr. ANDERSON called his  
office and [redacted] arranged for Agent to talk to Mr.  
ANDERSON.

Mr. ANDERSON stated that he would have to direct  
[redacted] not to answer any questions  
concerning reproduction of any documents which involved his  
news sources. He said this would entail giving information  
as to how he goes about gathering news and from whom he obtains  
it, a matter which he would not discuss, even in court,  
without permission of the source.

4/11/66

Washington, D. C.

WFO 62-9411

On \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_ File# \_\_\_\_\_

by SA [redacted] /yel Date dictated 4/12/66

b6  
b7c

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
 DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
 Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
 Wick \_\_\_\_\_  
 Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
 Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
 Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
 Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
 Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
 Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
 Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
 Holmes b6 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gandy -b7C \_\_\_\_\_

UPI-64

(VALACHI)

WASHINGTON--THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT TODAY WAS ACCUSED OF TRYING TO BUY OFF HOODLUM JOE VALACHI'S GHOST WRITER BECAUSE OF PRESSURE FROM ITALO-AMERICAN POLITICAL GROUPS.

THE CHARGE CAME FROM AUTHOR PETER MAAS, WHO ORIGINALLY GOT TENTATIVE APPROVAL FROM THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT TO EDIT VALACHI'S MEMOIRS, THEN WAS SUED YESTERDAY BY THE DEPARTMENT TO BLOCK PUBLICATION.

MAAS ACCUSED ATTY. GEN. KATZENBACH OF BEING IN THE "BOOK SUPPRESSION BUSINESS" AND LACKING THE "BACKBONE" TO STAND UP TO POLITICAL PRESSURE.

THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT, ACCORDING TO MAAS, GAVE HIM UNLIMITED ACCESS TO VALACHI'S MANUSCRIPT AND TO VALACHI HIMSELF, WHO WAS KEPT IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA JAIL AFTER HIS APPEARANCE BEFORE A SENATE INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE IN 1963.

BUT MAAS SAID EVERYTHING CHANGED WHEN "A POLITICAL PRESSURE GROUP" STORMED INTO THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT TO DEMAND THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL REVERSE HIMSELF.

KATZENBACH WAS VISITED ON FEB. 2 BY ITALIAN-AMERICAN LEADERS, INCLUDING FOUR CONGRESSMEN WHO OBJECTED TO PUBLICATION OF VALACHI'S MEMOIRS ON GROUNDS IT CREATED AN UNFAIR IMAGE OF ALL ITALIANS.

AFTER THAT MEETING, MAAS SAID THINGS WENT DOWN HILL, ENDING WITH THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT FILING SUIT TO BLOCK PUBLICATION OF THE VALACHI BOOK ON THE GROUNDS IT WOULD BE HARMFUL TO ITS LAW ENFORCEMENT ABILITY.

THE DEPARTMENT WENT SO FAR AS TO MAKE "MORE THAN ONE ATTEMPT" TO MAKE A FINANCIAL SETTLEMENT WITH HIM, MAAS SAID. HE GAVE NO DETAILS OF THE OFFER. BUT HE SAID IN THE ONE OFFER MADE DIRECTLY TO HIM BY A DEPARTMENT SPOKESMAN "NO DOLLAR AND CENT FIGURE WAS MENTIONED."

THE DEPARTMENT CLAIMED THAT MAAS WAS GIVEN ONLY TENTATIVE APPROVAL TO EDIT THE MANUSCRIPT. IN ITS SUIT IT TOLD THE COURT THAT MAAS VIOLATED THE CONDITIONS OF THIS TENTATIVE AGREEMENT ON SEVERAL POINTS, INCLUDING APPLYING FOR A COPYRIGHT.

PUBLICATION OF THE BOOK ALSO WOULD VIOLATE A BUREAU OF PRISON REGULATION BARRING PRISONERS FROM WRITING STORIES ON THEIR LIFE OF CRIME.

