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I. Introduction

The rate of early childhood overweight and obesity in the United States has increased significantly over the last 30 years. Comparison of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data collected during 1976–1980 and 2003–2006 shows that the prevalence of overweight increased from 5.0 percent to 12.4 percent among children ages 2 to 5 years old.

The psychosocial risks of potential stigmatization and discrimination in an overweight child can cause low-esteem and/or reduced school performance. Overweight and obese children also face the increased health risks associated with cardiovascular disease, such as high cholesterol, high blood pressure; onset of Type 2 diabetes; and asthma. Furthermore, studies have shown that overweight children and adolescents are more likely to become overweight or obese as adults. Appendix A includes current research on childhood obesity and its related health risks.

Many of the communities served by the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) Office of Head Start (OHS) have been affected by the staggering rise in national childhood obesity rates. Head Start programs located in low-income neighborhoods faced with the environmental risk factors of obesity, such as lack of safe outdoor play spaces and inaccessibility of low-cost, high-quality healthful foods, have seen an increase in the number of overweight children.

Current Head Start Program Performance Standards reflect Head Start’s commitment to supporting children in maintaining a healthy weight and active lifestyle. Appendix B lists the Head Start regulations related to nutrition and physical activity. These were found to be in concordance with recommendations by an expert committee initially convened in 2004 by the American Medical Association and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Health Resources and Services Administration and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The Recommendations were released in a Pediatrics Supplement in December 2007.

Recognizing the need to address the increasing rates of childhood obesity and the impact of the Head Start program on the national community, Congress mandated that within one year after the enactment of Public Law 110-134, "Improving Head Start for School Readiness Act of 2007," the Office of Head Start would submit to Congress a report on the Secretary’s progress in assisting program efforts to prevent and reduce obesity in children who participate in Head Start programs. Refer to Appendix C for language from the "Improving Head Start for School Readiness Act of 2007."

---

This report describes the activities and initiatives undertaken by the Office of Head Start to support Head Start grantees and delegate agencies in taking steps to reduce overweight and obesity among Head Start children and their families.

II. Background

In September 2004, "in response to a request from Congress for a prevention-oriented action plan to tackle the alarming rise in childhood obesity, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) Committee on Prevention of Obesity in Children and Youth has developed a comprehensive national strategy that recommends specific actions for families, schools, industry, communities, and government. The committee's findings and recommendations are described in the report Preventing Childhood Obesity: Health in the Balance. The report provides a broad-based examination of the nature, extent, and consequences of obesity in U.S. children and youth, including the social, environmental, and dietary factors responsible for its increased prevalence. The report's action plan lays out explicit goals and recommendations for preventing obesity and promoting healthy weight in children and youth in various segments of society."  

The Institute of Medicine report Preventing Childhood Obesity: Health in the Balance supported the Office of Head Start's holistic approach to child health and nutrition, including attainment and maintenance of normal weight gain, which is a core element within the 1975 and 1998 Head Start Program Performance Standards (45 CFR 1304). The report also focused on Head Start as a critical component of a successful national strategy to address the prevention and reduction of childhood overweight and obesity. Appendix D includes excerpts from the Institute of Medicine report, Preventing Childhood Obesity: Health in the Balance.

Statistics are collected on Head Start children through the Program Information Report (P.I.R) and are shared as part of the Biennial Reports submitted by OHS to Congress as required by legislation. The P.I.R. is an important source of comprehensive data on Head Start programs at the national, regional, and program level. The P.I.R. devotes significant attention to child health and health services. Programs track and report annually the "number of children who received treatment for overweight". Aggregated national data from 2004-2008 for the percentage of total actual enrollment of children who received treatment for overweight are as follows: 2004, 4.35 percent; 2005, 4.4 percent; 2006, 4.75 percent; 2007, 4.65 percent; and 2008, 5.04 percent. These numbers, which appear low based upon national data describing the prevalence of childhood overweight, may reflect in part the low frequency with which overweight children, especially those under 5 years of age, receive a formal diagnosis and treatment plan from a health care provider (please refer to references in Appendix A). Overweight continues to be tracked and reported by programs in the P.I.R. under "Medical Services - Children" as the "number of all children who received medical treatment for the following chronic health conditions, including those diagnosed prior to this reporting period - d. Overweight." Medical treatment is defined as

---

"any service that is required to improve the physical condition of the child, including all forms of medical follow-up." There is no database maintained by the Office of Head Start to identify and track all children who are overweight separately from their source of preventive and primary health care.

The Office of Head Start conducts triennial and first-year monitoring reviews of grantee and delegate agencies' performance with respect to the Head Start Program Performance Standards. Program Performance Standards in the areas of physical activity and nutrition support Head Start's promotion of attainment and maintenance of healthy weight for children (please refer to Appendix B). Results of aggregate monitoring data for FY 2007, 2008, and 2009 YTD demonstrate that for the standards for active play and movement, the rate of compliance among 1349 agencies evaluated through monitoring is 99.2 percent. During the same time period, the rate of compliance among the 1349 agencies for nutrition standards impacting upon attainment and maintenance of healthy weight was 92.1 percent.

III. Office of Head Start Initiatives to Promote Health and Prevent Obesity Among Children

The Office of Head Start (OHS) has initiated efforts to prevent obesity by promoting healthy lifestyles and physical activity through a variety of innovative and constructive methods. I Am Moving, I Am Learning; the Early Childhood Learning and Knowledge Center; Healthy Children, Healthy Families and Healthy Communities; Office of Head Start Senior Medical Advisor; Little Voices for Healthy Choices; and OHS Innovation and Improvement Project (IIP) Grants: National Head Start Center for Physical Development and Outdoor Play are examples of steps taken by OHS in recent years to bolster obesity prevention for children, support good nutrition, and foster physical exercise. Each of these efforts is described in more detail below.

A. Office of Head Start I Am Moving, I Am Learning Initiative

In August 2004, the Office of Head Start, Region III launched a special initiative, I Am Moving, I Am Learning (IM/IL) to address childhood obesity in two states with significant need – Virginia and West Virginia. Statistics showed 58 percent of Virginia’s adults and 64 percent of West Virginia’s adults were overweight or at-risk of becoming overweight, compared to 56 percent nationally. In West Virginia, 28 percent of low-income children between 2 and 5 years of age were overweight or at-risk of becoming overweight (CDC PedNSS, 2003). Approximately 28 percent of Virginia’s children and 26 percent of West Virginia’s children were overweight in the fourth and fifth grades, nearly twice the national average.

The overarching goals of I Am Moving, I Am Learning are to:

- Increase time spent in moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) during the daily routine to meet national guidelines for physical activity;
- Improve the quality of structured movement experiences facilitated by teachers and adults; and
- Improve healthy nutrition choices for children every day.
The resulting program enhancement was piloted with 17 Region III programs in fiscal year 2005. Based on the success of the pilot trainings, 53 more Head Start grantees in Region III were trained in the spring of 2006. Additional Head Start programs have received training since the spring of 2006, bringing the total number of grantees trained in Region III to 105.

In 2006, I Am Moving, I Am Learning received the "Partnering for HHS Excellence Award" from the Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

In FY 2007-2008, I Am Moving, I Am Learning was disseminated nationwide and Head Start allocated approximately $2.5 million in training funds to allow 800 Head Start grantees to participate in a three day training event on this initiative and its message of the importance of physical activity and nutrition. At present, approximately 832 Head Start programs nationally have received IMIL training. Ongoing development of I Am Moving I Am Learning will be carried out by the Head Start National Center for Physical Development and Outdoor Play, under an Office of Head Start Innovation and Improvement Project grant awarded to the American Alliance for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance (AAHPERD) (see F. below). The Office of Head Start is committed to making IMIL training available to all remaining grantees over the next two years.

Appendix E includes a summary of results from the I Am Moving, I Am Learning Initiative implementation evaluation conducted in Region III.

B. Early Childhood Learning and Knowledge Center (ECLKC)

Parental and caregiver education, involvement, and support are core elements of Head Start. There was a recognized need for a robust and effective means to provide relevant information, including the promotion of physical activity and healthy nutrition, to staff and families. On July 11, 2006, the Office of Head Start announced the launch of the Early Childhood Learning and Knowledge Center (ECLKC), available online at http://eclkc.ohs.acf.hhs.gov/hslc.

The intent of the ECLKC is to offer an interactive, web-based resource providing relevant, timely information, knowledge and learning to Head Start programs and the early childhood community in an easy-to-use format. It is designed to be a comprehensive resource for individuals involved with or interested in early childhood education, with format and content geared to the needs of the intended user.

The site directly serves parents by providing information that supports parents' involvement in their children's lives. It also is designed to inform families about Head Start and Early Head Start, and shares with parents how they can support their child's experiences in the program.

The ECLKC has a specific focus on supporting early childhood development and health. This includes offering a variety of resources and information related to services for young children ages birth to five and their families. There are relevant Head Start Program Performance Standards, articles, tip sheets, and training materials. These resources support the delivery of quality program services, with an emphasis on such topics as parent involvement, staff development, and collaboration.

Specific material is offered on the site regarding the prevention of childhood obesity, including links to relevant content from the National Institutes of Health, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the Health Resources and Services Administration's Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB), the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), the National Association for Sport and Physical Education, and others. "Bright Futures: Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants, Children and Adolescents", (published by the AAP and funded by MCHB), is another resource. Resources may also be searched by topic.  

C. Indian Health Service Head Start Best Practices: Healthy Children, Healthy Families and Healthy Communities Initiative: Lessons Learned in the IHS Head Start Initiative – Primary Prevention of Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes - October 2006

The Indian Health Service Head Start Best Practices report highlights the Tribal activities and the lessons learned in the four-year Healthy Children, Healthy Families, and Healthy Communities Initiative (HCHFHC Initiative). The initiative's positive title was created by the five pilot sites' team members to focus on health promotion rather than disease. Interventions involve children ages 0-5 years, Early Head Start/Head Start staff, parents, and American Indian/Alaskan Native (AI/AN) communities.

