



# governmentattic.org

*"Rummaging in the government's attic"*

Description of document: Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA) Management Advisory Report: Additional Options to Collect Tax Debts Need To Be Explored, Reference Number: 2001-40-122, July 2001

Requested date: 18-February-2017

Released date: 21-March-2017

Posted date: 01-May-2017

Source of document: Office of Chief Counsel Disclosure Branch  
Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration  
City Center Building  
1401 H Street, NW, Suite 469  
Washington, DC 20005  
Fax: (202) 622-3339  
Email: [FOIA.Reading.Room@tigta.treas.gov](mailto:FOIA.Reading.Room@tigta.treas.gov)

The governmentattic.org web site ("the site") is noncommercial and free to the public. The site and materials made available on the site, such as this file, are for reference only. The governmentattic.org web site and its principals have made every effort to make this information as complete and as accurate as possible, however, there may be mistakes and omissions, both typographical and in content. The governmentattic.org web site and its principals shall have neither liability nor responsibility to any person or entity with respect to any loss or damage caused, or alleged to have been caused, directly or indirectly, by the information provided on the governmentattic.org web site or in this file. The public records published on the site were obtained from government agencies using proper legal channels. Each document is identified as to the source. Any concerns about the contents of the site should be directed to the agency originating the document in question. GovernmentAttic.org is not responsible for the contents of documents published on the website.



INSPECTOR GENERAL  
FOR TAX  
ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

March 21, 2017

This is in response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request sent February 18, 2017, seeking access to records maintained by the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA). Specifically, you requested a copy of the "Management Advisory Report: Additional Options to Collect Tax Debts Need To Be Explored July 2001 Reference Number: 2001-40-122". TIGTA's Disclosure Branch received your request on February 21, 2017.

For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the FOIA. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(c) (2006 & Supp. IV 2010). This response is limited to those records that are subject to the requirements of the FOIA. This is a standard notification that is given to all our requesters and should not be taken as an indication that excluded records do, or do not, exist.

The internal version of the subject Management Advisory Report was located. This report consists of eleven (11) pages, and we are releasing the document in full. A copy is enclosed.

Since the cost incurred to process your FOIA request was less than \$25.00, the threshold set by Treasury's FOIA regulation, no fees were assessed.

I trust that this information fully satisfies your request. If you need any further assistance or would like to discuss any aspect of your request, please do not hesitate to contact Government Information Specialist Monica Frye at (202) 622-2738 or [monica.frye@tigta.treas.gov](mailto:monica.frye@tigta.treas.gov) and refer to Disclosure File #2017-FOI-00124; or our FOIA Public Liaison at (202) 622-4068.

Sincerely,

*Monica Frye*

(For) Amy P. Jones  
Disclosure Officer

Enclosure

**Management Advisory Report:  
Additional Options to Collect Tax Debts  
Need to Be Explored**

**July 2001**

**Reference Number: 2001-40-122**

**This report remains the property of the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA) and may not be disseminated beyond the Internal Revenue Service without the permission of TIGTA.**



INSPECTOR GENERAL  
for TAX  
ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20220

July 24, 2001

MEMORANDUM FOR COMMISSIONER, SMALL BUSINESS/SELF-EMPLOYED  
DIVISION

*Pamela J. Gardiner*

FROM: Pamela J. Gardiner  
Deputy Inspector General for Audit

SUBJECT: Final Management Advisory Report - Additional Options to  
Collect Tax Debts Need to Be Explored

This report presents the results of our review to determine what actions the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) has taken regarding the use of collection agencies to assist in tax debt collection activities since its pilot test in 1996. This report is being provided for informational purposes to aid IRS management in its efforts to reduce tax debts.

In summary, the IRS has not pursued the use of collection agencies since its 1996 pilot test to assess the feasibility of using these agencies to assist in debt collection activities. Reviews of the IRS' pilot test identified legal and administrative barriers that prevented the pilot from being an effective test for using collection agencies. In June 1997, the Congress, based on information provided by the General Accounting Office, directed the IRS to stop the use of collection agencies in tax debt collection.

As tax debt receivables continue to rise (gross accounts receivables rose from \$216 billion in Fiscal Year (FY) 1996 to \$264 billion in FY 2000) with the IRS unable to adequately address the increasing number of delinquency cases, the IRS may want to reconsider the use of collection agencies to assist in tax debt collection.

