<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requested date:</td>
<td>29-November-2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Released date:</td>
<td>15-January-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posted date:</td>
<td>27-January-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date/date range of document:</td>
<td>14-April-2004 – 19-July-2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Source of document:     | Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 1-A836  
Washington, D.C. 20554  
Phone: 202-418-0440 or 202-418-0212  
Fax: 202-418-2826 or 202-418-0521  
E-mail: FOIA@fcc.gov |
This letter responds to your Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") request received by the Federal Communications Commission (the "FCC" or "Commission") and assigned to the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau ("CGB") and the Enforcement Bureau (EB) by the Commission’s FOIA Office on November 29, 2007. Your request seeks any and all records identifiable as complaints regarding the television program “Saturday Night Live” contained in one CGB and one EB database named in your request.

Sharon Jenkins contacted you on December 6, 2007, to discuss your request. She informed you that we could provide only informal complaints received at the Commission from January 2003 to the present and that the fee to process your FOIA request could exceed the $30.00 maximum fee indicated in your request. As a result of this discussion, you agreed to increase your maximum fee amount from $30.00 to $60.00 for the legitimate search and duplication costs associated with the processing of the FOIA request. Ms. Jenkins further informed you that consultation about your request was underway among divisions of the Commission and that the FCC would extend the time period for responding for an additional 10 working days as permitted by the FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552(6)(B)(iii)(III), and Section 0.461(g)(3) of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 0.461(g)(3).

Sharon Jenkins subsequently contacted you on December 27, 2007, to inform you that fees for EB’s search time to identify complaints could exceed the $60.00 maximum fee limit, and might not produce any complaints that would be substantially different from CGB’s complaints. In response, you narrowed the scope of your request by eliminating EB from the request as of December 27, 2007. Specifically, you requested that EB stop its search and provide any documents already identified. You agreed to receive and review the documents that we are enclosing with this response and submit a separate FOIA request at a later date if there is any additional information you wish to obtain from EB.
As Ms. Jenkins advised you, CGB and EB have coordinated to provide a response to your request. CGB conducted a search of the database in which we maintain the records of informal complaints filed by, or on behalf of, consumers. The complaints identified by our search that are responsive to your request are enclosed. EB has informed CGB that a search of its records conducted up to December 27, 2007, revealed no responsive complaints.

All personal, identifying information relating to the individuals who submitted or are named in the complaints has been redacted pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6), and Section 0.457(f) of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 0.457(f). A release of this information would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy under the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552a(b). In addition, certain FCC employee names have been redacted pursuant to FOIA Exemption 2, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(2), and Section 0.457(b) of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 0.457(b).

Please be advised that the Commission receives many complaints and comments that do not involve violations of the Communications Act or any FCC rule or order. Thus, the existence of a complaint filed against a particular company does not necessarily indicate wrongdoing by the company.

We are required by the FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(i), and Section 0.470 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 0.470, to charge FOIA requesters certain fees, depending on the classification of requesters into one of three categories as defined in Section 0.466 of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 0.466. The categories are: (1) commercial use requesters; (2) educational and noncommercial scientific institution requesters and requesters who are representatives of the news media; and (3) all other requesters. Your request was categorized as “all other requester” by the FCC’s FOIA Office. Section 0.470(a)(3) of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 0.470(a)(3), provides that all other requesters are not charged for the first two hours of search time and the first 100 pages of reproduction. Because your request falls within these guidelines, there are no charges associated with processing it.

If you consider this response to be a denial of your FOIA request, you may file an application for review with the Commission’s Office of General Counsel within 30 days, in accordance with Section 0.461(j) of the Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. § 0.461(j).

Sincerely,

Nicole M. McGinnis
Deputy Bureau Chief
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

Enclosures
Complaint Summary:
I have no problem with the show, the problem is that they advertise other NBC programs that are completely inappropriate for family viewing during this family show. Tonight, the example is of a Law and Order where there are "young girls murdered at a school", the shooter says "why not?" in response to why did you do this as the police officer aims a gun at his heart. To be fair, during the Philadelphia Eagles/Washington Redskins game on 11/12, Fox TV showed a tv promo for Stand Off where a gunman had a gun aimed at a young girl's head. The networks should not be showing promos for these shows during family viewing. It's ironic that after showing the Law and Order, and a Saturday Night Live promo, NBC has a public service announcement encouraging parents to block inappropriate tv shows from their children. How are we supposed to block these commercials?? It's gotten to the point that my children have to leave the room during commercial breaks because they were having nightmares. Please help us to be able to relax and enjoy a family show and sports events without our 3 boys having to get up and run out of the room. The promoted shows run after 9 and 10 o'clock at night, as they should. The networks need to promote their shows, of course, but blatant sexuality and violence do not belong in the daytime and early night commercials. There are numerous examples of this on all the networks.
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies') name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?
Indicate the responsible carrier(s): Cingular Wireless
Other Carriers Listed:
Subject Code:

ANALYSIS SECTION

Correspondence Type: □ Complaint □ Inquiry Source Code: Internet
Apparent Carrier(s): Re-Serve Carrier(s):
Responding Carrier(s): Assigned Subject Code: Programming Issues
Supervisor Check: □ Yes □ No
Activity Code: Direct Assigned Code Acronym: PROG
Final Responsible Party:
Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?: □ Yes □ No

Referral Information

Date Referred: 11/27/2006 Slaming Referral: □ Yes □ No
Deficiency Letter Sent?: □ Yes □ No
Agency Name(s): Company Name(s):
Referred To: FCC/Enforcement Bureau

Deferment Information

Date Deferred: Reason: None
Date UnDeferred:

Extension Information:

Extension Requested: □ Yes □ No
Extension Granted: □ Yes □ No

SERVE INFORMATION

COMMENTS

Comment History:
On the evening of Saturday, October 30, 2004, sometime between the hours of 7:00pm and 9:00pm (COT), the local NBC affiliate station, KARK, broadcast an advertisement for the NBC program ?Saturday Night Live?. This advertisement contained a scene which I personally found repulsive. I believe this scene falls within the definition of ?obscene? and/or ?indecent?, and it should be investigated.

The scene which I find so offensive began with two men. They approached each other and embraced, then they kissed mouth-to-mouth. The whole scene lasted only a few seconds. The exact intent and context of this scene was not clear. One thing was clear: the advertisement was intended to draw attention to a program, which is aired late at night, by showing a situation that is of questionable moral value in a time slot that was accessible to young children.

The FCC has set precedence for defining obscenity and indecency by prosecuting those who use profane language and by banning sexually explicit scenes from the airwaves. I propose that the moral standard that FCC has embraced is inconsistent if it fails to regulate the broadcast of moral perversion in the same way. Insofar as I am concerned, the ?Saturday Night Live? ad depicted a scene that was the epitome of explicit moral perversion.

Therefore, I request an investigation of the advertising for ?Saturday Night Live? made during the week ending October 30, 2004, on the NBC network. I request that this advertising be examined for its moral content. I request that, given the questionable content of the ad, the times that this advertising was aired be examined to determine whether it was appropriate for the audience at the time(s) of broadcast. And I request that NBC, the producers of ?Saturday Night Live?, and all NBC affiliates be appropriately cited for any violation of FCC rules which might arise from the Commission?s investigation.

Thank you,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Name:</th>
<th>Best Time to Call:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Number:</td>
<td>Fax Number:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email Address:</td>
<td>Internet Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO Box:</td>
<td>Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City: Mablevale</td>
<td>State: AR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Name:</th>
<th>Relationship with the Party:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Party that can be contacted?:</td>
<td>Relationship:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount of credit FCC effort generated:</strong></td>
<td>City: State: Zip:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with company representative:
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies') name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?
Indicate the responsible carrier(s):
Other Carriers Listed:

**ANALYSIS SECTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correspondence Type:</th>
<th>Source Code:</th>
<th>E-Mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complaint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inquiry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apparent Carrier(s): Re-Serve Carrier(s): 
Responding Carrier(s): Assigned Subject Code: Programming Issues

Supervisor Check: Yes No
Activity Code: Direct
Final Responsible Party: 
Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?: Yes No

Referral Information

Date Referred:
Slamming Referral: Yes No
Deficiency Letter Sent?: Yes No
Complaint Type: Broadcast  
Account Type: Residential  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IC Number: 04-G56482</th>
<th>Case Type: Complaint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Received: 04/14/2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Entered: 05/24/2004</td>
<td>Date Assigned: 05/24/2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entered By:</td>
<td>Date Reassigned:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assigned To:</td>
<td>Service Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Closed: 05/24/2004</td>
<td>Response Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed By:</td>
<td>Original Analyst:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close Letter Needed? Yes No</td>
<td>Purged Date:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Status: Closed

Complaint Summary:
This is a formal complaint regarding the content of a television broadcast of "Entertainment Tonight" aired on Monday, April 12 at 6:30 on Minneapolis television channel 11 (NBC). The subject matter and indecency was probably not illegal on the regular performance of Saturday Night Live they were reviewing, but it was extremely inappropriate for a 6:30 family time slot.

On this particular broadcast, there was a review of Janet Jackson's performance on Saturday Night Live (which had been shown on Saturday, April 10). During this performance, Ms. Jackson's breast was bared, and it was screened over. This of course was to be a farce on the Super Bowl performance. The Saturday Night Live show also had a section where Janet Jackson depicted Condolezza Rice and and a Saturday Night Live performer portrayed Dick Cheney. In this section, the actor for Dick Chaney was encouraging Janet Jackson (portraying Dr. Rice) to "bare her boob", etc. Again, grossly out of line to have on a 6:30 time slot. The female commentator for Entertainment Tonight talked about the high ratings Saturday Night Live had gotten during Ms. Jackson's performance, and obviously was thumbing her nose at any potential restrictions on programming for television.

During this same broadcast (Monday, April 12), there was discussion that Victoria Secret would no longer be having their annual show. During this discussion, models for Victoria's Secret were shown scantily dressed walking down the runway. Again, although Victoria's Secret show has been at a later time in the evening, Entertainment Tonight" seems to have the freedom to "air" inappropriate dress, language, etc. during the 6:30 time.