The core component of the initiative develops and sustains local community partnerships in the implementation of program interventions. The Indian Health Service (IHS) Head Start project recognized the twin epidemics of obesity and type 2 diabetes and proposed a primary prevention initiative to address these growing problems. Tribal Head Start sites received funds for travel and technical assistance to implement their community action plan.

Using theoretical frameworks of Social Cognitive Theory (SCT) and Social Action Theory (SAT), the HCHFHC Initiative developed educational programming and direct interventions in five AI/AN communities based on each community's specific needs. Health workers and Head Start staff focused on four core areas:

- **Healthy Eating and Nutrition.** Increase access to healthy foods for Head Start families, children, parents, and staff in AI/AN communities.
- **Physical Fitness.** Increase opportunities for physical activities for Head Start families, children, parents, and staff in AI/AN communities.
- **Community Mobilization.** Mobilize the communities on health promotion through local and tribal partnerships and local capacity building.
- **Environmental Policy.** Advocate for policy change and dissemination of

---

promising practices for healthy communities.

The four target audiences were:

- **Children ages 0-5 in Head Start programs.** Education, activities and interventions that focus on healthy eating, physical activity and healthy behaviors to reverse the rising trend of obesity and type 2 diabetes among AI/AN children.
- **Head Start staff.** In-service training programs to provide wellness assessment, healthy eating, stress reduction, and walking and physical fitness programs for staff.
- **Parents and families of Head Start children.** Families of Head Start children are targeted for intervention to increase knowledge of diabetes and obesity prevention through education on healthy eating and exercise for themselves and their children.
- **Communities.** Rather than targeting obese children and their families, the initiative implemented comprehensive interventions that focus on healthful behaviors and practices for the entire community. The initiative sought tribal support through council resolutions supporting the initiative and the endorsement of local Head Start Policy Councils.7

D. **Office of Head Start Senior Medical Advisor**

In September 2007, the Office of Head Start recruited into the position of Senior Medical Advisor a pediatrician with expertise in the delivery of comprehensive primary health care services to low-income and refugee/immigrant communities. This physician was sub-specialty trained in pediatric endocrinology and diabetes, and had a particular interest in childhood overweight and obesity prevention and treatment.


E. **Early Head Start and Migrant and Seasonal Head Start Little Voices for Healthy Choices**

The evolving state of knowledge on childhood overweight and obesity suggested the need for targeted preventive measures to address healthy nutrition and physical activity for pregnant women and infants/toddlers. This led to the development of the Little Voices for Healthy Choices, a national initiative for Early Head Start (EHS) and Migrant and Seasonal Head Start (MSHS) program staff and parents to promote physical activity and healthy nutrition.

The Little Voices for Healthy Choices initiative addresses childhood obesity by focusing on preventative measures for staff and parents to provide healthy nutrition and movement.
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experiences for infants and toddlers. This initiative provides teams of EHS and MSHS programs with knowledge and strategies to positively influence the healthy development of children and families in their care, and subsequently, positively influence their own movement and nutritional practices. Specifically, the Little Voices for Healthy Choices initiative supports Head Start Program Performance Standards 1304.21(a), 1304.21(b), 1304.23, 1304.40, and 1304.41.

Through a competitive application process, 24 EHS and MSHS programs, representative of the 10 ACF Regions and two program branches, were selected to participate in an intensive training experience and one year of supportive follow-up activities. During this experience, participant program teams learn how healthy nutrition, movement, and music contribute to the overall healthy development of infants and toddlers.

The Little Voices for Healthy Choices initiative involves three components:

1. **Training.** An intensive three-day training seminar for team members was held in May 2008. During this experience, participant program teams learned how healthy nutrition, movement, and music contribute to the overall healthy development of infants and toddlers. Teams developed work plans based on this knowledge.

2. **Follow-up.** Teams began implementing strategies formulated during training seminars. Conference calls have been scheduled over a 12-month period to help teams report their work, share their results, and draw support from other teams' experiences. In addition, teams will have access to a listserv that supports communication and the sharing of pertinent resources and information. Implementation will involve not only the team, but may also involve other staff, parents, and community partners.

3. **Evaluation.** The evaluation will seek to understand how change unfolds in programs as they implement learning generated from the training seminar and follow-up activities. Program teams must be available for both qualitative and quantitative evaluation approaches during and immediately following the end of the initiative.

F. Office of Head Start Innovation and Improvement Project (IIP) Grants: National Head Start Center for Physical Development and Outdoor Play

In 2008, the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Head Start (OHS), invited applications from organizations for financial support for a Head Start Innovation and Improvement Project that addressed the promotion of physical development and outdoor play in Head Start.

The Head Start Center for Physical Development and Outdoor Play was funded for three million dollars per year for four years. Approximately one million of each year's three

---

1 Refer to [http://www.chnrc.org/PDFfiles/LittleVoicesOverview.pdf](http://www.chnrc.org/PDFfiles/LittleVoicesOverview.pdf)

---
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million dollars is reserved for providing information and support to OHS for use in setting national priorities and developing policies. It also will support evidence-based training and technical assistance to Head Start and Early Head Start grantees through the development of resources, technical assistance specialists, and direct training at the local, State, regional and national levels. The material developed by the Center will be posted on the Early Childhood Knowledge and Learning Center website to facilitate the widest possible dissemination. Additionally, the Center will directly support the improvement and construction of Head Start playgrounds and outdoor play spaces through training and technical assistance and grants of up to $5,000. (Up to $2,000,000 per year of this funding must be distributed to Head Start grantees for playground and outdoor play space improvement and construction).

Ways in which the Center could provide support to Head Start grantees include:

- Consolidation of expert knowledge and opinion of the physiologic, cognitive, social and behavior benefits of outdoor play;
- Development of "best practices" to assess resources and needs of programs in a variety of communities, including urban and rural settings;
- A competitive process to award funds to Head Start programs and community partners to create outdoor play areas that are safe and appropriate for our children;
- Recognition and support of cultural characteristics and determinants of outdoor play;
- Strategies for extending the indoor learning environment to the outdoor environment to promote both physical and cognitive development;
- Development and dissemination of strategies to promote moderate-to-vigorous (MVPA) and vigorous physical activity (VPA) within programs and communities; and
- Development and implementation of training materials on creating opportunities to meet guidelines for structured and unstructured play.

Expected outcomes are:

- An increase in awareness of the recommendations regarding physical activity for children;
- Increased opportunities for outdoor play in programs and communities;
- Enhanced awareness of making healthy choices, i.e., exercise and healthy nutrition choices; and
- Improvement in health status of children.  

With the creation of the National Center for Physical Development and Outdoor Play, the Office of Head Start is positioned to consolidate and further develop its resources to address the prevention and reduction of childhood overweight and obesity. The conceptual design of the Center is the result of a succession of initiatives within the Head Start program to

---

develop evidence-based and replicable models to promote physical development and the adoption of healthy nutritional practices.

Most of these projects are ongoing. Through these and related efforts, the Office of Head Start seeks to reduce risks for children, encourage physical exercise, foster education about nutrition, and promote good health. Effective prevention of childhood overweight and obesity requires intervention during the prenatal and early childhood periods as well as ongoing support of healthy lifestyle choices throughout the remainder of childhood. Early Head Start and Head Start programs have the unique opportunity to address the disproportionate impact of childhood overweight among vulnerable populations.

IV. Conclusion

This report highlights the Secretary’s progress in assisting program efforts to prevent and reduce overweight and obesity in children who participate in Head Start programs. The six Office of Head Start (OHS) projects and innovations highlighted in this report address the serious risks of overweight and obesity and initiate steps to support and sustain the societal and lifestyle changes that can reverse the trend among Head Start children.

Since its inception in 1965, the Head Start program has recognized the important role that physical health and nutrition play in the overall development of children. The Institute of Medicine’s report highlighted Head Start’s holistic approach to child health and nutrition, including attainment and maintenance of normal weight gain, noting that these had been core elements of the Head Start Program Performance Standards since 1975. Head Start remains committed to providing effective and responsive support, guidance, and best practices related to child health and well-being.
Appendix A

Review of Literature on Child Health and Health Risks

The following resources and study summaries provide information on the health risks to children due to risk factors.

MMWR - July 24, 2009 / 58(28);769-773

"Childhood obesity continues to be a leading public health concern that disproportionately affects low-income and minority children (1). Children who are obese in their preschool years are more likely to be obese in adolescence and adulthood (2) and to develop diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, asthma, and sleep apnea (3). One of the Healthy People 2010 objectives (19-3) is to reduce to 5% the proportion of children and adolescents who are obese (4). CDC's Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS) is the only source of nationally compiled obesity surveillance data obtained at the state and local level for low-income, preschool-aged children participating in federally funded health and nutrition programs. To describe progress in reducing childhood obesity, CDC examined trends and current prevalence in obesity using PedNSS data submitted by participating states, territories, and Indian tribal organizations during 1998--2008. The findings indicated that obesity prevalence among low-income, preschool-aged children increased steadily from 12.4% in 1998 to 14.5% in 2003, but subsequently remained essentially the same, with a 14.6% prevalence in 2008. Reducing childhood obesity will require effective prevention strategies that focus on environments and policies promoting physical activity and a healthy diet for families, child care centers, and communities."

It should be noted that the PedNSS data set offers a different perspective on progress in reducing childhood obesity from that presented in the NHANES data. This is responsible for the difference between the prevalence of obesity among children ages 2-5 years of 12.4% cited for NHANES 2003-2006 and the figure of 14.6% for low-income children ages 2-4 years in PedNSS 2008.

