



governmentattic.org

"Rummaging in the government's attic"

Description of document: Reports of two Treasury Department Inspector General (OIG) investigations of alleged impropriety at the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), 2012

Request date: May 2012

Released date: 29-May-2012

Posted date: 26-January-2015

Source of document: FOIA and Transparency
FOIA Request
Department of the Treasury
Washington, DC 20220
Fax: 202-622-3895
[FOIA Online Request Form](#)

The governmentattic.org web site ("the site") is noncommercial and free to the public. The site and materials made available on the site, such as this file, are for reference only. The governmentattic.org web site and its principals have made every effort to make this information as complete and as accurate as possible, however, there may be mistakes and omissions, both typographical and in content. The governmentattic.org web site and its principals shall have neither liability nor responsibility to any person or entity with respect to any loss or damage caused, or alleged to have been caused, directly or indirectly, by the information provided on the governmentattic.org web site or in this file. The public records published on the site were obtained from government agencies using proper legal channels. Each document is identified as to the source. Any concerns about the contents of the site should be directed to the agency originating the document in question. GovernmentAttic.org is not responsible for the contents of documents published on the website.

From: Delmar, Richard K.
Date: Tue, 29 May 2012 17:29:22 -0400
Subject: Your FOIA request to Treasury OIG

This responds to your FOIA request to the Department of the Treasury Office of Inspector General, docketed as 2012-05-130, for 7 categories of investigative and audit work relating to issues at the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. I have caused both our Investigative and Audit files to be searched for records responsive to your request. We have no audit reports that are responsive, and two investigative records that appear to come within your request. I enclose the two investigative documents. I have applied Exemption 7C redactions of personal identifying information about subjects and witnesses. Will be happy to discuss further with you.

If you believe that this response to your FOIA request is incomplete, or otherwise wish to appeal this determination, you may do so, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i). Pursuant to the Department's FOIA appeal process set forth in 31 C.F.R. § 1.5(i), an appeal must be submitted within 35 days from the date of this response to your request, signed by you and addressed to: Freedom of Information Act Appeal, DO, Disclosure Services, Department of the Treasury, Washington, D.C. 20020. The appeal should reasonably describe the records to which access has been denied and should specify the date of the initial request and the date of this determination. Please enclose copies of your initial request and this message.

Rich Delmar
Counsel to the Inspector General
Department of the Treasury



OFFICE OF
INSPECTOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20220

December 20, 2011

**MEMORANDUM FOR LAURA L. MCAULIFFE, SENIOR ADVISOR
OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF THE CURRENCY**

FROM:

[REDACTED] / 12-20-11
Special Agent in Charge

SUBJECT:

Notification of Preliminary Inquiry Closure

OIG Preliminary Inquiry Number: OCC-12-0383-P

A preliminary inquiry was initiated by the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Office of Inspector General, Office of Investigations (TOIG), after receiving information from an anonymous complainant alleging that [REDACTED] Director for [REDACTED] [REDACTED], Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), is having an intimate relationship with a subordinate, [REDACTED] and is using [REDACTED] government purchase card for unauthorized purchases related to this relationship.

As a result, TOIG conducted an investigative assessment into the misuse of the government purchase card and determined this matter lacks investigative merit. As a result we are closing this matter accordingly.

If you have questions or if you develop information that may indicate a need for additional or new investigative activity to assist you in resolving this matter, please contact me at (202) 927-5765.

This report is the property of the Office of Inspector General, and is For Official Use Only. It contains sensitive law enforcement information, the use and dissemination of which is subject to the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552a. This information may not be copied or disseminated without the written permission of the OIG, which will be granted only in accordance with the Privacy Act and the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552. Any unauthorized or unofficial use or dissemination of this information will be penalized.

Office of Inspector General – Investigations
Department of the Treasury



OFFICE OF
INSPECTOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20220

March 14, 2012

MEMORANDUM FOR OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS

FROM:

[REDACTED] Special Agent in Charge

3-14-12

SUBJECT:

Notification of Preliminary Inquiry Closure

OIG Preliminary Inquiry Number: OCC-12-0689-P

A preliminary inquiry was initiated by the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Office of Inspector General, Office of Investigations (TOIG), after receiving information from a complainant alleging two employees of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), were involved in intimate relationship, and were caught by OCC Security in a compromising position on OCC property.