Please carefully monitor the content of "Entertainment Tonight". It does seem to take liberties in covering and reviewing programs that are aired during later slots and replaying them during their family slot of 6:30.

Thank you for your consideration of this complaint, and I hope my concerns are clear. Often the objectionable programming happens so quickly that it is difficult to realize the issues that need to be reported. Please feel free to contact me for further clarification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Name:</th>
<th>Best Time to Call:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Number:</td>
<td>Fax Number:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email Address:</td>
<td>Internet Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO Box:</td>
<td>Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City: Carlos</td>
<td>State: MN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company Name:</td>
<td>Relationship with the Party:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Party that can be contacted?</th>
<th>Relationship:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Amount of credit FCC effort generated:** | $0.00 |

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with company representative:
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies') name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?
Indicate the responsible carrier(s):

Other Carriers Listed:

Subject Code:

---

**ANALYSIS SECTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correspondence Type:</th>
<th>Complaint</th>
<th>Inquiry</th>
<th>Source Code:</th>
<th>E-Mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Apparent Carrier(s):</th>
<th>Re-Serve Carrier(s):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responding Carrier(s):</th>
<th>Assigned Subject Code:</th>
<th>Programming Issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supervisor Check:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Code:</th>
<th>Direct</th>
<th>Assigned Code Acronym:</th>
<th>PROG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final Responsible Party:</th>
<th>Sub-Category:</th>
<th>Content Criticism (PCCR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Type:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

**Referral Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Referred:</th>
<th>Slamming Referral:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency Name(s):</th>
<th>Company Name(s):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deficiency Letter Sent?:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referred To:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

**Deferment Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Deferred:</th>
<th>Reason:</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date UnDeferred:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Complaint Type: Cable
Account Type: Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IC Number:</th>
<th>06-N97589</th>
<th>Case Type:</th>
<th>Complaint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Received:</td>
<td>03/21/2006</td>
<td>Complainant:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Entered:</td>
<td>04/04/2006</td>
<td>Date Assigned:</td>
<td>04/04/2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entered By:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assigned To:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Closed:</td>
<td>04/07/2006</td>
<td>Response Date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed By:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close Letter</td>
<td>Yes ☐ No ☐</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needed?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Status: Closed

Complaint Summary:
I live in Scarborough Maine and was appalled to witness an obscene comedy show on cable channel 52 under the "Bravo" channel. Yesterday at 5:20 pm EST, Bravo aired a segment called "Viral video" where a phony commercial taken from Saturday Night Live aired. The phony commercial was for an automobile called the Mercury Mistress. The commercial showed several scenes of men drivers dropping their pants and having sex with the car via a hole under the back license plate. This was followed by another fake commercial about a beer maker whose spokesperson is in front of the lake where water is used to make the beer. There is a guy in a boat in the background urinating in the water. The spokesperson begins swearing obscenities at the boat that are barely bleeped out. The words Jesus Christ (out of context) and F---ing are totally audible. Please take the time to investigate this. I have young children and do; not know what to expect from these channels anymore.

Contact Name: [Redacted]
Contact Number: [Redacted]
Email Address: [Redacted]
PO Box: [Redacted]
City: Portland
Best Time to Call: [Redacted]
Fax Number: [Redacted]
Internet Address: [Redacted]
Address: [Redacted]
State: ME

Company Name: [Redacted]
Relationship with the Party: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
State: [Redacted]
Zip: [Redacted]

Other Party that can be contacted?
Relationship: [Redacted]
City: [Redacted]
State: [Redacted]
Zip: [Redacted]

**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:**

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
Name: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]
Ext: [Redacted]
Date you spoke with company representative:
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies)' name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name: [Redacted]
Phone: [Redacted]
Ext: [Redacted]
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?
Indicate the responsible carrier(s):

Other Carriers Listed:

Subject Code:

**ANALYSIS SECTION**

Correspondence Type:  ● Complaint  ○ Inquiry  Source Code:  E-Mail

Apparent Carrier(s):  Re-Serve Carrier(s):

Responding Carrier(s):  Assigned Subject Code:  Programming Issues

Supervisor Check:  ○ Yes  ● No

Activity Code:  Direct  Assigned Code Acronym:  PROG

Final Responsible Party:  Sub-Category:  Indecent (INDE)

Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?:  ○ Yes  ○ No  Call Sign:  State:

Response Type:

**Referral Information**

Date Referred:  04/07/2006  Slamming Referral:  ○ Yes  ● No

Deficiency Letter Sent?:  ○ Yes  ● No  Agency Name(s):

Company Name(s):

FCC/Enforcement Bureau

**Deferment Information**

Date Deferred:  Reason:  None

Date UnDeferred:

**Extension Information**

Extension Requested:  ○ Yes  ● No  Extension Granted:  ○ Yes  ● No

**COMMENT**

Comment History:

**DOCUMENT HISTORY**

Entered by Robin Jackson 04-Apr-06 at 01:28 PM
Modified by [redacted] 08-Jan-07 at 04:03 PM
Processed By CGB [redacted]
Closed By CGB [redacted]
Dear People.

Last Thursday, 16 March, my wife was watching the end of the 11:00 News on Detroit's channel 4, WDIV. During the sports score segment, the sportscaster thought it would be cute to intersperse an item from Saturday Night Live that included partial rear nudity. We don't watch Saturday Night Live because we find its brand of "humor" offensive and moderately pornographic. As a commercially licensed radio operator myself and amateur radio operator, it appalls me that you allow this trash to air at all. On the other hand, it's my choice to tune out, which we of course do. When watching a news broadcast, however, even late in the evening, I consider it a SuperBowl-like assault on our sensibilities when we have to be subjected not only to SNL, but what is likely the worst of SNL during a normal news telecast. By any sensible standard, this is indecent broadcasting of "Janet Jackson" proportions and I sincerely hope you remind Messrs. Wasserman and Goldstein* of this.

In HIS Service,

Remember, there are three kinds of mathematicians:
Those who can count, and those who can't.

* General Manager and VP of News respectively.
Complaint Summary:

b3inet wrote on 10/10/2004 11:13:41 PM; Gentlemen; I'm writing to complain about the Saturday Night Live Show on NBC Saturday, October 9, 2004 that aired on Channel 2, WGRZ in Buffalo, NY. I realize it is a late night show, starting at 11:30 PM, and it frequently has adult subject matter, but even they generally "bleep" or cut out the audio when certain words are used. They did not do so this time, however, when the guest host, Queen Latifa, delivered a mock commerical for Tylenol and used the work "motherf**ker" out loud. Although the show is live, usually their satirical commercials are taped ahead of time, and I presume that was the case here. They certainly could of controlled the audio on this obviously scripted piece, but they did not. My eight year old grandson (admittedly up well beyond his bedtime) aslo saw and heard this.; Your website implies that rules against profanity are only enforced up until 10 PM. Does this mean that after 10 anything goes? I can understand standards would change with the time of day, but this still seems pretty extreme.; Thank you for your attention to this complaint.;

Company Name: 
Relationship with the Party: 
Other Party that can be contacted? 
**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with company representative:
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies') name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?
Indicate the responsible carrier(s):
Other Carriers Listed:
Subject Code:

ANALYSIS SECTION

Correspondence Type:  
- Complaint
- Inquiry
Source Code:  
- E-Mail

Apparent Carrier(s):  
- Re-Serve Carrier(s):  
- Responding Carrier(s):  
- Assigned Subject Code:  
  - Programming Issues

Supervisor Check:  
- Yes
- No

Activity Code:  
- Direct
- Assigned Code Acronym:  
  - PROG

Final Responsible Party:  
- Sub-Category:  
  - Obscene (OSBC)
- Call Sign: WGRZ
- State: NY

Response Type:

Referral Information

Date Referred:
- Slamming Referral:  
  - Yes
  - No
- Deficiency Letter Sent?:  
  - Yes
  - No
- Agency Name(s):  
- Company Name(s):  

Referred To:

Deferment Information

Date Deferred:  
- Reason:  
  - None
Date UnDeferred:  

Extension Information:

Extension Requested:  
- Yes
- No
Extension Granted:  
- Yes
- No

SERVE INFORMATION

COMMENTS

Comment History:

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Entered by 2-Oct-04 at 07:59 AM

Created By Gettysburg
Complaint Summary:

wrote on 9/5/2004 9:23:53 PM ; September 4, 2004; ; TO: Federal Communication
Commission; Television Complaint of Indecency; RE: Saturday Night Live: NBC; On September 4, 2004, NBC aired a Saturday Night Live; Show that was borderline perversion and pornography. Even as an adult, the show went far beyond the realm of being funny. The entire show was centered around smut, vile and nasty language, including obscene hand gestures and crude references to human anatomy in the most indecent manner and language possible; This show needs to be investigated, in my opinion. It appears to me that the writers of this show need to be sanctioned, as well as NBC. The purpose of such a show is entertainment; however, the writers in this show went far beyond what is 'normal entertainment.' This show could have be a 're-run'...I really do not know. ; As a parent of young adults, and recently, young teenagers, it is appaling that material such as this be available for any age to view at will. Even younger children who gather for sleep-overs are able to view this material. I was horrified at the obscenity. It was so shocking for me, and so repulsive, that I had to turn it off.; It is about time that the FCC took action against these television networks. CBS should be fined for the Super Bowl affair; however, NBC needs to be sanctioned because of the language, filth, sexual perversion and lurid sexual acts in a Saturday Night Live Show. There is no room in family life for; such entertainment that a child is able to watch in his home. We worry about our children and outside influences, and the television networks are able to send this type of smut into our homes, uninvited.; Surely your Commission is aware that most parents of young girls will NOT allow them to watch Britanny Spears? Also, many of the musical performances of singers and dancers have become more than obscene and far too provocative for T.V. appearances.; It is not fair or lawful for NBC, CBS or ABC or any network television to be able to force a viewer, who has innocently turned on a program, to watch a performer act out sexual play or act, grab his crotch or perform other manual sexual perversion.; NOR, should we have to listen to filth, four letter words, crude; referrals to the sexual parts of the male or female anatomy and how they work.; America worries about children, teens, and young adults and what they will become in this new, social environment of today and into the next century. We can expect more of what we have just witnessed on the streets of New York City. It is beyond the right time for this Commission to take control and issue fines to these T.V. Networks for obscenity, foul language, pornography; and perversion.; Please review this Saturday Night Live Show for possible violations. This show was the worst I have ever witnessed.; Thank you for your immediate attention to this matter. Please contact me at the given email address, for I would like to know of the FCC action taken.; Yours truly, (mailto:)