Early Onset of Overweight and Obesity among Low-Income 1- to 5-Year Olds in New York City”
Matilde Irigoyen, Melissa E. Glassman, Shaofu Chen, and Sally E. Findley

“In conclusion, our study suggests that the ages between 1 and 3 represent a critical age period for the development of obesity among inner-city, minority children. Our study does not permit assessment of the factors contributing to this
extraordinary risk of early-childhood obesity in this community, but we think that
the limited opportunities for outdoor active play and access to healthy foods may
contribute to this risk. Future research should include prospective studies looking at
the development of overweight and obesity, along with associated neighborhood,
physical, and dietary risk factors for children as they progress through early
childhood. This could further define the concept of a critical age period for the
development of overweight and obesity and help design culturally and developmentally
appropriate population-based interventions to prevent childhood obesity.”

“Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Treatment of Childhood Obesity in Pediatric Practice”
Karen B. Dorsey, MD; Carolyn Wells, MPH; Harlan M. Krumholz, MD; John C. Concato,
MD
Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine Vol. 159, July 2005

“Given the degree of under recognition of obesity in this study, it is not surprising that few
children who were overweight and at risk of overweight had any documented treatment in
the clinical encounter. We found a particularly low proportion treated among at-risk
children, compared with overweight children, indicating that practitioners tended to
document treatment plans for children at the highest BMI percentiles. By focusing on the
most extremely overweight children, providers might be selecting those patients who have
the most difficulty maintaining or losing weight. Failures in treatment efforts centered on
these children might exaggerate the perception of futility in achieving weight management
for overweight children in general.”

“High Body Mass Index for Age Among US Children and Adolescents, 2003-2006”
Cynthia L. Ogden, PhD; Margaret D. Carroll, MSPH; Katherine M. Flegal, PhD
JAMA, May 28, 2008, Vol. 299, No.20

Table 1: Prevalence of High BMI for Age Among US Children 2003-2006
Ages of both sexes 2-5 years – BMI ≥85% 24.4%

“Identifying Risk for Obesity in Early Childhood”
Philip R. Nader, Marion O'Brien, Renate Houts, Robert Bradley, Jay Belsky, Robert
Crosnoe, Sarah Friedman, Zuguo Mei, Elizabeth J. Susman and for the National Institute of
Child Health and Human Development Early Child Care Research Network
Pediatrics 2006

“Children who were ever overweight (>85th percentile), that is, ≥1 time at ages 24, 36, or
54 months during the preschool period were ≥5 times as likely to be overweight at age 12
years than those who were below the 85th percentile for BMI at all 3 of the preschool ages.
Sixty percent of children who were overweight at any time during the preschool period and
80% of children who were overweight at any time during the elementary period were
overweight at age 12 years. Follow-up calculations showed that 2 in 5 children whose
BMIs were ≥50th percentile by age 3 years were overweight at age 12 years. Children who
have higher range BMIs earlier, but not at the 85th percentile, are also more likely to be
overweight at age 12 years. Even at time points before and including age 9 years, children whose BMIs are between the 75th and 85th percentile have an approximately 40% to 50% chance of being overweight at age 12 years. Children at 54 months old whose BMIs are between the 50th and 75th percentile are 4 times more likely to be overweight at age 12 years than their contemporaries who are <50th percentile, and those whose BMIs are between the 75th and 85th percentile are >6 times more likely to be overweight at age 12 years than those <50th percentile."

This research validated Head Start's ongoing allocation of resources to addressing the prevention of overweight/obesity among Head Start children. Given the level of correlation between overweight in the ages served by Head Start and adolescence, it was clear that specific higher-risk groups within the Head Start program would suffer disproportionately in later life if targeted and effective interventions were not found.

Indian Health Service Head Start Obesity Prevention Initiative 1997-2003
"Pediatric Height and Weight Study in American Indian and Alaska Native Population, 2001-2004"
Final Report: Children Under Five Years of Age
March 2006

(Note to the reader: The definitions used for "at-risk-for-overweight" and "overweight" are now defined as "overweight" and "obese" respectively.)

"This report describes results from the data collection and analysis of the national "Pediatric Height and Weight Study in American Indian and Alaska Native Population 2001-2004." Data from selected Special Supplemental Nutrition Programs for Women, Infants and Children (WIC) and Head Start programs were analyzed and are discussed in this report. Funds were provided to the Inter Tribal Council of Arizona, Inc., Epidemiology Center (ITCA Epi Center) to conduct this study. The purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence of underweight, at-risk-for overweight and overweight in American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) children living on or near reservations in the United States (U.S.). Also, these numbers were compared to the national statistics collected by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in order to determine how rates for AI/AN children compare to national rates for overweight."

"Among children age 0-2 years, the prevalence of at-risk-for-overweight (weight-for-length ≥ 85%ile) was 31.4% for males and 30.7% for females. The prevalence of at-risk-for overweight increased among older children to 38.9% in male children and 38.3% in female children age 2-4 years. The prevalence of overweight (weight-for-length ≥ 95%ile) for children under two years of age was 27% for males and 27.1% for females. Among children age 2-4 years, the prevalence of overweight decreased to 20.7% for males and 19.7% for females."

Contrasting this with the NHANES data for a cross-section of U.S. children age 2-5 years in "Prevalence of Overweight and Obesity in the United States, 1999-2004" published in...
J.A.M.A. in 2006 demonstrates the disparity in prevalence of overweight among young American Indian and Alaska Native children in Head Start programs. 
(Note to the reader: the definitions used for “at-risk-for-overweight” and “overweight” are now defined as “overweight” and “obese” respectively)


“Motor Skill Performance and Physical Activity in Preschool Children”
Harriet G. Williams, Karin A. Pfeiffer, Jennifer R. O’Neill, Marsha Dowda, Kerry L. McIver, William H. Brown and Russell R. Pate

“Our study provides strong support for the potential importance of motor skill performance in young children's PA behaviors. Children with poorer motor skill performance tended to be less physically active than children with better-developed motor skills. Although considerable attention is given to motor skill performance in a small population of children with severe developmental delays, little, if any, attention is devoted to such development in most other children.”

“Physical Activity Among Children Attending Preschools”
Russell R. Pate, PhD*, Karin A. Pfeiffer, PhD*, Stewart G. Trost, PhD†, Paula Ziegler, PhD, RD† and Marsha Dowda, DrPH* 
*Pediatrics* Vol. 114 No. 5 November 2004

Thirty-point-eight percent of the children in study were recruited from Head Start programs. In their conclusions, the authors state that: “The preschool that each child attended accounted for a sizeable fraction of the variance in child physical activity, which suggests that policies and practices of preschools have an important influence on the overall activity levels of the children the schools serve. Future research should examine the impact of specific school policies and practices on physical activity levels of preschool students, and subsequent policy intervention studies should test the effectiveness of specific preschool policies on physical activity and weight status among young children.”

“Racial and Ethnic Differentials in Overweight and Obesity Among 3-Year-Old Children”
Rachel Tolbert Kimbro, PhD, Jeanne Brooks-Gunn, PhD, and Sara McLanahan, PhD

“Overall, there was a high prevalence of overweight and obesity among preschoolers in the Fragile Families study. Thirty-two percent of White children were overweight or obese, as compared with 32% of Black children and 44% of Hispanic children.”

“We found that Hispanic children aged 3 years were nearly twice as likely as White children to be overweight or obese. They also had twice the odds of overweight or obesity.
than did Black children despite similar family socioeconomic profiles. These results are striking and suggest that childhood overweight problems begin earlier than previously thought. In addition, the racial and ethnic differences observed decreased only slightly when we included children's and mothers' characteristics, mothers' health status and health behaviors, and children's opportunities for exercise in the models, indicating that other, unmeasured factors must have accounted for these differences."

"The Importance of Play in Promoting Healthy Child Development and Maintaining Strong Parent-Child Bonds" – Clinical Report
Kenneth R. Ginsburg, MD, MSED, and the Committee on Communications and the Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health PEDIATRICS Volume 119, Number 1, January 2007

"Because there are at least several causes for the decreased amount of child-directed play, there is no single position that child advocates should take. For example, in the case of a child who is economically disadvantaged and does not reside in a safe neighborhood, it may be unwise to simply propose more child-centered play. Although parents can be encouraged to optimize conditions for this kind of play in the home, there must be broad societal responses that address poverty, social inequities, and violence before we can advise parents to allow unsupervised play.

In addition, for children in poverty, enhanced child care services, early community based education (e.g., Head Start), increased academic programming, more enrichment activities, and greater opportunities for community-based adult-supervised activities are warranted. Some of the needed solutions for this group of disadvantaged children remain beyond the scope of this article and are raised here to emphasize that the suggestions offered here need to be individualized; one size does not fit all."

"Underdiagnosis of Pediatric Obesity and Underscreening for Fatty Liver Disease and Metabolic Syndrome by Pediatricians and Pediatric Subspecialists”
Matthew Ryan Riley, MD, Nathan Michael Bass, MB, CHB, PHD, MRCP, Philip Rosenthal, MD, Raphael B. Merriman, MB, BCH, BAO, MRCPI
The Journal of Pediatrics December 2005

"The majority of overweight children were not diagnosed and did not receive relevant and recommended evaluations and interventions. Specific attention should be focused on providing diagnosis and interventions for overweight children especially those age <5 years and with BMI% of 85% to 94.”
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Comprehensive Review of Head Start Program Performance Standards for Concordance with the Department of Health and Human Services Health Resources and Service Administration Expert Committee Recommendations


“In 1997, when the Department of Health and Human Services Health Resources and Service Administration convened the first expert committee to develop recommendations on the evaluation and treatment of child and youth obesity, few studies of this problem had been conducted to provide evidence for the recommendations. Since then, increasing scientific attention has resulted in an expanded body of literature on the causes, co-morbidities, and treatment of this problem. The condition remains frustrating and difficult to treat but, with more-current scientific information available, in 2005 the American Medical Association, in collaboration with the Health Resources and Service Administration and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), convened a new expert committee that was charged with providing revised recommendations. These new recommendations use current, evidence-based data, as well as clinical experience when evidence does not exist, to provide updated practical guidance to practitioners (see Appendix for the complete recommendations).

“Target Behaviors
The expert committee recommends that clinicians advise patients and their families to adopt and to maintain the following specific eating, physical activity, and sedentary behaviors. These healthy habits may help prevent excessive weight gain and also are unlikely to cause harm, on the basis of current knowledge. The level of evidence is indicated, and the prevention report provides references.”