Copies of this report are also being sent to the IRS managers who are affected by the report conclusions. Please contact me at (202) 622-6510 if you have questions or Walter Arrison, Assistant Inspector General for Audit (Wage and Investment Income Programs), at (770) 936-4590.

	Initiator	Proofreader	Referencer	Reviewer	Reviewer	Reviewer	Reviewer	Reviewer
Office Symbols	IG:A:WIP	IG:A:WIP	IG:A:WIP	IG:A	IG:A			
Surname	Miley	Phillips	Arrison	Goss	Gardiner			
Date	7/13/01	7/16/01	7/17/01	07/20/01	7/24/01			

**Management Advisory Report:  
Additional Options to Collect Tax Debts Need to Be Explored**

---

### **Objective and Scope**

*The objective of the review was to determine what actions the IRS has taken regarding the use of collection agencies to assist in tax debt collection since its pilot test in 1996.*

The objective of our review was to determine what actions the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) has taken regarding the use of collection agencies to assist in tax debt collection activities since its pilot test in 1996.

To accomplish our objective, we:

- Obtained and analyzed prior audit reports evaluating the effectiveness of the IRS' pilot test of collection agencies.
- Obtained and analyzed key laws and provisions to determine the IRS' responsibilities relating to the use of collection agencies.
- Obtained and analyzed the IRS' tax administration strategy and modernization plans to determine whether they include outsourcing of tax debt cases to collection agencies.
- Interviewed IRS personnel in the Small Business/Self-Employed Compliance Policy and Customer Accounts Management Business Systems Modernization areas.

The review was performed at the National Headquarters from April to May 2001. The review was conducted in accordance with the President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency's *Quality Standards for Inspections*.

Major contributors to this report are listed in Appendix I. Appendix II contains the Report Distribution List.

**Management Advisory Report:  
Additional Options to Collect Tax Debts Need to Be Explored**

---

## **Background**

*In response to the IRS' growing tax debt receivables, the Congress directed the IRS to test the usefulness of collection agencies in tax debt collection activities.*

During the period 1990 through 1994, the IRS' gross tax debt receivables<sup>1</sup> grew about 80 percent from \$87 billion to \$156 billion. However, during the same period, annual collections of delinquent taxes declined about 8 percent from \$25.5 billion to \$23.5 billion.

In response to the IRS' growing tax debt receivables, the Congress directed<sup>2</sup> the IRS to test the usefulness of collection agencies in tax debt collection activities. In November 1995, the IRS was provided with \$13 million to award contracts to collection agencies and private counsel law firms. In June 1996, the IRS awarded contracts to five collection agencies.

In September 1996, the Congress allocated<sup>3</sup> an additional \$26 million to the IRS to continue the outsourcing of tax debt collection cases and to initiate a second pilot project. However, reviews of the IRS' initial pilot test identified legal and administrative barriers that prevented the pilot from being an effective test for using collection agencies. In June 1997, the Congress, based on information provided by the General Accounting Office, directed that the IRS stop the use of collection agencies to assist in tax debt collection.

In addition to the above laws establishing the IRS' ability to outsource debt collection work, there are laws and provisions relating to taxpayer privacy and security that the IRS must ensure the contracted collection agencies adhere to. Appendix III of this report provides a listing and overview of the key laws and provisions.

---

<sup>1</sup> Gross tax debt receivables include all unpaid assessments of tax, penalty, and interest recorded on taxpayers' accounts.

<sup>2</sup> Treasury, Postal Service, and General Government Appropriations Act, 1996 [Page 109 Stat. 468] Public Law 104-52, enacted November 19, 1995.

<sup>3</sup> Omnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act, 1997 104 P.L. 208.

**Management Advisory Report:  
Additional Options to Collect Tax Debts Need to Be Explored**

---

## Results

*Since the cancellation of its pilot, the IRS has not pursued the use of collection agencies to assist in reducing tax debt receivables.*

The IRS has not pursued the use of collection agencies to assist in reducing tax debt receivables since its 1996 pilot test. In addition, reviews of the IRS' tax administration strategy and modernization plans identified no specific actions the IRS plans to take relating to the future use of collection agencies.