As a result, TOIG conducted an investigative assessment including several interviews of individuals possible involved or had knowledge of the incident and determined this matter lacks investigative merit. As a result we are closing this matter accordingly.

This report is the property of the Office of Inspector General, and is For Official Use Only. It contains sensitive law enforcement information, the use and dissemination of which is subject to the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552a. This information may not be copied or disseminated without the written permission of the OIG, which will be granted only in accordance with the Privacy Act and the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552. Any unauthorized or unofficial use or dissemination of this information will be penalized.

Office of Inspector General – Investigations
Department of the Treasury

From: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@occ.treas.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2012 11:03 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED] need to identify complainant in OCC referral

Importance: High

[REDACTED] informed me that the employee is willing to be interviewed. The employee is [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] can be reached at [REDACTED] (2). If you need anything else, please let me know. Our directory indicates that [REDACTED] is a space planner in our real estate services unit. [REDACTED] is located in our offices at Constitution Center.
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [mailto:McCaneyS@oig.treas.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2012 9:02 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: need to identify complainant in OCC referral

Hi [REDACTED]

OCC sent us the attached complaint, we referred it back to the OCC, and the OCC referred it back to us. We need to interview the complainant and we understand that, even though the complainant is anonymous, OCC knows who the complainant is. If OCC does know who the complainant is, would [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] be willing to release [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] contact information to us so we can interview [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] If not, would [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] perhaps be willing to be interviewed in anonymity by telephone?

-- [REDACTED]



MEMORANDUM

Comptroller of the Currency
Administrator of National Banks

Washington, DC 20219

To: [REDACTED], Assistant Special Agent in Charge

From: [REDACTED] Senior Advisor /s/

Date: November 2, 2011

Subject: OCC Referral – Unethical Behavior

Below is an email message that was sent by an OCC employee who wishes to remain anonymous. The message was redacted and forwarded to me by the OCC's Director of Administrative and Internal Law, whose division includes the OCC's ethics counsel. If you need additional information, particularly as it relates to the acronyms used, please let me know.

From:
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2011 1:05 PM
To:
Subject: Ethics Training

I really enjoyed your ethics presentation. The format you used made it so much more enjoyable.

I do have several questions, and would like to remain anonymous if possible. I believe I would lose my job if I did not remain anonymous.

All the ethics points you touched seemed to involve bank examiners and issues with investments. I'm neither a bank examiner nor have any money to invest. Rather, I'm an [redacted] department.

In the last two or so years, the entire OM department's moral has gone down. Why? The appearance of 'unethical behavior'. I'm not sure where to start...but I'll try to put them down in point form. Then, my question to you would be...are the points below truly unethical or acceptable?

- The [REDACTED] of OM and [REDACTED] appeared to have a relationship with [REDACTED] assistant who [REDACTED] was evaluating.

- The [redacted] director hires [redacted] BFF as an Senior [redacted] for the HQ relocation (the position was not entirely known to the rest of the [redacted] department and seemed to have been written exclusively for [redacted] BFF - some believe the position was to get [redacted] BFF in a high 'last three' of [redacted] retirement).
- Were other [redacted]s that applied given equal opportunity?
- The [redacted] director informs a [redacted] Lead that [redacted] did not wish to work with a firm called [redacted] due to a falling out with one of the [redacted] partners (some 40 years ago).
- The [redacted] Lead informs the TEP team of the [redacted] director's opinion. The TEP team (which the [redacted] Lead was on) for the most part chose to ignore the opinion and the [redacted] firm was chosen as one of the Architectural contractors for the OCC.
- The [redacted] director asked a [redacted] Lead to remove the [redacted] partner the [redacted] director had a falling out with some 40 years ago from all OCC jobs (Key Personnel)
- The [redacted] director indicates who [redacted] particularly likes to work with ([redacted]) at [redacted] (prior [redacted] Firm) and that particular person get's hired onto the [redacted] firm ([redacted]) who get's the HQ relocation project. The former [redacted] person is now working on the HQ project.