“Rating categories:

1. recommends with consistent evidence (CE), that is, multiple studies generally show a consistent association between the recommended behavior and either obesity risk or energy balance;
2. recommends with mixed evidence (ME), that is, some studies demonstrated evidence for weight or energy balance benefit but others did not show significant associations, or studies were few in number or small in sample size;
3. suggests, that is, studies have not examined the association of the recommendation with weight or energy balance, or studies are few, small in number, and/or without clear findings; however, the expert committee thinks that these recommendations could support the achievement of healthy weight and, if future studies disprove such
an effect, then these recommendations are likely to have other benefits and are unlikely to cause harm.”

The relevant sections of the Head Start Program Performance Standards appear in bold, below the recommendation of the Expert Committee.

1. Limiting consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages (CE);

1304.23 (b) (1) (vi) For 3-5-year olds in center-based settings or other Head Start group experiences, foods served must be high in nutrients and low in fat, sugar, and salt.

2. “Encouraging consumption of diets with recommended quantities of fruits and vegetables; the current recommendations from the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) (www.mypyramid.gov) are for 9 servings per day, with serving sizes varying with age (ME);

1304.23 (b) (1) (iv) Each infant and toddler in center-based settings must receive food appropriate to his or her nutritional needs, developmental readiness, and feeding skills, as recommended in the USDA meal pattern or nutrient standard menu planning requirements outlined in 7 CFR parts 210, 220, and 226.

1304.23 (b) (1) (v) For 3-5-year-olds in center-based settings, the quantities and kinds of food served must conform to recommended serving sizes and minimum standards for meal patterns recommended in the USDA meal pattern or nutrient standard menu planning requirements outlined in 7 CFR parts 210, 220, and 226.

3. “Limiting television and other screen time (the American Academy of Pediatrics recommends no television viewing before 2 years of age and thereafter no more than 2 hours of television viewing per day), by allowing a maximum of 2 hours of screen time per day (CE) and removing televisions and other screens from children’s primary sleeping area (CE) (although a relationship between obesity and screen time other than television viewing, such as computer games, has not been established, limitation of all screen time may promote more calorie expenditure);

4. “Eating breakfast daily (CE);”

1304.23 (b) (1) (iii) All children in morning center-based settings who have not received breakfast at the time they arrive at the Early Head Start or Head Start program must be fed a nourishing breakfast.

5. “Limiting eating out at restaurants, particularly fast food restaurants (CE) (frequent patronage of fast food restaurants may be a risk factor for obesity in children, and
families should also limit meals at other kinds of restaurants that serve large portions of energy-dense foods);

1304.40 (f) (3) Grantee and delegate agencies must ensure that the nutrition education program includes, at a minimum:
(i) Nutrition education in the selection and preparation of foods to meet family needs and in the management of food budgets; and
(ii) Parent discussions with program staff about the nutritional status of their child

1304.52 (d) (3) Nutrition services must be supported by staff or consultants who are registered dieticians or nutritionists

6. “encouraging family meals in which parents and children eat together (CE) (family meals are associated with a higher-quality diet and with lower obesity prevalence, as well as with other psychosocial benefits); and”

1304.23 (c) (4) All toddlers and preschool children and assigned classroom staff, including volunteers, eat together family style and share the same menu to the extent possible

7. “limiting portion size (CE) (the USDA provides recommendations about portions, which may differ from serving sizes on nutrition labels, and a product package may contain >1 serving size).”

1304.23 (b) (1) (iv) Each infant and toddler in center-based settings must receive food appropriate to his or her nutritional needs, developmental readiness, and feeding skills, as recommended in the USDA meal pattern or nutrient standard menu planning requirements outlined in 7 CFR parts 210, 220, and 226.

1304.23 (b) (1) (v) For 3-5-year-olds in center-based settings, the quantities and kinds of food served must conform to recommended serving sizes and minimum standards for meal patterns recommended in the USDA meal pattern or nutrient standard menu planning requirements outlined in 7 CFR parts 210, 220, and 226.

“The prevention writing group also suggests, on the basis of analysis of available data and expertise, the following behaviors:

1. eating a diet rich in calcium (the USDA provides recommendations about serving size and daily number of dairy product servings);
2. eating a diet high in fiber;
3. eating a diet with balanced macronutrients (energy from fat, carbohydrates, and protein in proportions for age, as recommended by Dietary Reference Intakes);”

Approach to Prevention and Reduction of Childhood Overweight and Obesity
1304.23 (b) (1) (iv) Each infant and toddler in center-based settings must receive food appropriate to his or her nutritional needs, developmental readiness, and feeding skills, as recommended in the USDA meal pattern or nutrient standard menu planning requirements outlined in 7 CFR parts 210, 220, and 226.

1304.23 (b) (1) (v) For 3-5-year-olds in center-based settings, the quantities and kinds of food served must conform to recommended serving sizes and minimum standards for meal patterns recommended in the USDA meal pattern or nutrient standard menu planning requirements outlined in 7 CFR parts 210, 220, and 226.

4. "encouraging exclusive breastfeeding to 6 months of age and maintenance of breastfeeding after introduction of solid food to 12 months of age and beyond, consistent with American Academy of Pediatrics recommendations;"

1304.23 (a) (3) For infants and toddlers, current feeding schedules and amounts and types of food provided, including whether breast milk or formula and baby food is used; meal patterns; new foods introduced; food intolerances and preferences; voiding patterns; and observations related to developmental changes in feeding and nutrition. This information must be shared with the parents and updated regularly...

1304.23 (e) (2) For programs serving infants and toddlers, facilities must be available for the proper storage and handling of breast milk and formula

1304.40 (c) (3) Grantee and delegate agencies must provide information on the benefits of breastfeeding to all pregnant and nursing mothers. For those who choose to breast feed in center-based programs, arrangements must be provided as necessary

5. "promoting moderate to vigorous physical activity for at least 60 minutes each day; and"

1304.21 (a) (1) (iv) Provide a balanced daily program of child-initiated and adult-directed activities, including individual and small group activities;

1304.21 (a) (5) In center-based settings, grantee and delegate agencies must promote each child's physical development by: (i) Providing sufficient time, indoor and outdoor space, equipment, materials and adult guidance for active play and movement that support the development of gross motor skills; (ii) Providing appropriate time, space equipment, materials and adult guidance for the development of fine motor skills according to each child's developmental level; and (iii) Providing an appropriate environment and adult guidance for the participation of children with special needs;
1304.21 (a) (6) In home-based settings, grantee and delegate agencies must encourage parents to appreciate the importance of physical development, provide opportunities for children's outdoor and indoor active play, and guide children in the safe use of equipment and materials.

1304.21 (b) (3) Grantee and delegate agencies must promote the physical development of infants and toddlers by:
(i) Supporting the development of the physical skills of infants and toddlers including gross motor skills, such as grasping, pulling, pushing, crawling, walking, and climbing; and
(ii) Creating opportunities for fine motor development that encourage the control and coordination of small, specialized motions, using the eyes, mouth, hands, and feet.

6. “limiting consumption of energy-dense foods”

1304.23 (b) (1) (vi) For 3-5 year olds in center-based settings or other Head Start group experiences, foods served must be high in nutrients and low in fat, sugar, and salt.

1304.40 (c) Services to pregnant women who are enrolled in programs serving pregnant women, infants, and toddlers.

(1) Early Head Start grantee and delegate agencies must assist pregnant women to access comprehensive prenatal and postpartum care, through referrals, immediately after enrollment in the program. This care must include; (i) Early and continuing risk assessments, which include an assessment of nutritional status as well as nutrition counseling and food assistance, if necessary;

The conclusion of this analysis, as seen above, was that Head Start programs have the requisite regulatory infrastructure to implement the recommendations of the Expert Committee based upon the most current knowledge and understanding of childhood overweight and obesity prevention.
Excerpt from Public Law 110-134 "Improving Head Start for School Readiness Act of 2007"

Improving Head Start for School Readiness Act of 2007

On December 12, 2007 Public Law 110-134 "Improving Head Start for School Readiness Act of 2007" was enacted. The statement of purpose contained the following:

"It is the purpose of this subchapter to promote the school readiness of low-income children by enhancing their cognitive, social, and emotional development—

(1) in a learning environment that supports children's growth in language, literacy, mathematics, science, social and emotional functioning, creative arts, physical skills, and approaches to learning; and

(2) through the provision to low-income children and their families of health, educational, nutritional, social, and other services that are determined, based on family needs assessments, to be necessary."

Within the provision of health, educational, nutritional, social, and other services is embodied a mandate to address existing and evolving health problems that impact upon the well-being of children and families. Childhood overweight and obesity is recognized as a national health crisis in the United States, impacting disproportionately upon the low-income and minority families served through Head Start. For the purpose of supporting Head Start’s attention to this health issue, the re-authorization of the Act included the following provision:

Sec. 650. [42 U.S.C. §9846]
“(e) Evaluation and Recommendations Regarding Obesity Prevention- Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the Improving Head Start for School Readiness Act of 2007 the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Education and Labor of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate a report on the Secretary's progress in assisting program efforts to prevent and reduce obesity in children who participate in Head Start programs, including progress on implementing initiatives within the Head Start program to prevent and reduce obesity in such children.”
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Excerpts from the Institute of Medicine “Preventing Childhood Obesity: Health in the Balance” and “Progress in Preventing Childhood Obesity: How Do We Measure Up?”

Institute of Medicine Report “Preventing Childhood Obesity: Health in the Balance” Released September 30, 2004

“The Institute of Medicine (IOM) of the National Academies of Sciences provides science-based advice on matters of biomedical science, medicine, and health. A nonprofit organization specifically created for this purpose as well as an honorific membership organization, the IOM was chartered in 1970 as a component of the National Academy of Sciences.”