However, subsequent to the cancellation of the pilot test (and outside of the normal IRS collection process) the IRS began participating in the Treasury Offset Program.<sup>4</sup> The IRS implemented the first phase (Federal Payment Levy Program) in July 2000 by beginning to levy federal retirement and federal contractor payments to offset tax debts owed by these individuals. As of March 2001, approximately \$8.4 million in delinquent tax debts had been collected. The IRS plans to expand its Federal Payment Levy Program to include the levying of federal wages and social security payments in July and October 2001, respectively.

We believe that, in addition to these efforts, the IRS should reconsider the use of other debt collection options.

---

<sup>4</sup> The Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997, Pub. L. No. 105-34, 111 Stat. 788 (codified as amended in scattered sections of 5 U.S.C., 19 U.S.C., 26 U.S.C., 29 U.S.C., 31 U.S.C., 42 U.S.C., and 46 app.) authorized the IRS to use its Federal Payment Levy Program to collect outstanding tax debts.



**Management Advisory Report:  
Additional Options to Collect Tax Debts Need to Be Explored**

---

---

**Additional Options to Collect Tax Debts Need to Be Explored**

---

*In June 1996, the IRS contracted with 5 collection agencies and provided them 168,521 cases on which taxes of over \$1.2 billion were owed.*

*Based on legal and administrative problems relating to the initial pilot project, the Congress directed the IRS to stop using collection agencies.*

On November 19, 1995, the Congress directed the IRS to test the usefulness of collection agencies in tax debt collection activities. On June 14, 1996, the IRS awarded contracts to 5 collection agencies and provided them 168,521 delinquency cases on which taxes of over \$1.2 billion were owed.

The role of the collection agencies was not to collect taxes but to assist the IRS in its collection efforts, including finding, contacting, and reminding taxpayers of their tax liabilities and/or securing commitments for full payments or installment agreements. In addition, the IRS hoped to gain familiarity with the business practices followed by the debt collection industry.

The Congress, on September 30, 1996, allocated another \$26 million to the IRS to continue the outsourcing of tax debt collection cases and to initiate a second pilot project. However, based on legal and administrative problems relating to the initial pilot project, House Committee members Kolbe,<sup>5</sup> Johnson,<sup>6</sup> and Horn<sup>7</sup> recommended that the IRS stop the use of collection agencies and work with the General Accounting Office (GAO) to develop legislative proposals necessary to conduct a successful program. The identified legal and administrative problems included:

- The IRS' legal interpretations prevented the pilot from being a true test of private contractors' ability to collect delinquent taxes. For example, private

---

<sup>5</sup> Jim Kolbe, Chairman, Subcommittee on Treasury, Postal Service, and General Government Committee on Appropriations.

<sup>6</sup> Nancy L. Johnson, Chairman, Subcommittee on Oversight Committee on Ways and Means.

<sup>7</sup> Stephen Horn, Chairman, Subcommittee on Government Management, Information, and Technology and Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

**Management Advisory Report:  
Additional Options to Collect Tax Debts Need to Be Explored**

---

contractors are not able to actually collect taxes owed.

- Systems and operations problems made it difficult to identify, select, and transmit cases to collection agencies.
- The pilot measurement plan did not include a comparison of the best practices of private collectors with the IRS' own collection techniques.

*The IRS ended its pilot test in June 1997 concluding that the pilot program was not a successful business venture.*

The IRS ended its pilot test in June 1997. In its *IRS Private Sector Debt Collection Pilot Project* report dated October 1997, the IRS concluded that the pilot program was not a successful business venture. The IRS estimated the pilot would cost approximately \$9 million with projected debt collections of \$27.5 million. In comparison, traditional IRS efforts would have resulted in a cost of approximately \$1.8 million with projected debt collections of over \$30.5 million.

The IRS' low return on investment was addressed in a December 1997 IRS Inspection Service (now Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration) report.<sup>8</sup> We reported that the majority of cases delivered to the collection agencies were small dollar delinquencies that the IRS can collect at a minimal cost. For example:

- The contracts called for only 6 percent of the cases sent to the collection agencies to be small dollar delinquencies compared to the 53 percent that were actually provided.
- Over 5,000 cases provided to the collection agencies would result in the contractor being paid more than the taxes that would have been collected.