Apparent Carrier(s):

Contact Name: Contact Number: Email Address: PO Box: City: Gettysburg Best Time to Call: Fax Number: Internet Address: Address: State: PA
Complaint Summary:

[Redacted] wrote on 5/8/2005 1:16:06 AM: Federal Communications Commission; 445 12th St, S.W.; Complaints and Political Programming Branch; Enforcement Division, Mass Media Bureau; Washington, DC 20554; This is a formal COMPLAINT of indecency on broadcast television. My complaint concerns the PROGRAM ON: Network: NBC; Program Name: Saturday Night Live; Broadcast Date: 05/07/05; Broadcast Time: 10:30am MT; My Affiliate: kuwb-tv; Description of Indecency: Repeated use of the 'f' word by a "musical" guest; COMPLAINANT RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THAT THE FCC ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS COMPLAINT, AND THAT THE FCC KEEP ME INFORMED OF THE PROGRESS AND RESULTS OF YOUR INVESTIGATION INTO THIS MATTER.; Sincerely;

Contact Information:

Contact Name: [Redacted]
Contact Number: [Redacted]
Email Address: [Redacted]
PO Box: [Redacted]
City: Evanston
State: WY
Fax Number:
Internet Address:
Address:
City: State: Zip:

Company Name:

Relationship with the Party:
City: State: Zip:

Other Party that can be contacted?

Relationship:
City: State: Zip:

**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Data you spoke with company representative:
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies') name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?
Indicate the responsible carrier(s):
Other Carriers Listed:
Subject Code:

ANALYSIS SECTION

Correspondence Type:  ☐ Complaint  ☐ Inquiry  Source Code:  E-Mail

Apparent Carrier(s):  Re-Serve Carrier(s):  

Responding Carrier(s):  Assigned Subject Code:  Programming Issues

Supervisor Check:  ☐ Yes  ☑ No

Activity Code:  Direct  Assigned Code Acronym:  PROG

Final Responsible Party:  Sub-Category:  Indecent (INDE)

Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?:  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  Call Sign:  none  State:  na

Response Type:

Referral Information

Date Referred:  
Slamming Referral:  ☐ Yes  ☑ No  Deficiency Letter Sent?:  ☐ Yes  ☑ No

Agency Name(s):  Company Name(s):

Referred To:  

Deferment Information

Date Deferred:  
Reason:  None

Date UnDeferred:  

Extension Information:

Extension Requested:  ☐ Yes  ☑ No  Extension Granted:  ☐ Yes  ☑ No

SERV INFORMATION

COMMENTS

Comment History:

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Entered by FCC NOTES01 09-May-05 at 02:03 PM
Modified by  09-Jan-07 at 02:08 PM
Closed By Gettysburg
Complaint Type: Broadcast  
Account Type: Residential  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IC Number</th>
<th>05-R1404650</th>
<th>Case Type:</th>
<th>Complaint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Received</td>
<td>06/20/2005</td>
<td>Complainant:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Entered</td>
<td>06/20/2005</td>
<td>Date Assigned:</td>
<td>06/20/2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entered By</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Reassigned:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assigned To</td>
<td></td>
<td>Service Date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Closed</td>
<td>06/21/2005</td>
<td>Response Date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed By</td>
<td></td>
<td>Original Analyst:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close Letter Needed?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Purged Date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Status: Closed

Complaint Summary:

[Redacted] wrote on 6/19/2005 12:09:00 AM:

Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th St, S.W.  
Complaints and Political Programming Branch  
Enforcement Division, Mass Media Bureau  
Washington, DC 20554

This is a formal COMPLAINT of indecency on broadcast television. My complaint concerns the PROGRAM ON:

Network: NBC  
Program Name: Saturday Night Live  
Broadcast Date: June 18th, 2005  
Broadcast Time: 9:30pm EST  
My Affiliate: NBC

Description of Indecency:

The SNL show used the racial slur Chinamen on Chinese. It is very insulting. Someone needs to punish this program.

COMPLAINANT RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THAT THE FCC ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS COMPLAINT, AND THAT THE FCC KEEP ME INFORMED OF THE PROGRESS AND RESULTS OF YOUR INVESTIGATION INTO THIS MATTER.

Sincerely,

Contact Name:  
Contact Number:  
Email Address:  
Best Time to Call:  
Fax Number:  
Internet Address:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Name:</th>
<th>Relationship with the Party:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Party that can be contacted?</th>
<th>Relationship:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Amount of credit FCC effort generated:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?
  - If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
    - Name: 
      - Phone: 
      - Ext: 
    - Date you spoke with company representative: 
  - If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies') name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here: 
    - Name: 
      - Phone: 
      - Ext: 
    - Date you spoke with the second company representative: 
  - Have you paid any of the disputed charges? 
  - Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges? 
  - If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund? 
  - Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies? 
  - Indicate the responsible carrier(s): 
  - Other Carriers Listed: 
  - Subject Code: 

**ANALYSIS SECTION**

- Correspondence Type: ⬜ Complaint ⬜ Inquiry
- Source Code: 
- E-Mail

- Apparent Carrier(s): 
- Re-Serve Carrier(s): 
- Responding Carrier(s): 
- Assigned Subject Code: Programming Issues

- Supervisor Check: ⬜ Yes ⬜ No
- Activity Code: Direct
- Assigned Code Acronym: PROG
- Final Responsible Party: Content Criticism (PCCR)
- Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?: ⬜ Yes ⬜ No
- Response Type: 

**Referral Information**

- Date Referred: 
- Slamming Referral: ⬜ Yes ⬜ No
- Deficiency Letter Sent?: ⬜ Yes ⬜ No
- Agency Name(s): 
- Company Name(s): 

**Deferment Information**

- Date Deferred: 
- Reason: None
- Date UnDeferred: 

**Address:** 
- City: Memphis 
- State: TN 
- Zip: 

**Subject Code:** 
- ~ALYSISSECnoN----···---··-··------·_-------.-.--- ..-.--.---- ..--- .. ----------.--.-- ...---J
- Correspondence Type: • Complaint □ Inquiry
- Inqury Source Code: E-Mail
- Apparent Carrier(s): 
- Re-Serve Carrier(s): 
- Responding Carrier(s): 
- Assigned Subject Code: Programming Issues
- Supervisor Check: ⬜ Yes ⬜ No
- Activity Code: Direct
- Assigned Code Acronym: PROG
- Final Responsible Party: Content Criticism (PCCR)
- Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?: ⬜ Yes ⬜ No
- Response Type: 
- Date Referred: 
- Slamming Referral: ⬜ Yes ⬜ No
- Deficiency Letter Sent?: ⬜ Yes ⬜ No
- Agency Name(s): 
- Company Name(s): 
- Date Deferred: 
- Reason: None
- Date UnDeferred: 
- PO Box: [empty]
Complaint Type: Broadcast
Account Type: Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IC Number:</th>
<th>05-R1341179</th>
<th>Case Type:</th>
<th>Complaint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Received:</td>
<td>05/09/2005</td>
<td>Complainant:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Entered:</td>
<td>05/09/2005</td>
<td>Date Assigned:</td>
<td>05/09/2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entered By:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Reassigned:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assigned To:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Service Date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Closed:</td>
<td>05/17/2005</td>
<td>Response Date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed By:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Original Analyst:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close Letter Needed?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Purged Date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Status: Closed

Complaint Summary:
Says that the F word was screamed on Saturday Night Live. The guest band was singing and the camera zoomed onto the singers mouth and he screamed the word. It was at about 11:45 - 12:00 on Saturday, May 7 on WYSS, an NBC affiliate.

Contact Name: Pickens
Best Time to Call: Fax Number: Internet Address: Address: State: SC

Company Name: Relationship with the Party:
Other Party that can be contacted?
Relationship:

"Amount of credit FCC effort generated: $0.00"

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with company representative:
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies') name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?
Indicate the responsible carrier(s):
Other Carriers Listed:

Subject Code:
## ANALYSIS SECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correspondence Type: Complaint</th>
<th>Source Code: Telephone Call</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Apparent Carrier(s):**  
**Responding Carrier(s):**  
**Supervisor Check:** Yes  
**Activity Code:** Direct  
**Final Responsible Party:**  
**Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?:** Yes  
**Response Type:**  

### Referral Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Referred:</th>
<th>Slamming Referral: Yes</th>
<th>Deficiency Letter Sent?: Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agency Name(s):</td>
<td>Company Name(s):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Referred To:**  

### Deferment Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Deferred:</th>
<th>Reason: None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date UnDeferred:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extension Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extension Requested: Yes</th>
<th>Extension Granted: Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## SERVE INFORMATION

## COMMENTS

**Comment History:**

**DOCUMENT HISTORY**

Entered by FCC_NOTES01 09-May-05 at 02:03 PM  
Modified by IT 09-Jan-07 at 01:42 PM  
Closed By CGB
Complaint Type: Broadcast
Account Type: Residential

Current Status: Closed

Complaint Summary:
The web told me to fill out this complaint form for obscene problems on the Television. This company SNL on NBC had animated people with their clothes off making love (excuse me) lust on a stage where the president was and the president of the usa was accepting these acts done in front of him. This trash needs to be removed!! Jerry Springer can't do it I don't think Saturday Night Live should be allowed! I ran across it by accident and it is a disgrace! What if my kids wanted to watch tv because they couldn't sleep? What if your kids did the same? GET IT OFF THE TV WE DON'T WANT IT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Company Name:
Relationship with the Party:

Other Party that can be contacted?
Relationship:

**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:** $0.00

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint? Yes
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
Name: NBC) Saturday Night Live  Phone: 6259480490  Ext:
Date you spoke with company representative: 01/08/2005
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies') name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name:  Phone:  Ext:
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges? No
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges? No
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies? Yes
Indicate the responsible carrier(s): Saturday Night Live (NBC)
Other Carriers Listed:
Subject Code: OTHE

ANALYSIS SECTION
Correspondence Type: Complaint Inquiry Source Code: Internet
Apparent Carrier(s): Re-Serve Carrier(s):
Responding Carrier(s): Assigned Subject Code: Programming Issues
Supervisor Check: Yes No
Activity Code: Direct Assigned Code Acronym: PROG
Final Responsible Party: Sub-Category: Indecent (INDE)
Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?: Yes No
Response Type:

Referral Information
Date Referred: Slamming Referral: Yes No Deficiency Letter Sent?: Yes No
Agency Name(s): Company Name(s):
Referred To:

Deferment Information
Date Deferred: Reason: None
Date UnDeferred:

Extension Information:
Extension Requested: Yes No
Extension Granted: Yes No

SERVE INFORMATION

COMMENTS

Comment History:

DOCUMENT HISTORY
Entered by FCC_NOTES01 18-Jan-05 at 09:31 AM
Modified by 09-Jan-07 at 11:41 AM
Closed By Gettysburg

Entered by FCC_NOTES01 18-Jan-05 at 09:31 AM
Modified by 09-Jan-07 at 11:41 AM
Closed By Gettysburg
Complaint Summary:
I thought the following parts of SNL were [fill in word signifying low, base, disgusting, revolting, crude, vile]:
Dialogue near beginning of show: "I want to kiss you all over then take a dump on your chest."; Sketch with loud flatulence and dialogue: "I woke up in a pool of my own urine." Or words to that effect by actress playing role of woman with drug problem.; Or am I just an old grump with no sense of what is absolutely, positively hysterical?; Is there ANY chance you could horsewhip the producer, director, writers, and actors at the foot of the Washington Monument?
Other Carriers Listed:
Subject Code:

**ANALYSIS SECTION**

Correspondence Type: ◊ Complaint ◼ Inquiry  Source Code: Internet

Apparent Carrier(s):  Re-Serve Carrier(s):

Responding Carrier(s):  Assigned Subject Code: Programming Issues

Supervisor Check: ◼ Yes ◊ No

Activity Code: Direct  Assigned Code Acronym: PROG

Final Responsible Party:  Sub-Category: Indecent (INDE)

Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?: ◼ Yes ◊ No  Call Sign:  State:

Response Type:

**Referral Information**

Date Referred: 09/21/2006  Slamming Referral: ◼ Yes ◊ No  Deficiency Letter Sent?: ◼ Yes ◊ No

Agency Name(s): FCC/Enforcement Bureau  Company Name(s):

Referred To:

**Deferment Information**

Date Deferred:  Reason: None

Date UnDeferred:

**Extension Information**

Extension Requested: ◼ Yes ◊ No  Extension Granted: ◼ Yes ◊ No

**SERVE INFORMATION**

**COMMENTS**

Comment History:

**DOCUMENT HISTORY**

Entered by FCC_NOTES01 11-Sep-06 at 02:17 PM Modified by 09-Jan-07 at 10:05 AM

Processed By Gettysburg  Closed By Gettysburg
**Complaint Type:** Broadcast  
**Account Type:** Residential  
**IC Number:** 06-WB11969286  
**Case Type:** Complaint

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Received:</th>
<th>07/03/2006</th>
<th>Case Closed By:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Entered:</td>
<td>07/03/2006</td>
<td>Closed By:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assigned To:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Closed:</td>
<td>07/11/2006</td>
<td>Closed By:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Reassigned:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Date:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response Date:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Analyst:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Current Status:** Closed

**Complaint Summary:**
During SNL's segment "Weekend Report" (a mock news segment), actress Tina Fey made a vulgar joke referring to "pole-smoking" - a slang phrase for male-on-male oral sex.

**Company Name:**
**Relationship with the Party:**
**City:** Harvest  
**State:** AL

**Other Party that can be contacted?**
**Relationship:**
**City:** Harvest  
**State:** AL

**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:**

**Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?**
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
- Name: Phone: Ext:
  - Date you spoke with company representative:
    - If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies) name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies) representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
      - Name: Phone: Ext:
        - Date you spoke with the second company representative:
          - Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
            - Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
            - If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?

**Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?**
Indicate the responsible carrier(s): Cingular Wireless

**Other Carriers Listed:**

**Subject Code:**

**ANALYSIS SECTION**
Correspondence Type: Complaint  Inquiry
Source Code: Internet

Apparent Carrier(s):
Re-Serve Carrier(s):
Responding Carrier(s):
Assigned Subject Code: Programming Issues

Supervisor Check: Yes  No
Activity Code: Direct
Assigned Code Acronym: PROG
Sub-Category: Indecent (INDE)

Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?: Yes  No
Call Sign:
State:
Response Type:

Referral Information
Date Referred: 07/11/2006
Slamming Referral: Yes  No
Deficiency Letter Sent?: Yes  No
Agency Name(s): FCC/Enforcement Bureau
Company Name(s):

Deferment Information
Date Deferred: Reason: None
Date UnDeferred:

Extension Information:
Extension Requested: Yes  No
Extension Granted: Yes  No

SERVE INFORMATION

COMMENTS

Comment History:

DOCUMENT HISTORY
Entered by FCC NOTES01 03-Jul-06 at 03:41 PM
Modified by USER00 09-Jan-07 at 09:49 AM
Processed By CGB
Closed By CGB
Complaint Summary:
Saturday Night Live aired a spoof of Basic Instinct. This spoof focused on the portion of the original movie where Sharon Stone shows her genitals while being interviewed by police. The spoof promised that the new movie would be based on showing more. It continually showed an actress spreading her legs and pointing at her crotch. At one point "Hair Pie" was splashed on the screen and spoken. The actress even promised you would see her vagina. This spoof was sophmoric and felt like I was watching a R rated movie. I was embarassed to sit with my wife while watching it. I can't imagine children watching it!
Other Carriers Listed:
Subject Code:

ANALYSIS SECTION

Correspondence Type:  ● Complaint  ○ Inquiry  Source Code:  Internet

Apparent Carrier(s):  Re-Serve Carrier(s):

Responding Carrier(s):  Assigned Subject Code:  Programming Issues

Supervisor Check:  ○ Yes  ● No

Activity Code:  Direct  Assigned Code Acronym:  PROG

Final Responsible Party:

Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?:  ○ Yes  ○ No

Response Type:

Referral Information

Date Referred:  09/18/2006
Slamming Referral:  ○ Yes  ● No  Deficiency Letter Sent?:  ○ Yes  ● No

Agency Name(s):  FCC/Enforcement Bureau  Company Name(s):

Referred To:

Deferment Information

Date Deferred:  
Reason:  None

Date UnDeferred:  

Extension Information:

Extension Requested:  ○ Yes  ● No  Extension Granted:  ○ Yes  ● No

SERVE INFORMATION

COMMENTS

Comment History:

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Entered by FCC_NOTES01 28-Aug-06 at 05:09 PM
Modified by 09-Jan-07 at 10:03 AM
Processed By Gettysburg
Closed By Gettysburg
Complaint Type: Broadcast  
Account Type: Residential  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IC Number:</th>
<th>06-R205103</th>
<th>Case Type:</th>
<th>Complaint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Received:</td>
<td>11/09/2006</td>
<td>Complainant:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entered By:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Date Reassigned:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assigned To:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Service Date:</td>
<td>Referral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Closed:</td>
<td>12/01/2006</td>
<td>Response Date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed By:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Original Analyst:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close Letter Needed?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Purged Date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Status: Closed

Complaint Summary:

[Redacted] wrote on 10/29/2006 12:09:48 PM: To Whom It May Concern: I realize that the comedy of Saturday Night Live is geared towards adults, and has a history of pushing the envelope; but I was completely shocked to hear the vulgarity on last night's episode (October 28, 2006 ? NBC). In the opening skit, featuring the "Borat" character, he said, "You can have my sister. She's tight like a man's anus." Is this something that the FCC deems appropriate for public television? [Redacted], concerned viewer;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Name:</th>
<th>Best Time to Call:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Number:</td>
<td>Fax Number:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email Address:</td>
<td>Internet Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO Box:</td>
<td>Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City:</td>
<td>State: DC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Company Name:  
Relationship with the Party:  
City: State: Zip:  

Other Party that can be contacted?  
Relationship:  
City: State: Zip:  

**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:**

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?  
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:  
Name: Phone: Ext:  
Date you spoke with company representative:  
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies') name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:  
Name: Phone: Ext:  
Date you spoke with the second company representative:  
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?  
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?  
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?  
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?  
Indicate the responsible carrier(s):  
Other Carriers Listed:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correspondence Type: Complaint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent Carrier(s):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responding Carrier(s):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor Check: Yes No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Code: Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Responsible Party:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?: Yes No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Referred: 12/01/2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficiency Letter Sent?: Yes No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Name(s): FCC/Enforcement Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referred To:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferment Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Deferred:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date UnDeferred:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension Requested: Yes No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension Granted: Yes No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment History:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Document History**
- Entered by FCC_NOTES01 09-Nov-06 at 08:11 AM
- Modified by Jada Barnes 09-Jan-07 at 10:24 AM
- Processed by CGB
- Closed by CGB
Complaint Type: Broadcast
Account Type: Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IC Number: 06-WB12482535</th>
<th>Case Type:</th>
<th>Complaint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Received: 11/27/2006</td>
<td>Complainant:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entered By: 11/27/2006</td>
<td>Date Reassigned:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assigned To:</td>
<td>Service Date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Closed: 11/30/2006</td>
<td>Response Date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed By:</td>
<td>Original Analyst:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close Letter Needed? Yes</td>
<td>Purged Date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Status: Closed

Complaint Summary:
This 11/25/06 "Saturday Night Live" - "Borat" opening with this comedian (Ali G) referencing pubic hair, showing hair that he said was pubic hair as well as referencing human body sexual penetration was profanity in my view. Also, his stupid joke about offering his sister to any visitors to his country (in reference to sex) was degrading to women.