“In response to a request from Congress for a prevention-oriented action plan to tackle the alarming rise in childhood obesity, the IOM Committee on Prevention of Obesity in Children and Youth has developed a comprehensive national strategy that recommends specific actions for families, schools, industry, communities, and government. The committee's findings and recommendations are described in the report Preventing Childhood Obesity: Health in the Balance. The report provides a broad-based examination of the nature, extent, and consequences of obesity in U.S. children and youth, including the social, environmental, and dietary factors responsible for its increased prevalence. The report's action plan lays out explicit goals and recommendations for preventing obesity and promoting healthy weight in children and youth in various segments of society. It also explores the actions needed to initiate, support, and sustain the societal and lifestyle changes that can reverse the trend among our children and youth.”

The goal of obesity prevention in children and youth is to create—through directed social change—an environmental-behavioral synergy that promotes:

For the population of children and youth:

- Reduction in the incidence of childhood and adolescent obesity
- Reduction in the prevalence of childhood and adolescent obesity
- Reduction of mean population BMI levels
- Improvement in the proportion of children meeting the Dietary Guidelines for Americans
- Improvement in the proportion of children meeting physical activity guidelines
- Achieving physical, psychological, and cognitive growth and developmental goals
For individual children and youth:

- A healthy weight trajectory, as defined by the CDC BMI charts
- A healthful diet (quality and quantity)
- Appropriate amounts and types of physical activity
- Achieving physical, psychosocial, and cognitive growth and developmental goals

"Because it may take a number of years to achieve and sustain these goals, intermediate goals are needed to assess progress toward reduction of obesity through policy and system changes. Examples include:

- Increased number of children who safely walk and bike to school
- Improved access to and affordability of fruits and vegetables for low-income populations
- Increased availability and use of community recreational facilities
- Increased play and physical activity opportunities
- Increased number of new industry products and advertising messages that promote energy balance at a healthy weight
- Increased availability and affordability of healthful foods and beverages at supermarkets, grocery stores, and farmers markets located within walking distance of the communities they serve
- Changes in institutional and environmental policies that promote energy balance"

This set of recommendations set an agenda for addressing childhood overweight/obesity as a National public health priority.

**Recommendation 1: National Priority**

"Government at all levels should provide coordinated leadership for the prevention of obesity in children and youth. The President should request that the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) convene a high-level task force to ensure coordinated budgets, policies, and program requirements and to establish effective interdepartmental collaboration and priorities for action. An increased level and sustained commitment of federal and state funds and resources are needed.

To implement this recommendation, the federal government should:

- Strengthen research and program efforts addressing obesity prevention, with a focus on experimental behavioral research and community-based intervention research and on the rigorous evaluation of the effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, sustainability, and scaling up of effective prevention interventions
- Support extensive program and research efforts to prevent childhood obesity in high-risk populations with health disparities, with a focus both on behavioral and environmental approaches
Support nutrition and physical activity grant programs, particularly in states with the highest prevalence of childhood obesity

Strengthen support for relevant surveillance and monitoring efforts, particularly the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

Undertake an independent assessment of federal nutrition assistance programs and agricultural policies to ensure that they promote healthful dietary intake and physical activity levels for all children and youth.

Develop and evaluate pilot projects within the nutrition assistance programs that would promote healthful dietary intake and physical activity and scale up those found to be successful.

The Institute of Medicine Report validated the holistic approach to child health and nutrition, including attainment and maintenance of normal weight gain, that was a core element of Head Start articulated within the Program Performance Standards (45 CFR 1304) of 1975 and 1998. Of specific relevance to Early Head Start and Head Start programs were a series of recommendations under “Physical Activity – Next Steps.”

Physical Activity – Next Steps

“Schools should ensure that all children and youth participate in a minimum of 30 minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity during the school day. This includes time spent being active during PE classes. This objective is equally important for young children in child development centers and other preschool and child-care settings, including Head Start programs—the benefits to young children include the nurturing and refinement of their gross motor development skills.

Schools and child development centers should support and encourage physical activity opportunities for teachers and staff for their own well-being and because they are important role models for their students.

Regulations for managing Head Start and other publicly funded or licensed early-childhood-education programs should ensure that children engage in appropriate physical activity as part of the programs.

Research specific to preschool and child-care settings should emphasize feasible and generalizable interventions designed to increase physical activity (e.g., manipulations of outdoor play time), decrease sedentary behaviors (e.g., parenting skills interventions to reduce children’s screen time), and improve dietary behaviors (e.g., systematic exposure to fruits and vegetables in a positive context to enhance taste preferences).”

"All childhood obesity prevention policies and interventions deserve some type of evaluation. Evaluations can range in scope and complexity from comparisons of pre- and post-intervention counts of the number of individuals participating in a program to methodologically sophisticated evaluations with comparison groups and research designs. All types of evaluation can make an important contribution to the evidence base upon which to design policies, programs, and interventions.

The translation of evaluation and research findings into promising practices constitutes the primary means for accelerating national efforts to reverse the childhood obesity epidemic. Since the need for effective evaluation is ongoing, both the capacity and quality of evaluation will be positively influenced by a steadfast national commitment to support obesity prevention efforts and the rapid translation and dissemination of evaluation and research findings—across the geographical landscape—to stakeholders involved in obesity prevention efforts in states and communities. Furthermore, the social and cultural diversity within the United States precludes assumptions about the transferability of interventions from one sub-population to another and should therefore be assessed."

"Questions to Guide Childhood Obesity Prevention Policies and Interventions"

- How does the action contribute to preventing childhood obesity? What are the rationale and supporting evidence for this particular action as a viable obesity prevention strategy, particularly in a specific context? How well is the planned action or intervention matched to the specific setting or population being served?
- What are the quality and reach or power of the action as designed?
- How well is the action carried out? What are the quality and the reach or power of the action as implemented?
- What difference did the action make in terms of increasing the availability of foods and beverages that contribute to a healthful diet, opportunities for physical activity, other indicators of a healthful diet and physical activity, and improving health outcomes for children and youth?"

The complete report can be found using the following reference: Institute of Medicine Committee on Progress in Preventing Childhood Obesity. (2007). Progress in Preventing Childhood Obesity: How Do We Measure Up?
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I Am Moving, I Am Learning Initiative Stage I Survey

Head Start Region III developed IM/IL in 2004 in response to a request from the Office of Head Start. IM/IL was designed to promote healthy behavior and prevent childhood obesity under the leadership of Nancy Elmore, Head Start Program Manager, Region III, Amy Requa, Pediatric Nurse Practitioner and Region III TA Health specialist and Dr. Linda Carson, Director of the West Virginia Motor Development Center, West Virginia University. The resulting program enhancement was piloted with 17 Region III programs in FY 2005. Based on the success of the pilot trainings, 53 more Head Start grantees in Region III were trained in the spring of 2006. Additional Head Start programs have received training since the spring of 2006, bringing the total number of grantees trained in Region III to 105. In early 2007, the Director of the Office of Head Start requested that all regions receive IM/IL training and, as of June 2007, 66 Region IX and 34 Region I programs have received the training.

The Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation (OPRE) under the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) contracted with Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. (MPR) to conduct an implementation evaluation of the IM/IL enhancements in Region III. The purpose of this study is to examine the extent to which grantees who participated in the spring 2006 regional Training of Trainers (TOT) event are implementing IM/IL enhancements. In the first stage, MPR conducted a survey of the 53 Head Start programs that had participated in the TOT event. A questionnaire was sent to the individual staff member in each program who was designated to lead the implementation of IM/IL enhancement activities. This questionnaire assessed the staff members' perceptions of the spring 2006 TOT event and their experience implementing IM/IL in their programs during the year following that event. In the next two stages of this evaluation, MPR will conduct telephone interviews with 30 programs whose staff attended the spring 2006 training to learn how programs implemented IM/IL (stage 2), and the research team will conduct site visits to 14 programs that demonstrate varying models of implementation to learn about the sustainability of the IM/IL enhancement (stage 3). The data collected during these next two stages of the evaluation will complement the survey results and address some of the survey's limitations.

In spring 2007, MPR conducted a survey of the 53 Head Start programs that had participated in the TOT event.

The key findings from the survey include:

- One year after the TOT event, the participating programs gave the training a positive overall rating. Respondents rated the event highly on its organization and the information that was presented. However, 40 percent of directors wanted more time to plan their own implementation during the TOT event.
- Ninety-six percent of programs tried to implement IM/IL in the year following the training event. Over 60 percent of programs provided pre-service and in-service training on IM/IL. The total number of training hours in each program was a median of 6 hours per program (range 1 to 24 hours).
• Programs implemented more enhancements related to MVPA and structured movement than enhancements related to nutrition.

• As part of IM/IL, two-thirds of programs offered activities to alter the eating and physical activity behaviors of parents, and half did so with their staff. Half the programs reported having identified at least one community organization as a partner. Forty-four percent of programs were doing all three.

• Almost half of the programs perceived that they were successful in implementing IM/IL. Enthusiasm of staff and the quality of the TOT event were the two most commonly reported factors contributing to the success of implementation. Compared to programs that did not perceive themselves as implementers, high implementing programs were more likely to leave the TOT with a written plan for their IM/IL implementation. However, among all programs with a written plan following the TOT, roughly the same percentage of high implementing and other programs had a plan one year later. High implementing programs provided twice as many hours of training to staff relative to other programs.

• It is not clear that the current program-level implementation efforts can be sustained. One year after the training event, only half of the programs reported having a written plan for IM/IL implementation. Many programs have enthusiastic staff and a capable leader directing the IM/IL efforts, but many reported that program managers did not have enough time to devote to IM/IL.