Then it seems like everything else starts to snowball. There appears to be unethical hiring practice in the "Continuing Education" department. Friends of friends start to get hired from the FAA. There is a lot more, and OM is starting to grumble.

Maybe it would be helpful to cover 'all' unethical practices? If none of the above is unethical, at least it can quiet down the grumbling if the above points were covered in your presentation.

This e-mail was especially hard to write. I just wanted to delete after every new sentence. But, I think it would benefit the OCC, especially the OM department, if some of these issues were clarified. Thanks,

[redacted signature block]



MEMORANDUM OF ACTIVITY



Case Number: OCC-12-0689-P	Reporting Office: Washington Field Office	Type of Activity: Interview - Witness
Date of Activity: February 3, 2012	Date Report Drafted: February 3, 2012	Location of Activity: 1425 New York Ave. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005
Subject of Activity: [REDACTED] Office of the Comptroller Of the Currency Washington, D.C. [REDACTED]		Activity Conducted By (Name(s) and Title(s)): SA [REDACTED] SA [REDACTED]

On February 3, 2012 the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Office of Inspector General, Office of Investigations (TOIG), interviewed [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), [REDACTED] ([REDACTED] based upon a complaint alleging ethical improprieties at the OCC, to include an inappropriate personal relationship between supervisor and subordinate. [REDACTED] consented to the interview and provided the following information:

[REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] has worked at OCC since April 2007, and this was [REDACTED] first job in the government. [REDACTED] was fearful of losing [REDACTED] job and was advised by fellow OCC employees that [REDACTED] not come forward because of possible retaliation. [REDACTED] also stated that because [REDACTED] loves [REDACTED] job [REDACTED] needs to tell TOIG about these issues in the hope that things will change. [REDACTED] added that some of the issues [REDACTED] will discuss are fact but a lot of the issues are assumptions.

In September 2011, an EEO investigation was conducted at OCC. The investigation which focused on favoritism allegations was investigated by [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated it was [REDACTED] opinion the investigation did not corroborate the allegations contrary to [REDACTED] belief that favoritism exists at OCC.

[REDACTED] explained there had been a rumor going around OCC that Senior Deputy Comptroller [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was having a relationship with another OCC employee who [REDACTED] evaluated. [REDACTED] identified the employee as [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] was [REDACTED] Office Assistant. [REDACTED] further explained the rumor became fact when [REDACTED] learned that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were written up by OCC Security after being caught in a compromising position. Reportedly they were having sex in

This report contains sensitive law enforcement material and is the property of the Office of Inspector General. It may not be copied or reproduced without written permission from the Office of Inspector General. This report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. Its disclosure to unauthorized persons is strictly prohibited and may subject the disclosing party to liability. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. §§ 552, 552a.

Office of Inspector General - Investigations
Department of the Treasury

OCC-12-MEMORANDUM OF ACTIVITY

Case Number: DO-12-0689-C	Subject of Activity (Brief Description): ██████████	Date of Activity: February 3, 2012
----------------------------------	--	---

either ██████████ office or a stairwell. As a result ██████████ was reassigned to a different department. ██████████ was reportedly counseled on the matter by ██████████ ██████████

██████████ also stated that ██████████ immediate supervisor ██████████ hired ██████████ best friend ██████████ as a Senior Project Manager and believed the position was written for ██████████. Reportedly this position was created so ██████████ could obtain a higher "last three" before ██████████ retirement. ██████████ who ██████████ believes to be gay like ██████████ was hired from a pool of over 100 applicants. ██████████ also stated that ██████████'s hiring was announced by ██████████ in a meeting before the announcement was closed. ██████████ stated that ██████████ made the announcement because ██████████ wants to bring the fact that ██████████ and ██████████ were friends. ██████████ started in April 2011. ██████████ did not conduct the interviews. Interviews were conducted by ██████████ ██████████ and ██████████

Upon further inquiry on the issue, ██████████ stated the announcement came out on February 27, 2011 and closed on March 11, 2011. ██████████ did not know if other applicants were interviewed and stated the position was for only two years with an option to extend for a third year.