Contact Name: [redacted]
Best Time to Call: [redacted]
Fax Number: [redacted]
Internet Address: [redacted]
Address: Po Box: City: NY State: NY Zip: [redacted]

Company Name:
Relationship with the Party:
Other Party that can be contacted?
Relationship:

**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:**

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with company representative:
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies’) name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies’) representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?
Indicate the responsible carrier(s): Affordable Life Insurance
Other Carriers Listed:
Subject Code:

**ANALYSIS SECTION**

Correspondence Type:  
- Complaint  
- Inquiry  

Source Code:  
- Internet  

Apparent Carrier(s):  

Re-Serve Carrier(s):  

Responding Carrier(s):  

Assigned Subject Code:  
- Programming Issues  

Supervisor Check:  
- Yes  
- No  

Activity Code:  
- Direct  

Assigned Code Acronym:  
- PROG  

Sub-Category:  
- Content Criticism (PCCR)  

Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?:  
- Yes  
- No  

Supervisor Check:  
- Yes  
- No  

Response Type:  

**Referral Information**

Date Referred:  
- 11/30/2006  

Slamming Referral:  
- Yes  
- No  

Deficiency Letter Sent?:  
- Yes  
- No  

Agency Name(s):  
- FCC/Enforcement Bureau  

Company Name(s):  

Referred To:  

Deferment Information

Date Deferred:  
Reason:  
- None  

Date UnDeferred:  

Extension Information

Extension Requested:  
- Yes  
- No  

Extension Granted:  
- Yes  
- No  

**SERVE INFORMATION**

**COMMENTS**

Comment History:

**DOCUMENT HISTORY**

Entered by FCC_NOTES01 27-Nov-06 at 10:37 AM  
Modified by  
09-Jan-07 at 10:25 AM  

Processed By Gettysburg:  
Closed By Gettysburg:
Complaint Type: Broadcast
Account Type: Residential

IC Number: 06-WB11412967

Date Received: 01/30/2006
Date Entered: 01/30/2006
Entered By:

Date Assigned: 03/08/2006
Assigned To:

Date Closed: 03/09/2006
Closed By:

Close Letter Needed? Yes No

Complainant:

Date Reassigned:
Referral

Original Analyst:

Current Status: Closed

Complaint Summary:
Saturday Night Live contained a "comic monologue" in which an actor described his erection in detail, compared it visually to his upturned forearm and fist, and went so far as to describe its blood supply, ("the vein wrapped around it.") He went on to describe using his penis to catapult cashews into his mouth. He seemed particularly pleased to report he was able to do so on his first try, and recommended against the use of peanuts, as they lacked the "curve" necessary to sit "properly" on the tip of an erect penis. I found these descriptions to be lewd, offensive, and completely inappropriate on a widely watched program on a national network, and certainly without any redeeming artist merit of any sort. Please note my children were aghast, and immediately wanted to know more about what the actor was describing. I demand an immediate response to what I consider a horrific abuse of the public airways.

Contact Name: 
Contact Number: 
Email Address: 
PO Box: 
City: Lafayette
State: CO

Company Name: 
Relationship with the Party: 

Other Party that can be contacted?

**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:

Company that resolved complaint?
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with company representative:
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies') name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?
Indicate the responsible carrier(s):
Other Carriers Listed:
Subject Code:

ANALYSIS SECTION

Correspondence Type: ● Complaint ○ Inquiry
Source Code: Internet

Apparent Carrier(s):
Re-Serve Carrier(s):

Responding Carrier(s):
Assigned Subject Code:
Programming Issues

Supervisor Check: ○ Yes ● No

Activity Code: Direct
Assigned Code Acronym: PROG

Final Responsible Party:
Sub-Category: Indecent (INDE)

Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?: ○ Yes ○ No
Call Sign: State:

Response Type:

Referral Information

Date Referred: 03/09/2006
Slamming Referral: ○ Yes ● No
Deficiency Letter Sent?: ○ Yes ● No
Agency Name(s):
Company Name(s):

Referred To: FCC/Enforcement Bureau

Deferment Information

Date Deferred: Reason: None
Date UnDeferred:

Extension Information:

Extension Requested: ○ Yes ● No
Extension Granted: ○ Yes ● No

SERVE INFORMATION

COMMENTS

Comment History:

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Entered by FCC NOTES01 30-Jan-06 at 08:14 AM
Modified by 09-Jan-07 at 10:50 AM
Processed By CGB
Closed By CGB
Complaint Type: Broadcast
Account Type: Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IC Number: 05-WB11255285</th>
<th>Case Type: Complaint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Received: 12/06/2005</td>
<td>Complainant:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Entered: 12/06/2005</td>
<td>Date Assigned: 03/08/2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entered By:</td>
<td>Date Reassigned:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assigned To:</td>
<td>Service Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Closed: 04/05/2006</td>
<td>Response Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed By:</td>
<td>Original Analyst:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close Letter Needed?</td>
<td>Purged Date:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Status: Closed

Complaint Summary:
A horrifying description of a penis including actual characteristics (veins, head, etc) and actual visual replication using an arm and fist placed near the genitals occurred on NBC's Saturday Night Live on December 3rd, 2005. It occurred during the monologue with the host Dane Cook. This material was offensive and completely out of line. It poses no educational or artistic aide to the American people and obviously abandons common practice and decency.

Contact Name: 
Contact Number: 
Email Address: 
PO Box: 
City: Chubbuck

Company Name: 
Relationship with the Party: 
City: State: Zip:

Other Party that can be contacted?

**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:**

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with company representative:
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies') name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?
Indicate the responsible carrier(s):

Other Carriers Listed:
**ANALYSIS SECTION**

**Correspondence Type:**  
- Complaint  
- Inquiry  

**Source Code:** Internet

**Apparent Carrier(s):**

**Re-Serve Carrier(s):**

**Responding Carrier(s):**  
- Assigned Subject Code: Programming Issues

**Supervisor Check:**  
- Yes  
- No

**Activity Code:** Direct

**Assigned Code Acronym:** PROG

**Sub-Category:** Indecent (INDE)

**Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?:**  
- Yes  
- No

**Call Sign:**

**State:**

**Final Responsible Party:**

**Response Type:**

**Referral Information**

**Date Referred:** 04/05/2006

**Slamming Referral:**  
- Yes  
- No

**Deficiency Letter Sent?:**  
- Yes  
- No

**Agency Name(s):** FCC/Enforcement Bureau

**Company Name(s):**

**Referred To:**

**Deferment Information**

**Date Deferred:**

**Reason:** None

**Date UnDeferred:**

**Extension Information:**

**Extension Requested:**  
- Yes  
- No

**Extension Granted:**  
- Yes  
- No

**SERVE INFORMATION**

**COMMENTS**

**Comment History:**

**DOCUMENT HISTORY**

Entered by FCC_NOTES01 06-Dec-05 at 07:57 AM

Modified by [Redacted] 08-Jan-07 at 03:58 PM

Processed By CGB

Closed By CGB
Complaint Type: Broadcast
Account Type: Residential

IC Number: 05-WB11175789  Case Type: Complaint
Date Received: 11/14/2005
Date Entered: 11/14/2005
Entered By: 
Assigned To: 
Date Closed: 03/23/2006
Closed By: 

Date Reassigned: 03/17/2006
Response Date: Referral

No of Credit: None

Current Status: Closed

Complaint Summary:
Hello, I saw something that was extremely obscene and indecent, it was on Saturday Night Live this last week. The show depicted a man having sex with a bird. It was very graphic and disturbing. I don't see any humor in it. Also later in the show were other very obvious sexual innuendos about a man's sexual organs, it very ridiculous and not funny at all. This show seems to get more and more obscene all the time. It is a shame because they usually have very talented people on this show, they don't need to resort to these kinds of very crude comedy.

Contact Name: 
Contact Number: 
Email Address: 
PO Box: 
City: La Mesa
State: CA

Company Name: 
Contact Name: 
Contact Number: 
Email Address: 
PO Box: 
City: State: Zip:

Other Party that can be contacted?

**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:**

Company Name: 
Relationship with the Party: 
City: State: Zip:

Other Party that can be contacted?

Relationship: 
City: State: Zip:

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with company representative:
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company representative(s) and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?
Indicate the responsible carrier(s):
Other Carriers Listed:
**Correspondence Type:** Complaint  
**Source Code:** Internet  
**Apparent Carrier(s):**  
**Responding Carrier(s):**  
**Supervisor Check:** No  
**Activity Code:** Direct  
**Final Responsible Party:**  
**Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?:** No  
**Referral Information**  
**Date Referred:** 03/23/2006  
**Slamming Referral:** No  
**Agency Name(s):** FCC/Enforcement Bureau  
**Referred To:**  
**Deferment Information**  
**Date Deferred:**  
**Reason:** None  
**Date UnDeferred:**  
**Extension Information:**  
**Extension Requested:** No  
**Extension Granted:** No  
**SERVE INFORMATION**  
**COMMENTS**  
**Comment History:**  
**DOCUMENT HISTORY**  
Entered by FCC NOTES01 14-Nov-05 at 09:51 AM  
Modified by: 09-Jan-07 at 10:44 AM  
Processed By CGB  
Closed By CGB
Complaint Summary:
Saturday Night Live has become extremely offensive. Finally, after a few I turned it off in disgust. Some of the skits I saw: A take on Home and Garden Network using "Black Caulk" alluding to a male body part; "Good Morning Meth" which showed a meth lab and people strung out on drugs as being funny; "The Falconer" which portrayed beastiality as funny; Comedy does not have to be dirty to be funny, Saturday Night Live should learn a lesson from people like Steve Martin who; truly made the show great without resorting to sex and drugs. Just because SNL is a late-night show does not mean it should; be exempt from "keeping it clean." It is still aired on a major network. How far will we push the envelope?