The complete survey can be found using the following reference: Mathematica Policy Research (2007). Results from the I Am Moving, I Am Learning Stage I Survey, Final Interim Report.
Early Assessment of Programs and Policies to Prevent Childhood Obesity
Evaluability Assessment Site Visit Summary Report
I Am Moving, I Am Learning
Washington, DC, and Stafford County, VA
Submitted January, 2009

"Project Background

As the search for answers to address childhood obesity effectively continues, organizations and communities across the country are experimenting with various strategies aimed at changing children’s environments to prevent obesity. Early Assessment of Programs and Policies to Prevent Childhood Obesity is a 2-year project to identify and assess local-level programs and policies that have been implemented with apparent notable success to prevent obesity by improving the eating habits and physical activity levels of children. This is a collaborative effort led by a team from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF); the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Division of Adolescent and School Health, the Division of Nutrition, Physical Activity and Obesity, and the Prevention Research Centers Program Office; and the CDC Foundation. Macro International Inc. serves as the Coordinating Center for the project.

In each year of the project, nominee programs and policies will be identified through a systematic search process. An emphasis has been placed on policy or environmental programs being implemented in community settings intended to address obesity in children aged 3—17. The project also has given priority to programs addressing low-income populations and ethnic groups that experience disproportionate childhood obesity. In each year of the project, a Funder’s Advisory Committee will identify three themed areas within which to identify programs and policies.

Additionally, an Expert Panel will select programs and policies for an evaluability assessment (guided by selection criteria noted in the section below). For 2008 the four identified themes and the number of selected programs or policies are:

1. After school programs and daycare centers (12 initiatives)
2. Comprehensive school physical activity programs (2 initiatives)
3. Access to healthier foods in supermarkets/convenience stores/restaurants (7 initiatives)
4. Land use/built environment initiatives for recreation/walk-ability/bike-ability (2 initiatives)"
"VII. Conclusion

I Am Moving, I Am Learning is a clearly conceptualized, theoretically sound, well-designed, and well-received intervention with the potential to reach millions of the nation’s most vulnerable children and their families. IMIL is a program enhancement to the Head Start program intended to increase the time children spend being physically active and to improve the quality of their structured movement and food choices. Given the reach of the Head Start program—close to 1 million low-income children participate—if IMIL is fully implemented, it has the potential to impact a significant portion of young children across the country. IMIL is funded through the Office of Head Start with strong commitment from Head Start staff at the Federal level to continue and expand the initiative. The expertise and commitment of core trainers, coupled with the continued enhancements to IMIL through train the trainer and facilitator trainings, has led to a strong, research-based program.

Factors to consider as Head Start staff move forward with continued implementation and enhancement of IMIL include developing mechanisms to capture the positive changes that are occurring in Head Start centers, both in terms of physical activity and movement, as well as nutrition improvements. There appear to be a number of data collection mechanisms already in place as part of standard Head Start operations that should be considered for use in evaluating outcomes.

Given the growing body of evidence linking early childhood development to long term health outcomes, and the large target population of low income, often at risk young children served by Head Start, IMIL does have significant potential to impact close to 1 million vulnerable children. IMIL should be strongly considered for further rigorous evaluation."
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Introduction and Background

The Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) is authorized by Title XXVI of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 (OBRA), Public Law 97-35, as amended. The Administration for Children and Families (ACF) within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) administers LIHEAP at the Federal level.

The purpose of LIHEAP, as stated by Section 2602(a) of the LIHEAP statute, as amended, is: “to assist low income households, particularly those with the lowest income, that pay a high proportion of household income for home energy, primarily in meeting their immediate home energy needs.” The LIHEAP statute defines home energy as “a source of heating or cooling in residential dwellings.”

Each State operates its own energy assistance program that includes taking applications, establishing eligibility, and providing benefits. Grantees may provide assistance to households for heating, cooling, weatherization, and emergency crises. LIHEAP funds are distributed to LIHEAP grantees by allocation formula procedures.

Purpose of Report

The public health aspects of LIHEAP have been underscored in the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (Public Law 109-58) that President Bush signed on August 8, 2005. Specifically, this Report is submitted in accordance with Section 1804 of Title XVIII of the Energy Policy Act that states:

Not later than 1 year after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall transmit to Congress a report on how the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program could be used more effectively to prevent loss of life from extreme temperatures. In preparing such report, the Secretary shall consult with appropriate officials in all 50 States and the District of Columbia.

Due to the LIHEAP statute definition of home energy as “a source of heating or cooling in residential dwellings,” this report to Congress focuses on the prevention of loss of life from extreme “indoor” temperatures.

Relevant LIHEAP Statutory Provisions for Prevention of Loss of Life

The following LIHEAP statutory provisions are relevant to the prevention of loss of life due to insufficient home energy:

- LIHEAP assistance is intended primarily to assist low income households “in meeting their immediate home energy needs” (emphasis added) (Section 2602(a)).

- Energy crisis intervention is to be provided not later than 18 hours after a household applies for crisis benefits “if such household is eligible to receive such benefits and is in a life-threatening situation” (emphasis added) (Section 2604(c)(2)).
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- LIHEAP outreach activities are to be “designed to assure that eligible households, especially households with elderly individuals or disabled individuals, or both, and households with high home energy burdens, are made aware of the... availability” of LIHEAP assistance (emphasis added) (Section 2605(b)(3)).

- LIHEAP grantees are to “provide, in a timely manner, that the highest level of assistance will be furnished to those households which have the lowest incomes and the highest energy costs or needs in relation to income, taking into account family size...” (emphasis added) (Section 2605(b)(5)).

- One of the purposes of the LIHEAP Residential Energy Assistance Challenge Option (REACH) program is to “minimize health and safety risks that result from high energy burdens on low income Americans” (emphasis added) (Section 2607B(a)(1)).

Background

While extreme indoor temperature is not a new problem, attention to it is increasing as home heating and cooling bills rise due to the combination of rising home energy prices and changes in the weather. Extreme indoor temperature is an energy-related health issue in that such temperatures can lead to cold stress/hypothermia or heat stress/hyperthermia, which can directly or indirectly lead to death. Extreme indoor temperature is a LIHEAP-related issue when low income households cannot afford to heat or cool their homes adequately due to their difficulty in paying for home energy, home energy efficiency improvements, or home energy related repairs.

The LIHEAP statute defines the term “highest home energy needs” as “the home energy requirements of a household determined by taking into account both the energy burden of such household and the unique situation of such household that results from having members of vulnerable populations, including very young children, individuals with disabilities, and frail older individuals” (emphasis added) (Section 2603(4)).

Although it is unstated in the LIHEAP statute, the implied concern is that such populations are “vulnerable” or at risk of serious health risks if they do not have adequate heating or cooling of their homes. Health risks can include death from hypothermia and increased susceptibility to other health conditions, such as stroke, heart attacks, and lung disease. Persons can be especially at risk due to age and health factors. Safety risks can be caused by natural disaster, the use of makeshift heating sources and inoperative or faulty heating/cooling equipment that can lead to indoor fires or asphyxiation, etc.

---

1 The Human Services Amendments of 1994 (Public Law 103-252) added section 2607B(b) to the LIHEAP statute to establish the Residential Energy Assistance Challenge Option Program (REACH). REACH funds are awarded on a competitive basis to LIHEAP grantees that submit qualifying plans for HHS approval. Innovative plans are implemented through local community-based agencies to help LIHEAP eligible households reduce their energy vulnerability. For more information, go to: http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/liheap/funding/index.html#reach
ACF, State LIHEAP agencies, and the National Energy Assistance Directors’ Association (NEADA) recognize the importance of extreme temperatures, especially for vulnerable populations. To that end, the following efforts have been conducted to address such health and safety risks:

- A number of State LIHEAP agencies already make health and safety an element of their LIHEAP outreach activities and overall program design;

- Beginning in Fiscal Year (FY) 2004, ACF implemented a Federal outreach campaign to make elderly households aware of hypothermia and how LIHEAP can assist such households; and

- NEADA has documented a number of health issues faced by LIHEAP recipient households in NEADA’s 2005 National Energy Assistance Survey. NEADA also is involved in developing public health information and outreach programs on home energy-related risks.

**State Recommendations**

In accordance with the mandate in the Energy Policy Act of 2005, ACF solicited feedback from States on how LIHEAP can effectively prevent loss of life from extreme indoor temperatures.

Based on the input received, this report highlights the strategies States can use within current LIHEAP law to aid in preventing the loss of life through:

1) Education and outreach, especially to vulnerable and at-risk populations;
2) Weatherization and energy-related home repairs, including mitigation of health and safety risks;
3) Special assistance for vulnerable populations, including modifications of assistance provided, service delivery, benefits, and eligibility;
4) LIHEAP program design, on behalf of vulnerable populations;
5) Partnerships with other social service programs and energy providers; and
6) Program research on the needs of— and best practices for helping— vulnerable households.

---

2 NEADA is the primary educational and policy organization for the State and tribal directors of LIHEAP. For more information on NEADA, go to: [http://www.neada.org/](http://www.neada.org/)

Outreach and Education Efforts

Many States highlighted the benefits of establishing a public education and outreach campaign about the health and safety risks associated with extreme temperatures, as well as with heating/cooling appliances and fuels. This includes educating the public on energy conservation and financial matters, such as managing a household budget in order to afford one’s energy bills. States also mentioned the importance of specifically targeting campaigns to households with vulnerable populations—elderly or disabled individuals or young children—or those especially at-risk of home energy termination.

This section provides examples States cited for education and outreach methods, including ways States can conduct targeted recruitment to vulnerable households. Important topics to include in education and outreach materials are also listed.

Education and Outreach Methods

States recommended numerous public education and outreach campaign activities ranging from distributing print materials to regularly broadcasting public service announcements to holding educational workshops. Other suggested methods included:

- Providing health and safety brochures at intake.
- Inserting health and safety brochures into recertification packages.
- Asking energy suppliers to provide health, safety, and LIHEAP information on the bottom of their bills.
- Including health, safety, and LIHEAP information in local publications.
- Conducting energy fairs.

Several States also named specific ways to identify and target those at-risk individuals, households, and subgroups of the population that are especially vulnerable to health and safety risks associated with extreme temperatures. For example, coordinating with community resource agencies to identify households with vulnerable populations, including very young children, individuals with disabilities, elderly, or those with special medical needs; those who need air-conditioner replacement and home weatherization; and those at-risk of having their energy supply terminated due to payment problems. Additionally, the importance of targeting outreach to persons, specifically at-risk households, for emergency assistance more than once during the year was emphasized; it was noted that it is often too late to reach the intended audiences when health, safety, and LIHEAP assistance information is made available only at the time of an extreme weather threat.

