



governmentattic.org

"Rummaging in the government's attic"

Description of document: List of Department of the Treasury Inspector General (OIG) closed investigations for CY 2015

Requested date: 2016

Released date: 26-February-2016

Posted date: 25-April-2016

Source of document: FOIA Request
Department of the Treasury
Washington, DC 20220
Fax: 202-622-3895
[FOIA Online Request Form](#)

The governmentattic.org web site ("the site") is noncommercial and free to the public. The site and materials made available on the site, such as this file, are for reference only. The governmentattic.org web site and its principals have made every effort to make this information as complete and as accurate as possible, however, there may be mistakes and omissions, both typographical and in content. The governmentattic.org web site and its principals shall have neither liability nor responsibility to any person or entity with respect to any loss or damage caused, or alleged to have been caused, directly or indirectly, by the information provided on the governmentattic.org web site or in this file. The public records published on the site were obtained from government agencies using proper legal channels. Each document is identified as to the source. Any concerns about the contents of the site should be directed to the agency originating the document in question. GovernmentAttic.org is not responsible for the contents of documents published on the website.

From: "Delmar, Richard K."
Date: Feb 26, 2016 12:40:47 PM
Subject: FOIA - Treasury OIG - closed investigations

This responds to your two FOIA requests for lists of Treasury OIG closed investigations for calendar years 2010 and 2015 (docketed as 2016-02-061 and 2016-02-97). The attached PDF is a list for both those years, identifying case numbers, bureau, and a summary of the allegations. Subject and witness names are redacted per FOIA Exemption 7C.

If you disagree with this resolution of your FOIA request, you can appeal the matter pursuant to 5 U.S.C. section 552(a)(6)(A)(i). Pursuant to the Department's FOIA appeal process set forth in 31 C.F.R. section 1.5(i), an appeal must be submitted within 35 days from the date of this response to your request, signed by you and addressed to: Freedom of Information Act Appeal, DO, FOIA and Transparency, Department of the Treasury, Washington, D.C. 20220. The appeal should reasonably describe your basis for believing that Treasury OIG possesses records to which access has been wrongly denied, that the redactions are improper, or that we have otherwise violated applicable FOIA law or policy.

Rich Delmar
Counsel to the Inspector General
Department of the Treasury

DO-14-0023-1

Departmental Offices (Treasury)

01/05/2015

[illegible]

[illegible]

USM-15-0200-I	United States Mint	04/22/2015	<p><p>Correspondence received via OIG Intake from [REDACTED] with the USM regarding allegations of government owned computer misuse by the above named subject.</p> <p>US Mint Police Incident Number 14-HQ-040</p> </p>
DO-15-1379-I	Departmental Offices (Treasury)	05/04/2015	<p><p>Treasury OIG investigated [REDACTED] in Feb. 2014, after the U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs alleged that [REDACTED] was involved in wrongdoing regarding the award of the [REDACTED] contract at the VA.</p> <p>TOIG found that [REDACTED] recommended [REDACTED] and knew two of their senior employees, but did not award the contract and recused herself of contract negotiations between the VA and [REDACTED].</p> <p>Near the conclusion of this investigation, TIGTA informed TOIG that TIGTA was informed by [REDACTED] suspension and debarment official, DO, that [REDACTED] was significantly involved in the award of a contract to [REDACTED] and knew officials within the company.</p> <p>TIGTA believed it to be an IRS contract.</p> <p>It was later found to be a DO contract.</p> <p>TIGTA was also investigating a complaint that [REDACTED] unnecessarily revoked contract warrants from several procurement employees at the IRS.</p> </p>
BFS-14-1113-I	Financial Management Service	05/08/2015	<p><p>SA [REDACTED] spoke to SA [REDACTED] IRS-CI, Philadelphia (215-[REDACTED]) (215-[REDACTED]).</p> <p>[REDACTED] passed on information that [REDACTED] FMS-Phila was arrested on 3/12/14 and indicted of 25 counts of filing false tax returns.</p> <p>[REDACTED] was preparing others' taxes.</p> <p>[REDACTED] the trial was set to begin 5/19/14.</p> </p>
DO-15-1122-I	Departmental Offices (Treasury)	05/11/2015	<p><p>In February 2015, the U.S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury), Office of Inspector General (OIG) Office of Investigations (TOIG), received a final report of findings from the Florida Housing Finance Corporation, Office of Inspector General (FHFC-OIG), regarding [REDACTED], a mortgage counseling organization, that reportedly falsified records for services not provided and received grant funds from [REDACTED].</p> <p>The funds are congressionally appropriated and disbursed by the U.S. Department of the Treasury. FHFC OIG reported that [REDACTED] self-reported the falsified records and has since reimbursed the funds to FHFC.</p> </p>
DO-15-0764-I	Departmental Offices (Treasury)	05/12/2015	<p><p>Correspondence received via OIG Intake from [REDACTED] from an anonymous complaint regarding allegations of misuse of Treasury time. "Working from home" and rebuilding his home at the same time at [REDACTED].</p> </p>