██████████ stated it was ██████████ opinion that ██████████ discriminates against females and favors gay males and gives better treatment to men. ██████████ stated the only proof ██████████ could offer was that the pay grades of the OCC employees in the ██████████ reflect males were paid more than females.

██████████ stated that ██████████ tried to influence a Technical Evaluation Panels (TEP) decision on the contract to redesign OCC facilities nationwide. ██████████ explained in early 2010 the TEP was about to convene to review proposals. The panel consisted of ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ and ██████████. ██████████ reportedly informed ██████████ that ██████████ did not expect the TEP to select the firm of ██████████ (██████████ subsequently learned that ██████████ held a grudge against ██████████ employee ██████████ ██████████ and ██████████ were college roommates and ██████████ was responsible for ██████████ getting kicked out of ██████████ college dorm approximately 40 years ago. ██████████ informed the panel of the information ██████████ conveyed. However the panel was not influenced. The TEP reviewed 51 proposals and eventually selected three firms: ██████████ ██████████ and ██████████. ██████████ stated that in the ██████████ there

This report contains sensitive law enforcement material and is the property of the Office of Inspector General. It may not be copied or reproduced without written permission from the Office of Inspector General. This report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. Its disclosure to unauthorized persons is strictly prohibited and may subject the disclosing party to liability. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. §§ 552, 552a.

OCC-12-MEMORANDUM OF ACTIVITY

Case Number: DO-12-0689-C	Subject of Activity (Brief Description): ██████████	Date of Activity: February 3, 2012
----------------------------------	--	---

is no better company than ██████████. There were no bid protests filed after the award was announced.

██████████ also stated once the contract was up and running ██████████ instructed ██████████ to have ██████████ removed from the key personnel list because ██████████ did not want to work with ██████████. ██████████ stated that ██████████ removed ██████████ from the key personnel list.

██████████ also stated that there seems to be a lot of former Federal Aviation Agency (FAA) employees were being hired in the continuing education department and claimed this was an unethical hiring practice.

This report contains sensitive law enforcement material and is the property of the Office of Inspector General. It may not be copied or reproduced without written permission from the Office of Inspector General. This report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. Its disclosure to unauthorized persons is strictly prohibited and may subject the disclosing party to liability. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. §§ 552, 552a.



MEMORANDUM OF ACTIVITY



Case Number: OCC-12-0689-P	Reporting Office: Washington Field Office	Type of Activity: LEO Activity - Interview
Date of Activity: February 16, 2012	Date Report Drafted: February 16, 2012	Location of Activity: 1425 N.Y. Ave. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005
Subject of Activity: [REDACTED] Office of the Comptroller Of the Currency Washington, D.C. [REDACTED]		Activity Conducted By (Name(s) and Title(s)): SA [REDACTED] SA [REDACTED]

On February 16, 2012, in a follow-up to a February 3, 2012 interview, the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Office of Inspector General, Office of Investigations (TOIG), contacted [REDACTED] Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), [REDACTED] based upon a complaint alleging ethical improprieties at the OCC, to include an inappropriate personal relationship between supervisor and subordinate. [REDACTED] consented to the interview and provided the following information:

When initially contacted, [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] could not discuss the issues on the telephone because [REDACTED] worked in a cubicle. [REDACTED] requested contact by email.

In an email exchange, [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] about the sexual encounter between [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was informed of this issue by [REDACTED] and fellow OCC employee [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] works for Senior Deputy Comptroller for Mid-Size/Community Bank Supervision and told [REDACTED] that [REDACTED] read the Office of Security's report.

[REDACTED] supervisor is the Acting Comptroller of the Currency John Walsh.