Many States recommended using multiple outlets of communication in order to ensure these critical messages have a wide reach to the general public and especially vulnerable populations.

Education and Outreach Topics

States also provided feedback on what topical content should be included in public education and outreach campaigns, regardless of the dissemination methods utilized. Most States stressed the importance of educating the public about the following themes: health and safety risks associated with extreme temperatures; health and safety risks associated with heating/cooling
appliances and different types of fuels; energy conservation; financial literacy matters related to household budgets and payment of energy bills; and availability of LIHEAP and other public assistance.

Specific messages within these broader educational categories included:

**Health and Safety Risks Associated with Extreme Temperatures**

- Dangers of extreme heat/cold.
- Techniques to handle extreme temperatures when a threat exists, i.e. information on staying cool and hydrated during heat waves.
- Warnings not to wait or avoid use of heating/cooling units out of worry that utility bills will rise.

**Health and Safety Risks Associated with Heating/Cooling Appliances and Fuels**

- High risk factors associated with using coal, kerosene, propane, wood, and fuel oil as a heating source.
- Risks associated with heating/cooling appliances, including space heaters and using inadequate fans in enclosed rooms.
- Dangers of fire and carbon monoxide poisoning from alternative, risky heating sources.
- Dangers associated with overloading circuitry – especially old or defective wiring – with cooling appliances.
- Encourage the use of smoke/carbon monoxide detectors.
- Techniques to stay warm without resorting to makeshift heating sources.

**Energy Conservation**

- Techniques to prevent households from running up excessive bills.
- How to conserve energy during periods of extreme indoor/outdoor temperatures.

**Financial Literacy**

- Explanation of bill, payment options, and importance of paying energy bills on time.
- How to manage money and create a household budget.
- How to seek financial help before termination of energy supply occurs.

**Availability of LIHEAP and Other Public Assistance**

- "Who, When, Where, and How" about obtaining energy assistance through LIHEAP.
- Other energy assistance and social service agencies that provide assistance in the community.
- Other federal and local public assistance benefits for which individuals might be eligible.
Weatherization and Energy-Related Home Repairs

Another important way that States identified to help prevent loss of life due to extreme temperatures is through the provision of weatherization and energy-related home repairs. Health and safety risks can include the absence of heating/cooling units and use of makeshift heating sources, high-risk fuel sources, and problematic heating/cooling equipment that could lead to indoor fires, asphyxiation, or poisoning. Consequentially, health and safety risks could be mitigated through repairs and replacement of inoperative, faulty, or dangerous heating and cooling units. These efforts also will reduce the amount of LIHEAP recipients’ energy bills due to inefficient or faulty heating and cooling units.

Home Inspections

Home inspections are recommended to determine the health and safety risks, as well as the weatherization and energy efficiency needs, of the household. States recommend coordinating home inspections with the Weatherization Assistance Program to determine if households’ heating/cooling units are in need of replacement.

Home Repairs and Replacements

A number of States report the importance of providing or repairing heating/cooling units that are not functioning or are functioning inadequately with mechanisms that will not compromise the households’ safety. Related to this discussion is the provision of weatherization services and energy-efficient means for heating and cooling homes in order to control the cost of energy bills. Specific suggestions from States included:

- Replacing or providing heating/cooling devices (including fans and air-conditioners).
- Providing window AC units.
- Providing energy-efficient systems as replacements.
- Converting households using deliverable heating fuels – specifically coal, kerosene, liquefied petroleum gas, wood, and fuel oil – to other fuel sources.
- Purchasing smoke or carbon dioxide detectors for households using high risk fuel sources.
- Upgrading electrical systems that support cooling appliances.
- Distributing weatherization materials that enhance households’ energy self-sufficiency.

Special Assistance for Vulnerable Populations

Among the other suggestions States provided were ways to provide special assistance to vulnerable populations or vary program design to accommodate the unique needs of these LIHEAP recipients. State recommendations included the following:

- Contacting at-risk and elderly households regarding LIHEAP assistance individually if possible and secondarily by distributing printed materials.
- Providing timely (within 24 hours) follow-up for homebound persons and other households who are unable to maintain adequate home temperatures.
- Establishing higher LIHEAP benefits for elderly and other vulnerable populations.
- Lowering or eliminating co-payments to vulnerable households during the crisis period of the LIHEAP program.
• Sponsoring special service days just for elderly and other vulnerable LIHEAP recipients.
• Creating a crisis program especially for vulnerable populations.

One State operates a “Summer Crisis Program” (SCP), which was created especially for vulnerable populations. This program was established to assist the elderly and individuals who have health risks from a medical condition with energy costs associated with home cooling. To be income-eligible for SCP a household must have a total household income, for the last 12 months or 90 days, equal to or less than 175 percent of the federal poverty guidelines. Any household, which has a member who has a medical-related health risk, must provide a medical statement. Elderly applicants over the age of 60 who are income eligible qualify for summer crisis program services. They receive an energy-star air conditioner and a one-time payment of $200 on their electric bill. Persons with a medical condition are provided an air conditioner. For the 2005 Summer Crisis Program, 14,922 elderly households and 14,627 medical health risk households were served.  

Program Design

In addition to these specific areas of LIHEAP assistance, States may design their overall LIHEAP program in order to better protect against extreme temperatures and serve vulnerable populations. States have great flexibility regarding their operating procedures, service delivery, eligibility and benefits, and client assistance mechanisms. States offered the following recommendations regarding elements of LIHEAP program design:

• Providing blankets and throws.
• Providing case management services for at-risk households least able to maintain indoor temperatures of 69 degrees when external temperatures are at or below 40 degrees or above 90 degrees.
• Providing a second issuance of regular assistance during the current program year, at least for persons at the lowest end of the income guidelines without additional application, particularly for those with “high energy burdens.”
• Referring individuals showing signs of hypothermia to medical/protective services.
• Providing year-round services (also helps prevent rush at one time of year).
• Operating both a heating and cooling program if one doesn’t currently exist (even if the State doesn’t think it needs one based on its climate).
• Making direct energy assistance payments to energy suppliers for current fills or meter reads.
• Operating a crisis program for cooling assistance, i.e. operate cooling shelters in high-heat areas. Target cooling assistance to the elderly and households with members who have breathing problems.
• Providing transportation for clients to heating/cooling shelters.
• Developing ice/water distribution systems.
• Linking benefits to fuel costs.

---

4 Source: April 27, 2006 letter from the Director of the State LIHEAP agency in response to LIHEAP request for State input.
Establish Partnerships

States frequently mentioned establishing formal partnerships and other ways to collaborate with other federal programs; State and local social service agencies; local energy providers and suppliers; and national or local non-profit organizations with like missions, tasks, or target populations as a means for providing services to vulnerable populations.

The level of intensity of the collaboration can vary from a more informal partnership on an ad-hoc basis to formal contracts or cooperative agreements. Examples of partnership activities could include:

- Providing education to partner agencies about each program's services.
- Serving in advisory roles about development of education campaigns, program design, and emergency plans.
- Providing client referrals to partner programs.
- Providing intake functions for partner programs.
- Conducting program outreach for partner programs.
- Providing services to each other's clients.
- Merging of multiple funding sources to accomplish a common goal.

At a minimum, these relationships can be helpful as a means for informing agencies and organizations of LIHEAP program opportunities, which in turn can pass on the vital information to the targeted population members they encounter. This will enable partner staff to proactively identify, educate, refer, and assist at-risk clients and ensure clients are able to access appropriate resources before a crisis occurs.

Partnerships also can be vital in terms of addressing emergency situations by having open communication and working together to best meet the needs of the clients. Collaboration partners also can combine funding sources in order to maximize the ability, and utilize the strengths, of both partners to complete the tasks at hand.

Federal Programs

One suggestion States made was for LIHEAP agencies to form partnerships with other federally-funded programs that conduct like tasks or serve similar populations.

A primary federal program that has both a similar mission and common clients is the U.S. Department of Energy's Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP), which enables low-income families to permanently reduce their energy bills by making their homes more energy efficient. In fact, many States named the WAP as a potential or current partner. One State suggested that it would be effective to encourage a partnership with WAP at the federal and State level first, and then further develop this relationship at the local level. Another suggestion is to allocate a greater percentage of LIHEAP funds to the WAP, specifically to target converting vulnerable households using high risk fuel sources to a more energy-efficient heating source.

Another potential program with which to collaborate is the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program, which provides assistance and work opportunities to needy families by providing States block grants to develop and implement their own welfare programs. It also is

5 For more information about the WAP, go to: http://www.eere.energy.gov/weatherization/
6 For more information about TANF, go to: http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/ofa/
possible for States to use their TANF funds for home heating and cooling costs. States may use TANF funds to provide low income households with assistance in meeting home heating and cooling costs to supplement assistance from LIHEAP. States also may use their maintenance-of-effort (MOE) funds in this way as well, except as it relates to the LIHEAP leveraging program. Because of the MOE statutory and regulatory prohibition on double-counting, States may use their MOE funds to help eligible families with home heating and cooling costs, as long as the same funds claimed for TANF MOE purposes are not also used to get a share of the LIHEAP incentive funds under the LIHEAP leveraging program.

State and Local Government Agencies

Partnerships with other State and local government agencies, especially those that provide social, housing, or energy-related services to low-income, elderly, and disabled populations, will enable State LIHEAP agencies to connect a client to multiple assistance mechanisms. For example, these partnerships will teach LIHEAP staff how to conduct appropriate referrals to other government benefits and services. This will lead to the provision of wrap-around services in order to meet the holistic needs of a client, rather than just addressing one social service need, e.g. their home energy crisis, meanwhile neglecting their food supply, child care, domestic violence, drug rehabilitation, housing, medical, or aging needs.