Contact Name: 
Contact Number: 
Email Address: 
PO Box: 
City: Lakewood

Company Name: 
Relationship with the Party:
City: State: Zip:

Other Party that can be contacted?
Relationship:
City: State: Zip:

**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with company representative:
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies') name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies? 
Indicate the responsible carrier(s):
Other Carriers Listed:

Subject Code:

**ANALYSIS SECTION**

Correspondence Type:  
- Complaint  
- Inquiry  
Source Code:  
Internet

Apparent Carrier(s):  
Re-Serve Carrier(s):  
Responding Carrier(s):  
Assigned Subject Code:  
Programming Issues

Supervisor Check:  
- Yes  
- No

Activity Code:  
Direct  
Assigned Code Acronym:  
PROG

Final Responsible Party:  
Sub-Category:  
Content Criticism (PCCR)

Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?:  
- Yes  
- No

Response Type:

**Referral Information**

Date Referred:  
03/29/2006
Slamming Referral:  
- Yes  
- No
Deficiency Letter Sent?:  
- Yes  
- No

Agency Name(s):  
FCC/Enforcement Bureau
Company Name(s):  

Referred To:  

**Deferment Information**

Date Deferred:  
Reason:  
None
Date UnDeferred:  

**Extension Information**

Extension Requested:  
- Yes  
- No
Extension Granted:  
- Yes  
- No

**SERVE INFORMATION**

**COMMENTS**

Comment History:

**DOCUMENT HISTORY**

Entered by FCC_NOTES01 15-Nov-05 at 12:20 PM
Modified by   09-Jan-07 at 10:42 AM
Processed By CGB -
Closed By CGB -
Complaint Type: Broadcast
Account Type: Residential

Complaint Summary:
Sir or Madam: On Saturday, October 22, 2005, at 11:40 p.m. [PDT], channel 4 television [KNBC] aired the program "Saturday Night Live." In that program a skit about the "Butt Cancer Center" was performed. In the skit, indecent language was used by the actor and actress; talking about "Butt Cancer," remarks were made about putting "...a finger up your fart hole," "...your fudge packer," and other disgusting "...excretory organ" references (too many to remember). I am aware that "Saturday Night Live" is an adult comedy program, that's why I watched it. However, it seems the program has tried to stretch the boundary of decency for the sake of a cheap, childish, gutterish skit. I am requesting that the program and/or KNBC [a station owned by the National Broadcasting Company (NBC)] and/or NBC, itself, be chastized and warned about using indecent "humor" on the public airwaves. Thank you.

Company Name:  
Relationship with the Party:  
Other Party that can be contacted?  
Relationship:  
**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:**

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?  
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:  
Name:  Phone:  Ext:  
Date you spoke with company representative:  
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies') name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:  
Name:  Phone:  Ext:  
Date you spoke with the second company representative:  
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?  
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?  
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?  
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?
Indicate the responsible carrier(s):

Other Carriers Listed:

Subject Code:

**ANALYSIS SECTION**

Correspondence Type:  ● Complaint  ○ Inquiry  
Source Code:  E-Mail

Apparent Carrier(s):  
Re-Serve Carrier(s):  

Responding Carrier(s):  
Assigned Subject Code:  Programming Issues

Supervisor Check:  ○ Yes  ● No

Activity Code:  Direct  
Assigned Code Acronym:  PROG

Final Responsible Party:  
Sub-Category:  Profane (PROF)

Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?:  ○ Yes  ○ No

Response Type:  

**Referral Information**

Date Referred:  04/08/2006
Slamming Referral:  ○ Yes  ● No  
Deficiency Letter Sent?:  ○ Yes  ○ No

Agency Name(s):  FCC/Enforcement Bureau  
Company Name(s):  

Referred To:  

**Deferment Information**

Date Deferred:  
Reason:  None

Date UnDeferred:  

**Extension Information**

Extension Requested:  ○ Yes  ● No  
Extension Granted:  ○ Yes  ● No

**SERVE INFORMATION**

**COMMENTS**

Comment History:

**DOCUMENT HISTORY**

Entered by Robin Jackson 06-Apr-06 at 09:55 AM
Modified by [Redacted] 08-Jan-07 at 04:04 PM
Processed By CGB - [Redacted]
Closed By CGB - [Redacted]
Complaint Summary:
In a skit on Saturday Night Live on Saturday, 24Feb2007, one of the cast members told a story about his dad at
the park. It ended with for once, I was thinking about having a dad and not a dad with Down syndrome;
Profane: Corrupt morally or by intemperance; Obscene: Offensive to the mind; Indecent: Not in keeping with
accepted standards of what is right or proper in polite society; All three definitions (see www.hyperdictionary.com)
are met by the above skit.; Lorne Michaels's and NBC's freedom to write, produce, and air this material was within
their First Amendment rights as guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States of America.; This does not
excuse their actions.; CBS was fined an exorbitant amount for the actions of two individuals who chose to shock the
viewing community by displaying nudity during the Superbowl halftime show this action was the concerted effort of
numerous members who chose to offend thousands of families to the very core of their collective being.; I hereby
request that heavy fines be levied against Mr. Lorne Michaels, the writers, producers, and cast members who
carried out these activities, and that NBC Universal be fined not less that five times the levels enacted against CBS
for this heinous, concerted, premeditated act.; Sincerely;

Contact Name: Greenwood
Best Time to Call: Greenwood
Fax Number: Greenwood
Internet Address: Greenwood
Address: Greenwood
State: IN

Company Name: Greenwood
Relationship with the Party: Greenwood
City: Greenwood
State: IN
Zip: Greenwood

Other Party that can be contacted?
Relationship: Greenwood
City: Greenwood
State: IN
Zip: Greenwood

**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:**

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with company representative:
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies') name(s), name(s) and
number(s) of company(ies') representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?
Indicate the responsible carrier(s): WDSUNO(NBC), WWLNO(CBS), WGNO-NO(ABC) All4EIPasoTV
Other Carriers Listed:
Subject Code:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANALYSIS SECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correspondence Type:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent Carrier(s):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responding Carrier(s):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor Check:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Code:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Responsible Party:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referral Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Referred: 03/12/2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slamming Referral: Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficiency Letter Sent?: Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Name(s): FCC/Enforcement Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company Name(s):</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deferment Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Deferred:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date UnDeferred:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extension Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extension Requested: Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension Granted: Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERVE INFORMATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Comment History: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOCUMENT HISTORY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entered by FCC_NOTES01 27-Feb-07 at 08:26 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified by Sep-07 at 01:49 PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Complaint Type: Broadcast
Account Type: Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaint Type: Broadcast</th>
<th>Account Type: Residential</th>
<th>Congressional Complaint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC Number: 07-WB12974890</td>
<td>Case Type: Complaint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Date Received:** 04/23/2007
**Date Entered:** 04/23/2007
**Date Assigned:** 04/23/2007
**Date Reassigned:** 05/02/2007
**Date Closed:** 05/03/2007
**Response Date:**

**Closed By:**
**Close Letter Needed?** Yes No

**Current Status:** Closed

**Complaint Summary:**
During the "Roy Rules" skit of the April 21st episode of Saturday Night Live, I witnessed instances of material I consider to be obscene. These include references to a homosexual "...24/7 69..." and the use of the word "dong". Though I understand the material described must be reviewed within the context of the entire skit and will be evaluated as such, I must point out that the material is sexually offensive and lacks value of any sort.

**Company Name:**
**Apparent Carrier(s):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Name:</th>
<th>Best Time to Call:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Number:</td>
<td>Fax Number:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email Address:</td>
<td>Internet Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO Box:</td>
<td>Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City:</td>
<td>State: WA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Party that can be contacted:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Name:</th>
<th>Relationship with the Party:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Name:</td>
<td>Best Time to Call:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Number:</td>
<td>Fax Number:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email Address:</td>
<td>Internet Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO Box:</td>
<td>Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City:</td>
<td>State: Zip:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:**

**Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?**
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Phone: Ext:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date you spoke with company representative:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies) name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies)' representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Phone: Ext:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date you spoke with the second company representative:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you paid any of the disputed charges?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicate the responsible carrier(s): Ampd mobile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Carriers Listed:**
Subject Code:

ANALYSIS SECTION

Correspondence Type: • Complaint ○ Inquiry Source Code: Internet

Apparent Carrier(s):

Re-Serve Carrier(s):

Responding Carrier(s):

Assigned Subject Code: Programming Issues

Supervisor Check: ○ Yes ● No

Activity Code: Direct

Assigned Code Acronym: PROG

Final Responsible Party:

Sub-Category: Profane (PROF)

Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?: ○ Yes ○ No

Response Type:

Referral Information

Date Referred: 05/03/2007

Slamming Referral: ○ Yes ● No

Deficiency Letter Sent?: ○ Yes ● No

Agency Name(s):

Complaint Name(s):

FCC/Enforcement Bureau

Deferment Information

Date Deferred: 

Reason: None

Date UnDeferred:

Extension Information:

Extension Requested: ○ Yes ● No

Extension Granted: ○ Yes ● No

SERVE INFORMATION

COMMENTS

Comment History:

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Entered by OSCARS Server 23-Apr-07 at 10:06 AM
Modified by 26-Sep-07 at 10:03 AM

Processed By CGB
Closed By CGB
Current Status: Closed

Complaint Summary:
Saturday Night Live featured a skit with four barflies sharing stories. One was bleeped, and another which mysteriously was NOT bleeped involved a story about "anonymous sex in a bathroom stall" where the male narrator’s punchline involved his male partner’s “pee coming out my nose.” This is absolutely indefensibly beyond the pale on network television. Is anyone at the FCC paying attention, or has all vulgarity been declared delightfully avant-garde. Obviously SNL thinks that’s cutting edge. It isn’t. It’s disgusting.