A COURT HEARING ON THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT REQUEST WILL BE HELD TOMORROW AFTERNOON.

62-110857 5/11--GE1201P

NOT RECORDED  
176 MAY 18 1966

51 MAY 10 1966

WASHINGTON CAPITAL NEWS SERVICE

62-110857

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
 DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
 Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
 Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
 Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
 Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
 Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
 Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
 Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
 Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
 Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

**U.S. NOW ASKS BAN  
 ON VALACHI'S BOOK**

**Sues After Protests to Bar  
 Memoirs If Had Cleared**

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 10 —

The Justice Department, in a turnabout that followed protests by Italian-American leaders, sued today to block the publication of the memoirs of Joseph M. Valachi, the convicted Mafia figure.

The department, which gave Valachi permission to publish his memoirs last December, asked federal district court here to bar Valachi's editor, Peter Maas of New York, from disseminating or publishing the manuscript in any form.

Attorney General Nicholas deB. Katzenbach was visited on Feb. 2 by 12 Italian-American leaders, including four Congressmen, who objected that the Valachi book would only serve to downgrade the image of Americans of Italian descent.

According to the suit, the Justice Department informed Mr. Maas a week later that it had had a change of heart about the book.

After the suit was filed today, the department delivered copies of its press release on the case to the four House members—Peter W. Rodino, Dominick V. Daniels, and Joseph G. Minish, all New Jersey Democrats, and Frank Annunzio, an Illinois Democrat—and other Congressmen who had inquired about the decision.

**Approval of Manuscript**

The suit alleged that the Justice Department's agreement with Mr. Maas gave the department the absolute right to approve the final edited manuscript prior to any dissemination.

It contended that the decision to permit publication was tentative, and that this provision gave the department the right to decide against any publication.

According to the suit, Mr. Maas violated the agreement by continuing to edit the material after he had been informed that the Government would probably not permit its publication. Further, the suit said, he applied for a copyright on portions of the manuscript without obtaining Justice Department permission.

Mr. Katzenbach was also reportedly upset at the publication of quotes from the 1,180-page manuscript in a syndicated column by Jack Anderson published last Feb. 14.

**Will Reply Today**

Mr. Maas, who first wrote of Valachi's revelations in a Saturday Evening Post article in 1963, was unavailable for comment tonight. His attorneys announced that he would hold a news conference here tomorrow.

The suit said that publication of the memoirs "would be injuries to the Department of Justice in the exercise of its responsibilities for law enforcement," and that it would be inconsistent with the Bureau of Prisons' regulation prohibiting the publication by a prisoner of a manuscript dealing with his life of crime.

It asked the court to order Mr. Maas to return all copies of the Valachi manuscript, entitled "The Real Thing," which was delivered to Mr. Maas for editing in December.



b6  
 b7c

- The Washington Post and \_\_\_\_\_
- Times Herald \_\_\_\_\_
- The Washington Daily News \_\_\_\_\_
- The Evening Star \_\_\_\_\_
- New York Herald Tribune \_\_\_\_\_
- New York Journal-American \_\_\_\_\_
- New York Daily News \_\_\_\_\_
- New York Post \_\_\_\_\_
- The New York Times \_\_\_\_\_
- The Baltimore Sun \_\_\_\_\_
- The Worker \_\_\_\_\_
- The New Leader \_\_\_\_\_
- The Wall Street Journal \_\_\_\_\_
- The National Observer \_\_\_\_\_
- People's World \_\_\_\_\_
- Date \_\_\_\_\_

62-110857-A-  
 NOT RECORDED  
 176 MAY 18 1966

62-110857

MAY 11 1966



MAY 10 1966

6-103

Tolson \_\_\_\_\_  
 DeLoach \_\_\_\_\_  
 Mohr \_\_\_\_\_  
 Wick \_\_\_\_\_  
 Casper \_\_\_\_\_  
 Callahan \_\_\_\_\_  
 Conrad \_\_\_\_\_  
 Felt \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gale \_\_\_\_\_  
 Rosen \_\_\_\_\_  
 Sullivan \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tavel \_\_\_\_\_  
 Trotter \_\_\_\_\_  
 Tele. Room \_\_\_\_\_  
 Holmes \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gandy \_\_\_\_\_

# Suit Attacks Valachi Memoirs

By John P. MacKenzie  
Washington Post Staff Writer

An embarrassed Justice Department disclosed yesterday that it no longer thinks publication of the Joseph Valachi memoirs of the Cosa Nostra will serve the public interest.