[REDACTED] worked with [REDACTED] at their previous agency prior to coming over to OCC. [REDACTED] believed they came from FAA but was not certain. [REDACTED] was hired by OCC and [REDACTED] brought [REDACTED] over as [REDACTED] Executive Assistant.

This report contains sensitive law enforcement material and is the property of the Office of Inspector General. It may not be copied or reproduced without written permission from the Office of Inspector General. This report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. Its disclosure to unauthorized persons is strictly prohibited and may subject the disclosing party to liability. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. §§ 552, 552a.

Office of Inspector General - Investigations
Department of the Treasury



MEMORANDUM OF ACTIVITY



Case Number: OCC-12-0689-P	Reporting Office: Washington Field Office	Type of Activity: LEO Activity - Record/Information Review
Date of Activity: February 22, 2012	Date Report Drafted: February 22, 2012	Location of Activity: 1425 N.Y. Ave. NW Washington, D.C. 20005
Subject of Activity: [REDACTED] Senior Advisor Office of the Comptroller Of the Currency Washington, D.C. [REDACTED]		Activity Conducted By (Name(s) and Title(s)): SA [REDACTED] - TOIG

On February 22, 2012, the U.S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury), Office of Inspector General, Office of Investigations (TOIG), received employment data on Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) Program Analyst [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Senior Advisor, OCC provided the information in a email in furtherance of a complaint alleging ethical improprieties at the OCC, to include an inappropriate personal relationship between supervisor and subordinate. The review provided substantially the following information:

[REDACTED] was hired with OCC on [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was an Executive Assistant to the Senior Deputy Comptroller for [REDACTED] from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] immediate supervisor was [REDACTED] [REDACTED] currently works as a Program Analyst and [REDACTED] current supervisor is [REDACTED].

This report contains sensitive law enforcement material and is the property of the Office of Inspector General. It may not be copied or reproduced without written permission from the Office of Inspector General. This report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. Its disclosure to unauthorized persons is strictly prohibited and may subject the disclosing party to liability. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. §§ 552, 552a.

Office of Inspector General - Investigations
Department of the Treasury

From: [REDACTED] ([REDACTED]@occ.treas.gov)
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2012 1:48 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: OIG Investigator Request

[REDACTED],
If you need anything else, please let me know.
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2012 6:03 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED] OIG Investigator Request

Hi [REDACTED] here is the information you requested.

Date hired with OCC: [REDACTED]

Title: Executive Assistant to the SDC for [REDACTED]
Dates held: [REDACTED] to [REDACTED]
Immediate supervisor: [REDACTED]

Title: Program Analyst
Dates held: [REDACTED] to present
Immediate supervisor: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Human Resources Service Center - HQ
Office of Human Resources
Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

(202) [REDACTED] (office)

(301) [REDACTED] (fax)

Satisfying Customer Needs Through Operational Excellence - If you would like to comment on my services today, please click [here](#).

This message is intended for designated recipients only. If you have received this message in error, please delete the original and all copies and notify the sender immediately. Federal law prohibits the disclosure or other use of this information.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 11:52 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED] OIG Investigator Request

That would be okay, [REDACTED]
Thanks!
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 11:41 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED] OIG Investigator Request

[REDACTED] I will have a staff member start work on this. Can we get this information by cob this week or does the IG need it sooner?

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Employment Policy & Services
Office of Human Resources
Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
(202) [REDACTED] (o)
(301) [REDACTED] (f)

Satisfying Customer Needs Through Operational Excellence - If you would like to comment on my services today, please click [here](#).

This message is intended for designated recipients only. If you have received this message in error, please delete the original and all copies and notify the sender immediately. Federal law prohibits the disclosure or other use of this information.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2012 10:11 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: OIG Investigator Request

[REDACTED],
An agent from Treasury OIG is conducting an investigation and needs employment information concerning [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] needs to know when [REDACTED] was hired by the OCC, the dates and titles of positions that [REDACTED] has held, and who [REDACTED] immediate supervisor was for each of the positions. Can you help with this request?
Thanks!
[REDACTED]