Company Name: 
Relationship with the Party: 
Other Party that can be contacted? 
**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:

Contacted the companies to resolve complaint?
If yes, name of company, name and number of company representative you spoke with:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with company representative:
If you contacted more than one company to resolve complaint, please list additional company(ies’) name(s), name(s) and number(s) of company(ies’) representatives and date you spoke to those representatives here:
Name: Phone: Ext:
Date you spoke with the second company representative:
Have you paid any of the disputed charges?
Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?
If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?
Willing to provide further written statements for use of the FCC or other agencies in enforcement actions against companies?
Indicate the responsible carrier(s): Unknown
Other Carriers Listed:
Subject Code: Programming Issues

Correspondence Type: Complaint
Source Code: Internet

Apparent Carrier(s): Re-Serve Carrier(s):
Responding Carrier(s): Assigned Subject Code: Programming Issues

Supervisor Check: No
Activity Code: Direct
Final Responsible Party: Content Criticism (PCCR)

Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?: No
Response Type:

Referral Information
Date Referred: 07/19/2007
Slamming Referral: No
Deficiency Letter Sent?: No
Agency Name(s): FCC/Enforcement Bureau
Company Name(s):
Referred To:

Deferment Information
Date Deferred: 
Reason: None
Date UnDeferred:

Extension Information:
Extension Requested: No
Extension Granted: No

SERVE INFORMATION

COMMENTS

Comment History:

DOCUMENT HISTORY
Entered by OSCARServer 16-Jul-07 at 08:56 AM
Modified by 26-Sep-07 at 10:16 AM
Processed By CGB -
Closed By CGB -
Problem Description

I wrote on 6/19/2005 12:09:00 AM:

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St, S.W.
Complaints and Political Programming Branch
Enforcement Division, Mass Media Bureau
Washington, DC 20554

This is a formal COMPLAINT of indecency on broadcast television. My complaint concerns the PROGRAM ON:

Network: NBC
Program Name: Saturday Night Live
Broadcast Date: June 18th, 2005
Broadcast Time: 9:30pm EST
My Affiliate: NBC

Description of Indecency:

The SNL show used the racial slur Chinamen on Chinese. It is very insulting. Someone needs to punish this program.

COMPLAINANT RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THAT THE FCC ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS COMPLAINT, AND THAT THE FCC KEEP ME INFORMED OF THE PROGRESS AND RESULTS OF YOUR INVESTIGATION INTO THIS MATTER.

Sincerely,
Inquiry

Control ID: PROBLEM00560646  Consumer's Name: UNKNOWN UNKNOWN
IC Number:  Phone Number: (000) 000 - 0000
Owner:  Email: 
Status: Closed
Submission Method: Email
Owner:  
Address 1:
Address 2:
P.O. Box:
City/State/Zip:

Problem Description

wrote on 7/26/2004 1:45:27 PM:

Please find information attached regarding the FCC's regulations on program content. Page 2 specifically indicates what supporting documentation must be provided to file a complaint with the FCC's Enforcement Bureau regarding a violation of rules. Thank you.

wrote on 7/19/2004 12:28:35 PM:

To who it may concern:

Last night we sat down to watch NBC's Saturday Night Live show. The first skit with the Z105 DJ was the worst I have ever seen. The foul comments and obscenities that came out of his mouth was unacceptable on National TV. We had our 12-year-old niece watching the show since with us, as she is a fan of Jessica Simpson who was the host that night. Needless to say I had to turn SNL during that skit. NBC should be heavily fined for the suggestive humor that was used on that skit. I do not need or want to be subjected to filthy language and comments such as this and because of this would like to lodge a complaint against NBC and the Saturday Night Live July 17, 2004 show.

wrote on 7/19/2004 12:28:35 PM:

To who it may concern:

Last night we sat down to watch NBC's Saturday Night Live show. The first skit with the Z105 DJ was the worst I have ever seen. The foul comments and obscenities that came out of his mouth was unacceptable on National TV. We had our 12-year-old niece watching the show since
with us, as she is a fan of Jessica Simpson who was the host that night. Needless to say I had to turn SNL during that skit.

NBC should be heavily fined for the suggestive humor that was used in that skit.

I do not need or want to be subjected to filthy language and comments such as this and because of this would like to lodge a complaint against NBC and the Saturday Night Live July 17, 2004 show.
Dear Consumer,

a. The fastest and easiest way to file a complaint about an obscene, indecent, or profane broadcast is to use the FCC’s new electronic complaint Form 475B, available on our Web site at http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cib/fcc475B.cfm.

b. You may also file a complaint by e-mail at fccinfo@fcc.gov or you may mail your complaint to:

Federal Communications Commission
Enforcement Bureau
Investigations and Hearings Division
Room 4-C330
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554.

You should include the following information in your complaint:

- Information regarding the details of what was actually said or depicted during the broadcast.
- The date and time of the broadcast.
- The call letters, channel, or frequency of the station involved.
- If possible, the city and state where you saw the program, the name of the program, or the DJ personality, song, or film you are complaining about.

Thank you

Saturday Night Live

see attachment

To: fccinfo@fcc.gov

Subject: Saturday Night Live

I realize Saturday Night Live is on late at night (for a reason no doubt) and the rules for tv are relaxed after ten pm but I always believed the show had entertaining writers with skits that a young to medium teenager could watch and find funny without completely demoralizing until last night, April 14, 2007. The show that was aired had a skit duped "Hathaway moustache rides", with a boardroom full of men suggesting every woman regardless of
ability to pay should be entitled to a "moustache ride". Are you kidding me? Do I want to explain THIS to a young teen? Later in the show, a skit entitled "Sofa King" was acted out. I understand it's a spoof off of Mattress King products but slurring repeatedly it's "sofa-king great" and "it's sofa-king comfortable", is that the level that SNL has attained? I know, I know, don't like it, don't watch, and don't recommend it to your friends.
Inquiry

Control ID : CIMS00000345678
IC Number : 07-R345678
Status : Closed
Submission Method : Email
Owner : 
Submitted Time : 3/29/2007 3:40:45PM
Response End Time : 3/30/2007 11:57:21AM

Problem Description

wrote on 3/30/2007 11:57:21 AM:

Dear

Please see the attached information regarding Freedom of Speech.

wrote on 3/29/2007 3:40:45 PM:

To Whom It may concern:

As I was viewing the NBC Saturday Night Live broadcast on the night of March 27, 2007, the WEEKEND UPDATE segment had a joke about the execution of an Iraqi, and then went on to suggest that a prominent child actor should also have been killed. The studio audience made an audible gasp, and Jimmy Fallon seemed shocked not to have gotten a laugh. To jokingly say that a child should be murdered is completely irresponsible and out of line for NBC and Saturday Night Live. Some disturbed person might actually try to harm the child. SNL should issue an apology and use better judgement in the future.

Don't pick lemons.
See all the new 2007 cars at Yahoo! Autos.
http://autos.yahoo.com/new_cars.html
Saturday Night Live was doing a cartoon theme this particular night. It was edgy as usual - something I've come to expect and often overlook for the humor in it. But it got disgusting this evening. They were talking about physical builds of various cartoons, but then did a very fast flash (was their guilt showing?) of a woman in a tub with a man behind her and called it - referring to Pocahontas - 'Poke a Hot Ass'. It was not edifying to anyone. My daughter was watching with me and the tv was shut off. She was disgusted as well. TV is getting way out of hand.
Dear Consumer,
Please see attachment.
Thank You.

"•••••• wrote on 5/10/2005 12:51:17 PM:

I want to file a complaint for the broadcasting of the "F" word on Saturday Night Live on May 7, 2005.

This is not the first time inappropriate language and material has been allowed to air on SNL. The show producers and the network need to be more vigilant in making sure obscenities are not broadcast. I hope the FCC will do its job by enforcing the rules.

Thank you-

Do You Yahoo!?  
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around  
http://mail.yahoo.com
Problem Description

[Name] wrote on 6/2/2005 11:02:47 AM:

If you wish to file a complaint, please see the attached information regarding Indecency/Obscenity.

[Name] wrote on 5/16/2005 8:47:01 PM:

To whom it may concern,

The May 14, 2005 episode (#1401) of Saturday Night Live showed an extremely graphic and violent cartoon featuring a 3genie2 torturing a man in front of two children.

In the cartoon, the man was forced to drink ammonia and then vomited blood. The man was dismembered, and such graphic violence was shown that I actually couldn't watch it because I found the cartoon too disturbing and disgusting.

The cartoon's illustrator is Robert Smigel and the cartoon is part of a regular series on SNL titled 'Saturday's TV Funhouse.'

Though the cartoons are not normally so offensive, I do believe this particular cartoon crossed the line of decency standards, especially for TV-14 specifications.

I have reviewed chat rooms focusing on Saturday Night Live, and I saw many posts of people complaining about the overtly violent, disturbing content of this episode's cartoon.

Please review this cartoon!

Thank you for your consideration,
To All FCC Commissioners,

I just watched a skit on Saturday Night Live (10/9/04) and was so offended that I turned the TV off. It was a skit about great looking pubic hair. At first I thought how is this even funny but didn't say anything. Then they showed full frontal shots of naked men with the genitals somewhat blocked by distorted, flashing blocks. GIMME A BREAK! How is this different from what Janet Jackson did during the Super Bowl? It was offensive and unnecessary and a deliberate attempt to push the envelope. I know that SNL and other comedy shows are known for pushing the envelope. I also accept that not everyone has the same sense of morality or values that I have. Living in this great country I know that I need an open mind and be considerate of others because we don't all fit in the same mold. But how far does this have to go? I believe in the Constitution of the United States and FREEDOM of SPEECH! But I am getting tired of people pushing the envelope too far and not caring about how others feel. Why should I be willing to meet others half way and yet shows like this don't care about me at all and continue to think they can get away with such behavior? The only conclusion I can come to is WHY they are allowed to get away with it is because I foolishly put my faith in the FCC to regulate the air waves. You are my voice! You represent me and every other American. I trust that this commission makes decisions based on laws and balancing the wide range of view points that you must deal with every day. I am tired of people, using and abusing the Freedom of Speech Card every they want to say anything or do anything on TV. When in reality all they want to do is make some money hoping to attract large audiences and sell commercial time. I should be able to watch an edgy, TV show like SNL without being subjected to naked people and pubic hair. For years people have said to me..."Don't like it, turn it off." I don't watch Jeerry Springer, or Howard Sterns...I turned them off, knowing that I believe these shows are trash and I don't think they belong on TV. So, like the halftime show of the Super Bowl...when watching network TV I believe that there are standards that must be followed and respected by individuals wanting to use the public air waves. I thought the FCC promised to stand up against this kind of behavior after the Janet Jackson episode by handing out fines against those who broke the rules. Shame on you if your first reaction to this letter was..."There's nothing we can do because no regulations were broken."