The Department filed a lawsuit in District Court here saying the memoirs would be downright "injurious" to the Government "in the exercise of its responsibilities for law enforcement."

Named as defendant in the suit was Peter Maas, the freelance writer who had been chosen by the Department and Valachi to bring the story of organized crime to the American people.

### Samples Submitted

It charges Maas breached an agreement by submitting a sample of the manuscript to a literary agent for submission to prospective publishers and informed the Department he would continue disseminating the excerpts despite Attorney General Katzenbach's decision March 16 to withdraw permission to publish. No reason was given for Katzenbach's reversal.

Maas, a New Yorker who agreed to be sued here, said he was "stunned" by the Government's action. He called a news conference for 10 a.m. today in the office of his lawyer, Gilbert Hahn Jr.

The suit seeks an order enjoining Maas from taking further steps to market the 1180-page manuscript typed by Government secretaries from a longhand document written by Valachi in a Washington jail cell. A court hearing for a 10-day restraining order was set for 2 p.m. today.


### Return of Copies Asked

The suit also asks the court to order the return of two copies it turned over to Maas for editing with the understanding that no attempts would be made to publish the memoirs without Government

Katzenbach had been widely criticized for giving special treatment to an avowed hoodlum by exempting him from a Bureau of Prisons regulation against writings by inmates about their criminal careers.

Special criticism came from Americans of Italian descent who complained that Katzenbach was helping to perpetuate an ethnic gangster image.

Valachi, who has been transferred to the Federal prison at Milan, Mich., was Exhibit A in the Department's 1963 quest for wiretapping and other legislation aimed at mobsters. But his Senate testimony produced no information about anyone behind bars.



b6  
b7c

- The Washington Post and Times Herald \_\_\_\_\_
- The Washington Daily News \_\_\_\_\_
- The Evening Star \_\_\_\_\_
- New York Herald Tribune \_\_\_\_\_
- New York Journal-American \_\_\_\_\_
- New York Daily News \_\_\_\_\_
- New York Post \_\_\_\_\_
- The New York Times \_\_\_\_\_
- The Baltimore Sun \_\_\_\_\_
- The Worker \_\_\_\_\_
- The New Leader \_\_\_\_\_
- The Wall Street Journal \_\_\_\_\_
- The National Observer \_\_\_\_\_
- People's World \_\_\_\_\_
- Date \_\_\_\_\_

62-110857-A-  
RECORDED  
176 MAY 18 1966

51 MAY 19 1966

MAY 11 1966

62-110857-

62-110857-27

**CHANGED TO**

94-50053-22X

**OCT 31 1969**

gr/aw

U. S. Department of Justice

(MATERIAL MUST NOT BE REMOVED FROM OR ADDED TO TH



Serials 1-

65- HQ-76137

65

Sec. 1

FEDERAL BUREAU

**DO NOT DESTROY**

of

INVESTIGATION


FOIPA # 104-7745

COPIED FOR FOIPA  
MAY 14 1977 BY 14

COPIED FOR FOIPA  
APR 5 1977 BY R330

USE CARE IN HANDLING THIS FILE

Transfer-Call



b6  
b7c

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED  
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 05-31-2007 BY 60324aucbaw/rs/rds