One state provided an example of collaboration between two State government agencies to prevent loss of life from extreme indoor temperatures among vulnerable populations. The two State agencies are the LIHEAP grantee and the department of aging. The department of aging annually administers a grant for LIHEAP Outreach. This year, the department of aging issued a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) and awarded funds to the 12 “Area Agencies on Aging” (AAAs) based on a formula that considers poverty rates and populations of individuals age 60 or over. To receive LIHEAP Outreach funds, each AAA must develop and submit an outreach plan for targeting elderly and disabled persons in the counties they serve. The department of aging requires all its awarded AAAs to provide the following:

- Outreach, information and assistance in obtaining, completing and mailing energy assistance applications to individuals who are 60 years of age and older/or have disabilities, both in central locations and when needed, in the individuals’ homes.
- Utilize their professional resources to identify potentially eligible utility customers, including information & referral networks, senior citizen centers, nutrition sites, case managers, and in-home service providers.
- Collaborate with community service organizations that represent retirees, minorities, ethnic groups, or persons with disabilities and coordinate their outreach activities.
- Conduct public relations and educational activities that explicitly promote LIHEAP and other Energy Assistance programs and the availability of individual assistance with the application process at central locations, and, when needed, in individuals’ homes.

---

7 See Section 404(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, as an appropriate use of Federal TANF funds at: [http://www.ssa.gov/OPP/Home/sicap/title04/0404.htm](http://www.ssa.gov/OPP/Home/sicap/title04/0404.htm)

8 Source: April 27, 2006 letter from the Director of the State LIHEAP agency in response to LIHEAP request for State input.
Local Energy Providers and Suppliers

Partnerships with local energy providers and suppliers can ensure a State’s ability to help LIHEAP recipients both proactively and in times of crisis. This relationship is especially important when working on behalf of at-risk customers facing service interruption. Examples of ways to collaborate with local energy providers and suppliers include:

- Asking energy providers to print health, safety, and LIHEAP assistance information on energy bills.
- Asking energy providers to send LIHEAP assistance information and applications to clients with payment problems.
- Asking energy providers to help identify and refer at-risk clients to the LIHEAP agency to eliminate the pending threat of termination before termination becomes necessary.
- Entering into cooperative agreements with electric/utility companies to not terminate services for households identified as vulnerable during the cold weather months and to agree to make other payment arrangements instead.

National and Local Non-Profit Organizations

Similarly, States recommend establishing partnerships with national and local non-profit organizations that provide related services to LIHEAP target populations. For example, partnerships with social service organizations, community-based organizations, and other emergency providers could help local governments and non-profits develop plans during heat emergencies, i.e. for cooling centers, temporary shelters, and distribution of water and ice.

An example of such a partnership has occurred between one State’s LIHEAP agency and an organization of statewide food banks. The LIHEAP agency partnered with the non-profit organization to increase program outreach for the LIHEAP/TANF program since many of the food bank customers are families with children. For the first program quarter, 481,794 children were served by the non-profit organization. During the winter heating months, a LIHEAP application was placed in every box or bag of groceries distributed. During this same quarter, over 190,000 persons over the age of 60 were served. The non-profit organization also convened a “Heat or Eat Summit” to educate social service professionals and community members on the LIHEAP program and its importance and relationship to emergency food providers.

Another example is a partnership that occurred between one State’s LIHEAP agency and a local non-profit organization that serves persons with breathing-related disabilities. The program began by distributing air conditioners to those in need and expanded to become an additional provider of LIHEAP services for both the summer and winter programs. The non-profit organization accepts regular LIHEAP applications via appointments and walk-ins, as well as via home visits for elderly or disabled homebound individuals. The non-profit organization also conducts outreach and public relations for LIHEAP through media and targeted marketing efforts. The non-profit organization utilizes staff for public speaking and to provide the information to faith, senior, and health communities.

---

9 Source: April 27, 2006 letter from the Director of the State LIHEAP agency in response to LIHEAP request for State input.
10 Source: April 27, 2006 letter from the State LIHEAP agency in response to LIHEAP request for State input.
Appendix A – Examples of Community-Based, Home Energy-Related Health and Safety Programs

ACF requested examples of home energy-related health and safety programs operating at the community level from the LIHEAP Clearinghouse. The Clearinghouse provides LIHEAP training and technical assistance and facilitates information flow across grantees and stakeholder organizations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization(s)</th>
<th>Activities that Prevent Excessive Heat or Cold</th>
<th>Contact Information/URL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>Brochure “About Heat-Related Illnesses.”</td>
<td>Potomac Electric Company (PECO) 1-800-494-4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA utilities</td>
<td>Cool Centers operated by major utilities in California, such as one operated by Southern California Edison from 2001 – 2004. The program was discontinued in 2005 due to funding disallowance; it was started again in mid-2006.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore Gas &amp; Electric, Maryland</td>
<td>Gatekeeper program established in 1987 to identify seniors who lack the support of family and friends and to train community members to recognize the signs that a senior needs help. Gatekeepers then link the seniors to the agencies for assistance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Action Partnership of Riverside County, Inc., the American Red Cross and the Riverside County Department of Public Health</td>
<td>Cool Centers within Riverside County that are activated on days where the temperatures are expected to reach 105 degrees for at least three consecutive days. Established in 2001, there are now 20 centers. They do not, however, provide transportation.</td>
<td>Summer Crisis Hotline (for Cool Center locations, hours, and general information about heat related problems): 1-888-636-8676 <a href="http://www.riversidecounty.redcross.org/article.aspx?a=839">http://www.riversidecounty.redcross.org/article.aspx?a=839</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnergyCare</td>
<td>Brochure with education and safety tips for hypothermia. EnergyCare provides energy-related services in Missouri for low income families with elderly, disabled, chronically ill, and young children to enable a healthier and safer environment in their homes.</td>
<td>313-773-5900 <a href="http://stlouis.missouri.org/EnergyCare/news.html">http://stlouis.missouri.org/EnergyCare/news.html</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11 Submitted by the LIHEAP Clearinghouse on June 27, 2006. LIHEAP Clearinghouse: http://www.liheap.ncat.org/
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization(s)</th>
<th>Activities that Prevent Excessive Heat or Cold</th>
<th>Contact Information/URL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey Energy and Aging Consortium (NJEAC)</td>
<td>“Guide to Living Independently” 1995. Provides seniors with information on home safety, health, energy, and local services available to them. Includes a section on hypothermia and hyperthermia. Was assembled under a joint effort by New Jersey utilities and government to help the elderly. Is distributed by area agencies on aging and utilities to over 200,000 elderly householders. An NJEAC pilot program and follow-up survey that showed that the guide was very useful to seniors.</td>
<td>Arthur Rath 1-800-788-RATH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky Energy Cabinet</td>
<td>The Comfort Almanac for Senior Citizens, includes sections on weather-related illnesses and health tips</td>
<td>606-252-5535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Gas Association (AGA) and utilities</td>
<td>Brochure and slide show Winter Warm and You that addresses the dangers of hypothermia. AGA makes these materials available for purchase and reproduction for use by various groups in the community. These materials were produced in 1996 and serve as an example of a collaboration between utilities and other entities.</td>
<td>703-841-8437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AARP</td>
<td>Publication titled In Good Health With Energy. Provides information on heat and cold related illnesses and prevention in greater detail than most such publications. Available at libraries.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization(s)</td>
<td>Activities that Prevent Excessive Heat or Cold</td>
<td>Contact Information/URL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Outreach Colorado (EOC)</td>
<td>A 1997 Senior Home Energy Fair, sponsored by EOC (then the Colorado Energy Assistance Foundation, or CEAF), that was funded by a grant from NFFN. The fair included speakers and breakout sessions covering energy conservation, fire safety when using alternative heating devices and maintaining health/reducing risks in cold weather. The fair also included assistance for filling out energy assistance applications and a clothing give-away.</td>
<td>303-825-8750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Consumer Law Center (NCLC)</td>
<td>The Energy Affordability Crisis of Older Americans: An Examination of the Hazards to Health and Well-being Posed by the Growing Incidence of Unmet Home Energy Needs (1995) compiled a comprehensive statistical demographic profile of older Americans and discussed the relative burden that home energy payments place on such Americans. Used available medical information on weather-related hazards and media reports of deaths due to extreme heat or cold to identify the hazards of to older Americans who cannot afford sufficient energy services.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.consumerlaw.org/initiatives/energy_and_utility/engdesc.shtml#older">http://www.consumerlaw.org/initiatives/energy_and_utility/engdesc.shtml#older</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater St. Louis, MO</td>
<td>Operation Weather Survival is a network of public and private organizations that coordinate resources and educate the public to prevent illness and death caused by extreme hot or cold weather. Operates cooling sites in summer.</td>
<td><a href="http://stlouis.missouri.org/citygov/health/owsindex.html">http://stlouis.missouri.org/citygov/health/owsindex.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>Hot Weather Plan, which was put together by the city of Chicago after the July 1995 crisis to handle hot weather emergencies. The plan has been hailed by such organizations as the American Medical Association as a model for other communities to follow.</td>
<td><a href="http://chicago.about.com/library/blank/bl_hot_weather_tips.htm#B">http://chicago.about.com/library/blank/bl_hot_weather_tips.htm#B</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
<td>A Community-Based Heat Relief Plan that provides a variety of services depending on the level of heat.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ci.satx.us/health/hottopics/Heat_Plan_2006.pdf">http://www.ci.satx.us/health/hottopics/Heat_Plan_2006.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
<td>The Heat Health Watch-Warning System (HHWWS), under which city staff works with the National Weather Service to determine when a heat wave is imminent. Services that are provided include media outreach, visits to elderly residents, and the Heatline Initiative, through which nurses assist callers. The HHWWS is the cornerstone of the city's response plan to heat waves.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.epa.gov/heatisland/about/heatresponseprograms.html">www.epa.gov/heatisland/about/heatresponseprograms.html</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>