My question to you is...If the FCC can't do anything about this...what can I do to have my voice be heard equally to those who continue to push the envelope. They have all the power. They do it and then ask for forgiveness. Please don't tell me just to TURN IT OFF! All or Nothing can't be the only solution our society can make.
### Problem Description

**wrote on 11/2/2004 1:42:37 PM:**

Information is attached on the FCC's regulations regarding indecency/obscenity. Page 2 of the document provides guidelines on how to file a complaint regarding specific program content and what supporting documentation is required. Your email does not contain sufficient data for us to forward a complaint to the FCC's Enforcement Bureau. Thank you.

**wrote on 11/1/2004 11:44:19 AM:**

I agree, with Bill's comments below, prime time, when children are watching, is NOT the time for this material. I believe SNL has gone too far and needs to be punished. This particular material is NEVER appropriate. This is not acceptable, nor do I find it entertaining.

Please accept this email as a formal complaint against NBC and SNL. My wife and I don't want to see this on television nor do we want our 5 yr old son to see it.

Thank you,

---

**-----Original Message-----**

From: Bill Brown [mailto:kg5ar@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2004 8:49 PM
To: pchester@kark.com
Subject: FW: Saturday Night Live-- Going too far

I was highly offended by the SNL advertisement aired last week that showed two actors mouth kissing. In response, I have sent the message below to a couple of dozen friends, who probably feel similarly repulsed.

I believe that you, as the General Manager of KARK, should be aware of my feelings in this particular case.
I encourage you to pay more attention to the content of your broadcasts and to consider how many viewers may find the content offensive.

Thank you,

-----Original Message-----
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2004 8:14 PM
Subject: Saturday Night Live-- Going too far

If you watched an NBC affiliate station during the week ending 10/30/04, you were probably treated to a shocking scene: two men kissing. This occurred on an advertisement for Saturday Night Live. The ad was broadcast repeatedly during prime-time slots.

I really do not care what Saturday Night Live and NBC shows late at night when the audience is predominately adult, and I really do not care what the intended context of this advertisement was. This ad showed something highly controversial and sensitive, and to the vast majority of Americans, it showed something blatantly offensive, vulgar and obscene. It should never have been shown, particularly not at times when impressionable children would be watching.

The Federal Communications Commission has begun aggressively enforcing its regulations on profanity and decency. I think this ad is a perfect example of what should NOT be on the air, at least not during the early evening hours.

If you saw this ad and feel this same way I do, please go to the link below to find out how to file a complaint to the FCC. The only way we can bring decency back to the airwaves is to take a few minutes and make your voice heard.

The link to the FCC is:
<http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/consumerfacts/obscene.html>
http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/consumerfacts/obscene.html

Do not forget to forward this message to everyone you know who might feel this kind of program content is indecent.

Thanks...
Problem ID: PROBLEM00815712  Consumer's Name: UNKNOWN UNKNOWN
Status: Closed  Phone Number: (000) 000-0000
Submission Method: Email  Email: 
Owner:  
Submitted Time: 11/8/2004 1:45:37PM  
Response End Time: 11/12/2004 11:33:30AM
Address 1:  
Address 2:  
City/State/Zip:  

Problem Description

wrote on 11/12/2004 11:33:30 AM:

Dear Consumer,

Please see attached on how to file a complaint.

wrote on 11/8/2004 1:45:37 PM:

On Saturday Nov. 6, 2004 my wife and I were watching Saturday Night Live on KXAS channel 5. It airs at 10:30pm CST. It was a compilation of the Best of Tom Hanks skits. During the broadcast on the news portion of the show they had a puppet portraying Wilson from the movie Castaway. When commenting on what it was like to work with Tom Hanks the first line of the puppet was "He was a real dick." Now granted SNL isn't a kids show but that doesn't give it license to constantly push the limits of public vulgarity. Please take my complaint into consideration.

Thank you,
Problem Description

wrote on 12/1/2004 5:52:28 PM:

I witnessed obscene material that was aired during the NBC Saturday Night Live (SNL) broadcast on 11/20/2004. I was watching SNL broadcast on my local NBC affiliate WJHG-TV in Panama City, FL at approximately 10:30 p.m. CDT. There were two instances that I specifically witnessed.

1) The cartoon that was featured during the broadcast depicted simulated sex acts that were genuinely offensive to my family and I. During the cartoon four characters that represented Rosie O'Donnell, Richard Simmons, Ellen DeGeneres and Ryan Seacrest had their sexuality switched and simulated sexual acts with each other culminating in an orgy near the end of cartoon segment. The cartoon characters were animated to thrust and grind upon each other.

2) During the Weekend Update sketch, Amy Poehler manually masturbated a "talking" toy while the toy's male voice moaned and finally suggested an orgasm.

I can not believe that obscene materials such as the instances described are fit to broadcast on national network television, even if some people deem them to be humorous. As I understand it obscene speech and actions are always unfit for broadcast. After watching the broadcast I hope that my family and I never have to witness such materials again.

If further information is needed, my contact information follows.

Sincerely,

----- Original Message ----- 
From: FCCInfo
To: 
Sent: Wednesday, December 01, 2004 1:51 PM
Subject: PROBLEM00877515 - Obscene Material Broadcast

You are receiving this email in response to your inquiry to the FCC.

If you wish to file an Indecency/Obscenity complaint, please see the attached fact sheet. You need to include the broadcast stations callsign.
Problem ID: PROBLEM00427511
Consumer's Name: [Redacted]
Status: Closed
Phone Number: (000) 000-0000
Submission Method: Email
Email: [Redacted]
Owner: [Redacted]
Address 1: [Redacted]
Submitted Time: 3/31/2004 10:30:58AM
Address 2: [Redacted]
Response End Time: 3/31/2004 10:32:40AM
City/State/Zip: [Redacted]

Problem Description

ORIGINAL EMAIL:
First, please forgive me if my complaint is entirely outside the ambit of FCC regulations. Briefly, I wish to lodge a complaint about two 'skits' aired on NBC on "Saturday Night Live" this past Sat. and the previous Saturday.
The first involved a "skit" in which the crucified Jesus was tempted down from the cross in his sufferings due to the enticements of two bikini-clad 'mourners' whom he proceeded to chase around in a parody of a Benny Hill sketch. I wrote to both NBC and Saturday Night Live and received no answer.
The following week (This past Saturday), SNL aired a cartoon in which Christ's passion was equated to the suffering of 'Humpty Dumpty', who is shown falling from a wall, breaking, and spilling intestines on the ground. This is juxtaposed with film of Mel Gibson discussing his film, "The Passion of the Christ", making it appear that, when discussing Christ's suffering, he is talking about Humpty Dumpty. I cannot express in words the extreme offence and pain which this intentionally provocative and gratuitous attempt at blasphemy caused to me and my family. Are there no bounds of decency and comity when it comes to this kind of 'parody'?
If there is any action the FCC can take in this regard, it would be greatly appreciated. I do not expect television to either promote or defend any religious beliefs, but these 'skits' were so flagrant in their attempt to incite and give offence that I felt compelled to write.
Sir or Madam:

On Saturday night, May 15, 2004, on KNBC Channel 4, Los Angeles, "Saturday Night Live" was shown at its usual time of between 11:30 pm and 1:00 am (May 16th).

During that show, a patently offensive skit was shown in which at least one-third to one-half of one of the "SNL" male player's buttocks were displayed bare in a manner that had no "serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value" (indeed no serious comedy value either). The bare buttocks were displayed in full center of the screen, covering almost one-half of the total viewing area in a cheap, disgusting, NON-funny display of childhood stupidity.

I have watched the program many times. It does, usually, provide good comic relief. However, lately the "SNL" program has displayed more and more flesh and has degenerated into an offensive, prepubescent hodgepodge of juvenile trash.

I request that they be reprimanded and that they be told their programming has deteriorated to the point that long-time viewers have finally had itt with their offensive behavior.

Thank you,
Problem Description

I just wanted to complain about NBC's Saturday Night Live's airing on 12/11/04. Host Colin Farrell said "shit" and it was not bleeped. That is not nearly as bad as one of the last skits they did called "Merv the Perv" they used many many obscene sexual references. Just because it is SNL does not mean it should have a free range of perverted language.
Problem ID: PROBLEM01220482
Consumer's Name: UNKNOWN
Status: Closed
Submission Method: Email
Owner: [redacted]
Submitted Time: 3/21/2005 4:37:43PM
Response End Time: 3/22/2005 11:11:10AM

Problem Description

SNL Tries Penile Implant
By Staff -- Broadcasting & Cable, 3/21/2005 11:58:00 AM

It's been a while since Saturday Night Live regularly inspired an "I can't believe they did that!? reaction in viewers, but the March 12 show came pretty close.

/* Generate the transaction ID */
now = new Date();
var millis = now.getTime();
var transID = ""+millis; /* Generate the ad tag */
Keen observers of a sketch about a celebrity roast of Clint Eastwood might have noticed something peculiar about how the show's host, David Spade, was made up to look like Owen Wilson.

His nose looked like a penis. Not 'kind of like a penis'; it looked like a urologically-correct appendage, right down to what we believe is called the dorsal vein.

We took a digital photo of the screen image (posted above), and showed it to an NBC spokesman, who said, "I don't see what you're seeing," which made us wonder if we just had a dirty mind. But, no: A source at the show confirms that Spade was indeed fitted with a penile proboscis.

"It was hilarious," says the source. "Owen Wilson has a bad nose, but not that bad." Our SNLer was surprised that we had detected what amounted to an inside joke.

Indeed, when viewed on a medium-sized TV, Spade's customized schnozz is not that obvious. But in this case, size does matter: On the large-screen TV we happened to be watching, it was as plain as the whatever that is on your face.

All of which may help explain why the FCC reports that they have not received any complaints about the sketch. Not even from Owen Wilson, whose publicist declined to comment. Mr. Spade's rep did not return a request for comment.

I complain about the indency of this action.