FBI

Date: 1/4/77

Asst. Dir.:	
Dep. Asst. Dir.:	
Dep. Asst. Dir.:	
Asst. Dir.:	
Adm. Serv.:	
Ext. Affairs:	
Fin. & Pers.:	
Gen. Inv.:	
Ident.:	
Intell.:	
Legal Coun.:	
Plan. & Insp.:	
Rec. Mgmt.:	
S. & T. Serv.:	
Spec. Inv.:	
Training:	
Telephone Rm.:	
Director's Sec'y:	

Transmit the following in \_\_\_\_\_  
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL \_\_\_\_\_  
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI  
FROM: SAC, ALEXANDRIA (65-253) ~~IC~~

UNSUB;  
Unauthorized Release of  
Classified Information to End 2  
JACK ANDERSON, October, 1976  
ESPIONAGE - X  
(OO: ALEXANDRIA)

[Redacted box with handwritten initials]

b6  
b7C

Enclosed herewith for the Bureau are five copies of an LHM regarding captioned matter. One copy is also enclosed for the information of WFO.

LHM classified ~~Confidential~~ inasmuch as Major [Redacted] has advised that two of the paragraphs contained in the newspaper article continue to be classified ~~Confidential~~.

During the interview with Major [Redacted] on 12/21/76, he expressed the opinion that the report in question had been leaked by the General Accounting Office (GAO), or by someone with access to their report. He stated that his office had not conducted an investigation to ascertain the identity of captioned unsub and that he has no suspects. He noted that his office is attempting to determine how they can avoid the compromise of classified information which is furnished to GAO in the future. While working on this project, Major [Redacted] decided to report the matter to the FBI.

b6  
b7C

The Alexandria Division does not contemplate any additional inquiries and is placing this matter in a closed status, UACB.

ST-121

ENCLOSURE

REC-13

DE-31

65-76137

~~CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL ATTACHED~~

b6  
b7C

- 2-Bureau (Enc. 5)
- 1-WFO (Enc. 1)(Info)
- 1-Alexandria *icc Com 4440*
- WMC:krl
- (4)

JAN 13 1977

[Redacted box]

FILE

*Do not ASS.*

Approved: 201 K/A  
Special Agent in Charge

Sent \_\_\_\_\_ M Per \_\_\_\_\_

*Airtel to AX 1-WFO 11/12/77*

1-205  
(AAG-TSS)

DATA 1

1-204 4440

2/3/77

*R. Mc L*





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
Alexandria, Virginia  
January 4, 1977

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No.

UNKNOWN SUBJECT;  
UNAUTHORIZED RELEASE OF  
CLASSIFIED INFORMATION TO  
JACK ANDERSON, OCTOBER, 1976

b6  
b7c

Information contained herein is unclassified  
unless otherwise indicated.

Investigation in this matter was predicated upon  
a telephone call on December 20, 1976, from Major [redacted]  
[redacted] Directorate of Intelligence Operations, Security  
Division, Department of the Army, Room 2E461, Pentagon,  
Arlington, Virginia, to the Alexandria office of the Federal  
Bureau of Investigation (FBI).

Major [redacted] was interviewed on December 21,  
1976, and furnished the following information:

(X)

DECLASSIFIED BY 60324aucbaw/rs/rds  
ON 05-31-2007

~~CLASSIFIED BY 3395  
EXCEPT FROM AUTOMATICALLY 1 2 3 4  
DATE OF DECLASSIFICATION: INDEFINITE~~

This document contains neither  
recommendations nor conclusions of  
the FBI. It is the property of  
the FBI and is loaned to your agency;  
it and its contents are not to be  
distributed outside your agency.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



*Initials*  
65-76137 1


FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Date of transcription 1/4/77

Information contained herein is unclassified unless otherwise indicated: Referral/Direct

D.C.



Interviewed on 12/21/76 at Arlington, Virginia File # AX 65-253

by SA [redacted]:krl Date dictated 12/28/76

- 2 -

b6  
b7c


~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

AX 65-253  
2.

Referral/Direct



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~