*After the termination of the pilot, the IRS informed the GAO that because of its reorganization, the use of collection agencies was not an appropriate use of resources.*

At the recommendation of the Congress when the pilot was canceled, the IRS and GAO met in February 1998. The IRS' Chief Operations Officer informed the GAO that because of the IRS' reorganization, contracting out tax debt collection activities was not an appropriate use of IRS resources since the reorganization was planned to

---

<sup>8</sup> *Contracting Out Collection Activities* (Reference Number 080805, dated December 19, 1997).

**Management Advisory Report:  
Additional Options to Collect Tax Debts Need to Be Explored**

---

take several years, and then the impact of the reorganization would have to be assessed. The IRS concluded that the contracting out to collection agencies would not happen in the short term and perhaps not in the long term.

Now that the IRS has reorganized into four business units that are focused on different segments of the taxpayer population, we believe the IRS should reconsider the use of collection agencies to help it reduce the growing tax debt receivables.

### **Conclusion**

The IRS continues to face challenges in collecting tax debt receivables. Gross account receivables rose from \$216 billion in Fiscal Year (FY) 1996 to \$264 billion in FY 2000. Although the IRS reports that a large percentage of these receivables are not collectible,<sup>9</sup> the IRS is still unable to adequately address the increasing number of delinquency cases. Given these factors, the IRS may want to reconsider the use of collection agencies in tax debt collection activities.

---

<sup>9</sup> *Internal Revenue Service Annual Report for Fiscal Year 1999.*

**Management Advisory Report:  
Additional Options to Collect Tax Debts Need to Be Explored**

---

**Appendix I**

**Major Contributors to This Report**

Walter E. Arrison, Assistant Inspector General for Audit (Wage and Investment Income Programs)  
Michael Phillips, Director  
Russell Martin, Audit Manager  
Dan Adams, Senior Auditor  
Pamela DeSimone, Senior Auditor  
Robert Howes, Senior Auditor  
Edith Lemire, Senior Auditor  
John Piecuch, Senior Auditor  
Roberta Bruno, Auditor  
Mary Keyes, Auditor  
Grace Terranova, Auditor

**Management Advisory Report:  
Additional Options to Collect Tax Debts Need to Be Explored**

---

**Appendix II**

**Report Distribution List**

Commissioner N:C  
Commissioner, Wage and Investment Division W  
Director, National Program Filing and Payment Compliance S:C  
Director, Compliance Policy Collection Reengineering S:C  
Director, Strategy and Finance W:S  
Director, Legislative Affairs CL:LA  
Chief Counsel CC  
Director, Office of Program Evaluation and Risk Analysis N:ADC:R:O  
National Taxpayer Advocate TA  
Office of Management Controls N:CFO:F:M  
Audit Liaison:  
    Director, National Program Filing and Payment Compliance S:C

**Management Advisory Report:  
Additional Options to Collect Tax Debts Need to Be Explored**

---

**Appendix III**

**Key Laws and Provisions Dictating Actions Collection Agencies Must Adhere to When Acting as Instruments of the Internal Revenue Service**

*Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1601 note, & 1692-1692o (1994 & Supp. IV 1998)*

- Sets standards that collection agencies must follow. These standards include the manner in which the collection agency communicates with the taxpayer (e.g., calls must be made within certain hours) and that collection agencies may not engage in any conduct which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in the collection of a tax debt.

*Taxpayer Bill of Rights 2 (TBOR2), Pub. L. No. 104-168, 110 Stat. 1452 (1996) (codified as amended in scattered sections of 26 U.S.C.)*

- Requires the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) to ensure that taxpayers are informed of their rights during the collection process. These laws detail specific information to be included in tax delinquency notices and establish new guidance regarding installment agreements.

*Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a (1994 & Supp. IV 1998)*

- Contains safeguards preventing the disclosure of information in government files if such disclosure would violate the privacy of individual citizens.

*Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 6103(n) (1994 & Supp. IV 1998)*

- Permits the IRS to make disclosures to contractors (collection agencies); however, the contractor can disclose information only as set forth by the IRS.