MEMORANDUM OF ACTIVITY



Case Number: OCC-12-0689-P	Reporting Office: Washington Field Office	Type of Activity: Interview - Witness
Date of Activity: February 23, 2012	Date Report Drafted: February 23, 2012	Location of Activity: 1425 New York Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005
Subject of Activity: [REDACTED] Program Analyst Office of the Comptroller Of the Currency Washington, D.C. [REDACTED]		Activity Conducted By (Name(s) and Title(s)): SA [REDACTED] Sa [REDACTED]

On February 23, 2012, the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Office of Inspector General, Office of Investigations (TOIG), interviewed [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Program Analyst, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency regarding a complaint alleging ethical improprieties at the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, to include an inappropriate personal relationship between supervisor and subordinate. [REDACTED] consented to the interview, placed under oath and provided substantially the following information:

[REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] was hired by OCC in [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was hired to be the Executive Assistant for the Senior Deputy Comptroller [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. During the first pay period in [REDACTED], [REDACTED] was transferred to the [REDACTED] Section of OCC and began work for [REDACTED] Melo.

[REDACTED] explained [REDACTED] has known [REDACTED] professionally and personally for over 20 years. Before working for the U.S. government, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] worked in the private sector together in [REDACTED]. They were partners in a tax firm. [REDACTED] who is a CPA, stated [REDACTED] worked for [REDACTED] when [REDACTED] was the Assistant Secretary for [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED] at the Department of [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] also worked for [REDACTED] when [REDACTED] was the Inspector General at the Department of [REDACTED].

Upon inquiry, [REDACTED] stated [REDACTED] is currently in a romantic relationship with [REDACTED]. However, when [REDACTED] was working for [REDACTED] at OCC they were never romantically involved. [REDACTED] explained the romantic relationship began

This report contains sensitive law enforcement material and is the property of the Office of Inspector General. It may not be copied or reproduced without written permission from the Office of Inspector General. This report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. Its disclosure to unauthorized persons is strictly prohibited and may subject the disclosing party to liability. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. §§ 552, 552a.

MEMORANDUM OF ACTIVITY

Case Number: OCC-12-0689-P	Subject of Activity (Brief Description): ██████████	Date of Activity: February 23, 2012
-----------------------------------	--	--

in October or November 2011. At that time, ██████████ informed ██████████ was leaving ██████████ and wanted a divorce. ██████████ and ██████████ began to spend more time together outside of work and their friendship eventually elevated to the next level.

██████████ stated ██████████ started thinking about changes approximately 18 months ago. ██████████ thought of leaving the OCC because ██████████ wanted to do something "different". ██████████ knew someone on the Board at the newly formed Consumer Finance Protection Board (CFPB). However, OCC convinced ██████████ to stay on. A year later ██████████ began discussions with CFPB about a position; however, the position never came through. This past summer ██████████ was one of two individuals being considered for an Executive Assistant position in OCC's front office; however, the Acting Comptroller decided not to fill the position. ██████████ eventually transferred to ██████████ current position in the ██████████ Section in ██████████. The move occurred prior to ██████████ romantic relationship with ██████████

Upon inquiry, ██████████ stated ██████████ never was in a compromising position with ██████████ at OCC. ██████████ denied ██████████ had sex with ██████████ in any OCC office. ██████████ denied ever having sex with ██████████ in any OCC stairwell. This was the first time ██████████ heard anything like this and called the allegations ridiculous. OCC Security never spoke to ██████████ or ██████████ about the allegations. No one from OCC ever discussed this issue with ██████████. This included ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ and ██████████ ██████████ added both ██████████ and ██████████ office are partially constructed with glass and anyone could look in to the offices. ██████████ added that the stairwells at OCC are busy. ██████████ stated, ██████████ is a ██████████ year old ██████████, a CPA who is very conservative and both ██████████ and ██████████ have their own apartments. There would be no reason to do what was alleged and it would be foolish.

██████████ concluded that ██████████ has never been the subject of any misconduct and holds a high ethical standard.