[illegible]

[illegible]

08/11/2015

<p>Received by Treasury OIG on 8 Jul, 2014 from [REDACTED] (BFS). The Compliance and Risk Management Staff (CRMS) previously submitted information concerning 67 bonds that were stolen from [REDACTED] house in November 2009, see OIG referral number BPD-10-3109R. Below is a summary of the original submission:</p> <p>[REDACTED] contacted BPD on 8/17/10 inquiring as to why her mother, [REDACTED] received an access card in the mail. An access card is a physical card mailed to a customer when an account is opened. [REDACTED] is 86 years old and lives with her daughter [REDACTED], in CA. [REDACTED] stated that her mother did not open a TreasuryDirect account. A hold was immediately placed on the account. The name listed on the bank account in TreasuryDirect is [REDACTED]. The phone number provided in the TreasuryDirect account is for [REDACTED]. The address used was [REDACTED] former address. The access card was forwarded to [REDACTED] home. No connection has been made between [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] with [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] another TreasuryDirect account was identified opened by the same [REDACTED]. A hold was placed on that account as well. Voicemail's have been left for [REDACTED] but she has not returned the calls. Telephone calls have been made to [REDACTED] but nobody has ever answered the phone at that number.</p> <p>Not only does [REDACTED] suspect someone has fraudulently opened a TreasuryDirect account in her mother's name, but she believes some paper savings bonds were stolen from her mother's house, when it was burglarized in November 2009. The house was also vandalized and was burned down. Additionally, [REDACTED] stated that her mother has been a victim of identity theft in the past. Her bank account information was compromised as well as her Social Security Number. She filed reports with her local law enforcement regarding those incidents.</p> <p>On June 18, 2014 [REDACTED] submitted 8 of the stolen bonds mentioned above for redemption. He submitted them with a PD F 1522 "Special Form of Request for Payment of United States Savings and Retirement Securities Where use of a Detached Request is Authorized," along with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] death certificates. The request was denied because the bonds were paid in full on the claim filed by [REDACTED]. CRMS contacted [REDACTED] to determine if there were any relatives that might have obtained these bonds and she stated that she was [REDACTED] baby child and that she [REDACTED].</p> <p>The U.S. Attorney's Office forwards a court filing pertaining to a qui tam case alleging that the [REDACTED] engages in fraudulent billing practices, and requests that an investigator be assigned to the matter.</p>