This report contains sensitive law enforcement material and is the property of the Office of Inspector General. It may not be copied or reproduced without written permission from the Office of Inspector General. This report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. Its disclosure to unauthorized persons is strictly prohibited and may subject the disclosing party to liability. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. §§ 552, 552a.



MEMORANDUM OF ACTIVITY



Case Number: OCC-12-0689-P	Reporting Office: Washington Field Office	Type of Activity: Interview - Witness
Date of Activity: February 27, 2012	Date Report Drafted: February 27, 2012	Location of Activity: 1425 New York Ave. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20220
Subject of Activity: ██████████ Physical Security Specialist Office of the Comptroller Of the Currency Washington, D.C. ██████████		Activity Conducted By (Name(s) and Title(s)): SA ██████████ - TOIG

On February 27, 2012, the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Office of Inspector General, Office of Investigations, interviewed ██████████ ██████████ Physical Security Specialist, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), Office of Security regarding a complaint alleging ethical improprieties at the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, to include an inappropriate personal relationship between supervisor and subordinate. ██████████ provided the following information:

██████████ stated that ever since ██████████ arrival to OCC in July 2011, ██████████ has heard about the rumors of unethical behavior and a relationship between OCC employee's ██████████ ██████████ and ██████████ ██████████ further stated there is no truth to the rumors they were found by OCC in a compromising position on OCC property. The Office of Security does not have any reports, complaints, video etc regarding any unethical improprieties concerning the two OCC employees. The Office of Security is aware of a relationship between the two employees who no longer work together but there is nothing else to substantiate the rumors.

This report contains sensitive law enforcement material and is the property of the Office of Inspector General. It may not be copied or reproduced without written permission from the Office of Inspector General. This report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. Its disclosure to unauthorized persons is strictly prohibited and may subject the disclosing party to liability. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. §§ 552, 552a.

Office of Inspector General - Investigations
Department of the Treasury



MEMORANDUM OF ACTIVITY



Case Number: OCC-12-0689-P	Reporting Office: Washington Field Office	Type of Activity: Interview - Witness
Date of Activity: March 1, 2012	Date Report Drafted: March 1, 2012	Location of Activity: 250 E Street S.W. Washington, D.C.
Subject of Activity: ██████████ Senior Deputy Comptroller Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Washington, D.C. ██████████		Activity Conducted By (Name(s) and Title(s)): SA ██████████ - TOIG

On March 1, 2012, the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Office of Inspector General, Office of Investigations, interviewed ██████████ ██████████ Senior Deputy Comptroller, Midsize Community Bank Supervision, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) regarding a complaint alleging ethical improprieties at the OCC, to include an inappropriate personal relationship between supervisor and subordinate. ██████████ provided the following information:

██████████ stated there have always been rumors that OCC Employees ██████████ ██████████ and ██████████ ██████████ have been involved romantically; however, ██████████ has no direct knowledge of such a relationship.

██████████ stated ██████████ has never seen a report or heard of the existence of an OCC Office of Security report depicting the facts as alleged. ██████████ added neither ██████████ nor ██████████ are under ██████████ supervision. ██████████ described ██████████ as ██████████ peer and ██████████ reports to the Comptroller of the Currency directly. ██████████ reported to ██████████ but was recently transferred to the Enterprise Government section. ██████████ would not be privy to the report if it existed.

██████████ stated ██████████ would not have had any input into ██████████'s reassignment. ██████████ recalled approximately four or five months ago ██████████ was approached by ██████████ asking if there were any positions available for ██████████ ██████████ recalled ██████████ explained that ██████████ was looking for a change. ██████████ stated that ██████████ did not have any positions and added that ██████████ was not qualified to work in ██████████ group. ██████████ heard about Needleman's reassignment one month later because ██████████'s Executive Assistant went to work for ██████████

This report contains sensitive law enforcement material and is the property of the Office of Inspector General. It may not be copied or reproduced without written permission from the Office of Inspector General. This report is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. Its disclosure to unauthorized persons is strictly prohibited and may subject the disclosing party to liability. Public availability to be determined under 5 U.S.C. §§ 552, 552a.

Office of Inspector General - Investigations
Department of the Treasury