08/19/2015

CFIF-13-1407-I	Not Bureau Related (ZZZ)	08/19/2015	<p><p>On or about May 03, 2013, the Teaneck, NJ Police Department (TPD) conducted a narcotics related search warrant on the residence of [REDACTED]. While in the process of conducting the search warrant, the TPD located and seized 48 U.S. Treasury checks hidden in the residence. The checks were valued over \$300,000.00.</p></p> <p><p>As a result of the check seizure, the Internal Revenue Service, Criminal Investigation (IRS-CI) in New York was notified. IRS-CI requested the assistance of Treasury Office of Inspector General (TOIG) to conduct a joint investigation and joint operations.</p></p> <p><p>See attached documents (Teaneck PD, Search Warrant & 48 Treasury check images).</p></p>
OCC-15-1221-I	Office of the Comptroller of the Currency	08/21/2015	<p><p>The Offices of Inspector General for both the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the Federal Housing Finance Agency have requested TOIG assistance in the following matter: [REDACTED], owner of [REDACTED] a property management firm, refuses to account for the homeowners association fees and security deposits held by his company in a bank, Community West Bank, NA, of which [REDACTED] himself is the founder and Chairman of the Board. It is suspected that fund deposits are comingled with other community accounts into a single account and [REDACTED] alone controls the account. He is suspected of utilizing the funds for his personal use or has pledged them as collateral on Federal Home Loan Bank loans.</p></p> <p><p>FHFA OIG Case Reference No. Z-15-0948</p></p>
DO-13-0546-I	Departmental Offices (Treasury)	08/25/2015	<p><p>Complaint received 1/16/2013, via email; (keep="" confidential<="" identity="" my="" p="" p><="" span><="" style="font-family: 'Calibri', sans-serif; font-size: 11pt; mso-ascii-theme-font: minor-latin; mso-fareast-font-family: Calibri; mso-fareast-theme-font: minor-latin; mso-hansi-theme-font: minor-latin; mso-bidi-font-family: 'Times New Roman'; mso-bidi-theme-font: minor-bidi; mso-ansi-language: EN-US; mso-fareast-language: EN-US; mso-bidi-language: AR-SA"> <p><p>Complainant alleges - Newly-elected [REDACTED] of American Samoa, in the first executive order of his administration, removed me as [REDACTED] of the American Samoa Economic Stimulus & Recovery Office (ASESRO) on January 14, 2013. According to the [REDACTED] I was being replaced and demoted because of the Section 1602 report my office had released before our territory's gubernatorial election in November 2012. [REDACTED] had been the [REDACTED] American Samoa during most of the time the Section 1602 program was administered by DBAS.</p></p> </p>
FMS-13-0074-I	Financial Management Service	08/26/2015	<p><p>Complaint received via email</p></p> <p><p>US Treasury Check</p></p>

[illegible]

BFS-15-1769-I

Bureau of the Fiscal Service

09/09/2015

<p>Correspondence received via OIG intake from [REDACTED] with BFS regarding the above named subject and allegations of the following:</p>

<p>May 28, 2015, Fiscal Service employee [REDACTED] was stopped by the Ohio State Patrol for speeding. Subsequent to the stop, he was also issued a criminal summons for No Operator's License, Possession of Marijuana, and Possession of Marijuana Paraphernalia.

 [REDACTED] was not arrested or required to post bond after the traffic stop. His arraignment is scheduled for June 10, 2015, at the Marietta Municipal Court.<span style="font-family: "Times New Roman","serif"; font-size: 12pt; mso-fareast-font-family: 'Times New Roman'; mso-ansi-language: EN-US; mso-fareast-language: EN-US; mso-bidi-language: AR-

DO-13-1872-I

Departmental Offices (Treasury)

09/09/2015

<p>On June 27, 2013, we received an e-mail from [REDACTED] DCRB regarding this case. The case was referred to DCRB for reconsideration in December 2012. DCRB was researching information that was provided to our office regarding school attendance. According to the Registrar of Career Training Solutions, [REDACTED] the transcripts provided to our office from [REDACTED] were re-created. The transcripts were altered and included a student ID which belonged to [REDACTED] not [REDACTED] It appears as though [REDACTED] altered these documents to show that her daughter was in school, and therefore should not owe this debt. We feel that collection action should be pursued against [REDACTED] and not A [REDACTED] because to date, we have no way of showing that [REDACTED] even aware of this debt. Additionally, [REDACTED] submitted altered documents to try and substantiate that this debt is not valid. See attachments.</p>

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]